Necrogeomorphology and the life expectancy of desert bedrock landforms

Progress in Physical Geography 2018, Vol. 42(5) 566–587 © The Author(s) 2018 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0309133318795839 journals.sagepub.com/home/ppg



Ronald I Dorn

Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

Abstract

This paper presents the first estimates for the life expectancy of the very surface of bedrock desert landforms, such as bornhardts, cliff faces, fault scarp, inselbergs, ridge crests, and slickrock. The correlative dating method of varnish microlaminations yields minimum ages for the timing of the last spalling event caused by the physical weathering process of dirt cracking. Minimum percentage of a bedrock surface spalled per thousand years is a metric that can be estimated using multiple varnish lamination ages. Understanding rates of surface spalling provides a quantitative measure of Gilbert's (1877: 105) weathering-limited 'rate of disintegration', because this metric directly links to the rock disintegration process of dirt cracking. Rates of percent surface spalled then translate into estimates of how long it takes for the very surface of a desert bedrock landform to die. For a variety of example landforms in the southwestern USA, the maximum time required to completely resurface a desert bedrock landform by spalling from dirt cracking ranges from 89 to 600 ka.

Keywords

Dating, desert geomorphology, necrogeography, physical weathering, rock coatings

I Introduction

Landform antiquity has long been of fascination to geomorphologists (Gregory and Chase, 1992; Gunnell et al., 2017; King, 1948, 1963; Nishiizumi et al., 1993; Seong et al., 2016a; Twidale, 2002) for a variety of reasons including: understanding changes in transport-limited and weathering-limited landscapes (Gilbert, 1877); testing numerical models (Pelletier et al., 2007); understanding the origins of fossil landforms (Péwé, 1983); and connecting geomorphic processes to climatic changes (Richards, 2000). The focus of this paper rests on the stability of the very surface of bedrock landforms in warm deserts, where in order to assess their antiquity, geomorphologists commonly use the buildup of cosmogenic radionuclides through the metrics of surface exposure age and bedrock erosion rate (Cockburn and Summerfield, 2004; Gunnell et al., 2013; Heimsath et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2016; Seong et al., 2016b).

The time since the *very* surface of the bedrock last spalled, however, is a different metric than information extracted from cosmogenic nuclide analyses. The phrase 'very surface of bedrock landform' is used throughout this paper

Corresponding author:

Ronald I Dorn, School of Geographical Sciences and Planning, Arizona State University, P.O. Box 875032, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA. Email: ronald.dorn@asu.edu to emphasise the notion of zero-surface erosion that can be evaluated through the study of rock varnish formed on top of desert bedrock surfaces (Liu and Broecker, 2007, 2008, 2013).

Erosion of bedrock surfaces occurs at scales ranging from submillimeter flaking to mass wasting of rock material meters thick. No matter the nature of the erosion event, however, the old land surface no longer exists. Inherently, cosmogenic nuclides are not able to provide information on the life expectancy of the very surface of a bedrock landform because stable nuclides, such as ³He, and radionuclides, such as ¹⁰Be, build up well beneath the landform's surface (Cockburn and Summerfield, 2004; Phillips et al., 2016). The physics are clear that erosion events <10 cm will not reset this build-up clock (Duller, 2000; Phillips et al., 2016). Thus, cosmogenic nuclides are not able to address questions of when the last spalling event <10 cm took place (Cockburn and Summerfield, 2004; Dorn and Phillips, 1991; Phillips et al., 2016).

Studies of geomorphic processes influencing desert bedrock landforms reveal that spalling events <10 cm are quite common (Blackwelder, 1925; Dorn, 1995b; Dragovich, 1993; Hobbs, 1918; Mabbutt, 1977; Moses et al., 2014; Ollier, 1965; Paradise, 2005; Smith, 2009; Sumner et al., 2009; Viles, 2013; Viles and Goudie, 2007; Warke, 2007). Thus, geomorphologists must use methods other than cosmogenic nuclides to understand rates of such processes as dirt cracking (Ollier, 1965), exfoliation (Blackwelder, 1925), fire-induced spalling (Dragovich, 1993), clast-size reduction in desert pavements (Mabbutt, 1977), flaking from salt weathering (Smith, 2009), and other sorts of rock breakdown (Warke, 2007). This paper specifically explores how to measure rates of dirt cracking (Ollier, 1965) on desert bedrock landforms.

The rest of the paper employs necrogeomorphological terms, as befits this special issue. Erosion of the very surface of a bedrock landform, whether at millimeter or meter scales, is referred to as the *mortality* of that surface. Rock weathering is a poor term better called "rock decay" (Hall et al., 2012), or in this paper *rock morbidity*. How long it takes to completely erode the very surface of a bedrock landform is its *longevity* or *life expectancy*.

Why might the mortality of the very surface of a bedrock landform be important to understand, as opposed to values measured by cosmogenic nuclides, such as millimeters of erosion per thousand years? A necrogeographer might wish to understand the mortality of land surfaces for methodological, practical, and theoretical reasons.

Methodologically, determining the life expectancy of the very surface of a landform provides an independent comparison for other dating methods. In a blind study, the completely different chronometric strategies of varnish microlamination (VML) and cosmogenic dating generated comparable results (Marston, 2003). Indeed, cosmogenic nuclides reveal a chronometric signal consistent with VML patterns on Holocene and late Pleistocene timescales (Larson et al., 2016; Liu and Broecker, 2007, 2008, 2013). Cosmogenic nuclides build up in exposed rock surfaces, but surface exposure dating using cosmogenic nuclides is not sensitive to rock spalls <10 cm thick (Cockburn and Summerfield, 2004; Phillips et al., 2016). In contrast, even a millimeter of surface spalling will completely erase VMLs. Thus, similar results give confidence to both chronometric approaches because they are different methods with which to date geomorphic surfaces.

Practically, desert cities like Phoenix, USA place abundant infrastructure in the form of homes and roads at the base of bedrock slopes (Jeong et al., 2018). Understanding the longevity of bedrock surfaces generates insight into hazards from rock falls (Dorn, 2014) and debris flows (Dorn, 2016). Also from an applied perspective, geoarchaeological studies of rock art rely on the accumulation of rock coatings to

measure the antiquity of a rock engraving or painting (Liu, 2018; Rowe, 2001; Whitley, 2008; Whitley et al., 2017).

To develop geomorphic theory further, many geomorphic processes do not reset the cosmogenic clock. For example, aeolian abrasion removes the upper few micrometers of a ventifact, but this does not impact the cosmogenic signal; in contrast, the cessation of aeolian abrasion antiquity can be studied by analysing rock coatings formed on top of a ventifacted surface (Dorn, 1995a; Dorn and Phillips, 1991). Precariously balanced rocks build up cosmogenic nuclides, even when rock material was buried in the upper meter of regolith, but VML dating provides vital insight into how long the very surface of a balancing rock has been exposed, as for instance capable of yielding insight into the timing of the last large earthquake event (Bell et al., 1998). The ability to learn the age of the present-day rock surface has the potential to do much more than provide factoids about the ages of exposed bedrock desert landforms of places like Mount Sinai in Egypt, Petra in Jordan, Spitzkoppe in Namibia, or Uluru in Australia. Learning when a surface last spalled is a new way to study the reshaping of desert bedrock landforms.

The purpose of this paper rests on developing the first estimate of the life expectancy of the very surface of a desert landform, or the amount of time required for all exposed bedrock of a desert landform to undergo spalling. Thus, this paper presents a new strategy on how to utilise VML dating in order to determine the timing of spalling of desert bedrock surfaces. The next section reviews the process of dirt cracking, a mechanical rock morbidity (weathering) process in warm deserts, and why identifying surfaces exposed by dirt cracking is the key to this research. Then, the paper details study sites, methods, and findings.

II Dirt cracking leaves behind evidence of rock morbidity

This section explains the importance of the process of dirt cracking that leads to rock spalling. Dirt cracking requires the existence of an initial fracture - and a variety of different processes fracture desert bedrock and boulders. Rock jointing (Ehlen, 2002; Engelder, 1987; Hobbs, 1967; Schultz, 2000; St Clair et al., 2015; Twidale and Bourne, 1978) creates inherent weaknesses to be exploited by the application of force. Thermal stresses imposed by insolation changes (Blackwelder, 1933; Hobbs, 1918; Moores et al., 2008; Paradise, 2005; Peel, 1974; Warke and Smith, 1998), moisture changes (Camuffo, 1995; Mabbutt, 1977; Moores et al., 2008), salt (McGreevy and Smith, 1982; Mortensen, 1933; Viles and Goudie, 2007), exfoliation (Blackwelder, 1925), and fire (Dragovich, 1993) all play a role in generating observed fractures.

The exact processes by which fractures undergo enough additional stress to detach or spall a bedrock surface can be difficult to establish. Thermal, moisture, fire, and other spallproducing stresses do not leave behind a unique signature indicating the process that led to a spall. In contrast, dirt cracking (Dorn, 2011; Ollier, 1965) leaves behind clear visual and geochemical evidence.

Dirt cracking occurs in positions where fractures accumulate the ubiquitous dust found in warm desert environments globally (Bullard and Livingstone, 2009; Goudie, 1978), including the region studied here in the southwestern USA (Brazel, 1989; Péwé et al., 1981). First identified as a process in Australia (Ollier, 1965), dirt cracking widens fractures through wetting and drying of the fines inside fissures as well as through the precipitation of laminar calcrete that extends the fracture deeper into the bedrock (Dorn, 2011). Dirt cracking was also identified in the Sinai Peninsula (Coudé-Gaussen et al., 1984) and then in the Sonoran Desert



Figure 1. Dirt cracking wedges open joint faces in two ways: laminar calcrete precipitation and the wetting and drying of dust in the joints. (a) Fracture manually pried open and (c) fracture exposed naturally at South Mountain, Phoenix. Notice the abundance of dust in the pried-open fracture that has been washed away in the naturally open fracture (a). (b) Idealised diagram of rock coatings associated with fractures opened by dirt cracking.

(Villa et al., 1995). Ollier (1965) postulated a positive feedback, confirmed by later research (Dorn, 2011), whereby each increment of fissure widening and deepening facilitates more dust infilling and deeper infiltration of laminar calcrete. Eventually, the fracture opens wide enough to cause spalling and exposes the walls of the rock fracture as the new surface of a bedrock landform.

A unique aspect of dirt cracking is that it leaves behind a visual and geochemical signature on the walls of the spalled rock fracture (Dorn, 2011). Fissure walls that are in contact with accumulated aeolian dust develop rock coatings of orange iron films (Villa et al., 1995; Dorn, 2011). When the fissure opens wide enough to allow rainwater to wash the walls clean of dust, a thin band of black rock varnish forms (Dorn, 1998, 2011). Also, laminar calcrete often occurs underneath and intercalated with orange iron film (Coudé-Gaussen et al., 1984).

Figure 1 exemplifies what the exposed wall of a fissure opened by dirt cracking looks like; variability in colour of the orange to red iron film depends on the abundance of ferrihydrate, goethite, or hematite. Manganese oxide colours the outer band black. Laminar calcrete is whitish because of its calcium carbonate-dominated composition.

Because dirt-cracking events leave behind a visual and geochemical signature, the VML pattern formed on top of a dirt-cracked surface informs on when the spalling event occurred. The VML correlative dating method requires calibration from numerical ages, such as cosmogenic nuclides or radiocarbon. Calibrated VML patterns, examined in ultrathin sections via light microscopy, exist for the Holocene and late Pleistocene in regions like the southwestern USA (Liu and Broecker, 2007, 2008, 2013).

III Study sites

Twenty-two different bedrock landforms were selected for study in the southwestern USA. The landforms were not selected randomly. Instead, they were chosen because they exemplify the following classic desert bedrock landforms: bornhardts (Selby, 1982; Twidale and Bourne,

Landform	Lithology	Location	Lat. (°)	Long. (°)
bornhardt	granodiorite	Granite Mountain, AZ	N 33.78378	W 111.80722
bornhardt	granodiorite	Unnamed dome, AZ	N 33.30999	W 112.04978
bornhardt	granodiorite	Troon Mountain, AZ	N 33.71483	W 111.84188
cliff face	basalt	Burnt Mountain, AZ	N 33.54075	W 113.09808
cliff face	ignimbrite	Superstition Mountains, AZ	N 33.43533	W 111.46114
cliff face	quartzite	McDowell Mountains, AZ	N 33.67837	W 111.81728
cliff face	gneiss	Black Mountains, Death Valley,	N 36.10675	W 116.72559
slickrock	sandstone	Gooseberry Mesa, Utah	N 37.13299	W 113.20584
slickrock	sandstone	Moab, Utah	N 38.74355	W 109.65400
fault scarp	metavolcanic	Black Mountains, Death Valley	N 36.15319	W 116.76624
inselberg	granodiorite	Teutonia Peak, CA	N 35.30015	W 115.56428
inselberg	granodiorite	Cougar Buttes, CA	N 34.47386	W 116.81387
inselberg	granodiorite	Sheep Mountain, AZ	N 33.13064	W 112.56634
dome facet	gneiss	South Mountain, AZ	N 33.34376	W 112.01291
pediment	schist	Phoenix Mountains, AZ	N 33.57623	W 112.03591
ridge crest	basalt	Cima volcanic field, CA	N 35.38601	W 115.74071
ridge crest	diorite	Stoddard Ridge, Mojave Desert	N 34.66530	W 116.98025
ridge crest	metasedimentary	Panamint Ra., Death Valley	N 36.17709	W 116.96301
ridge crest	metavolcanic	Harcuvar Mountains, AZ	N 33.77121	W 113.72118
tors (bedrock under)	granodiorite	Joshua Tree, CA	N 34.09460	W 116.22820
tors (bedrock under)	granodiorite	White Tank Mountains, AZ	N 33.60546	W 112.53401
tors (bedrock under)	granodiorite	Sugarloaf Mountain, AZ	N 33.69629	W 111.49719

Table 1. Bedrock desert landforms selected for study from southwestern USA sites.

1978); cliff faces (Cooke et al., 1993; Howard and Selby, 2009); slickrock (Howard and Selby, 2009; Oberlander, 1977); fault scarp (Blackwelder, 1954; Sharp, 1982); inselberg backing a granitic pediment (Oberlander, 1989; Parsons and Abrahams, 1984; Twidale, 1981); nongranitic schist pediment (Mabbutt, 1966; Mabbutt, 1977); dome facets of a metamorphic core complex (Pain, 1985; Spencer, 2000); ridge crests (Gilbert, 1909; Mabbutt, 1977); and bedrock underneath tors (Cooke et al., 1993; Strudley et al., 2006). Table 1 presents the location, rock type, and landform type of the study sites. Figure 2 illustrates four of these locations.

IV Methods

4.1 Overview

This paper's purpose rests in obtaining an estimate for life expectancy of the entire surface of

a desert bedrock landform. Accomplishing this goal requires five steps, the first being the selection of landforms for the study. The second step generates an estimate of the percent of a bedrock surface that can be currently identified as being spalled by dirt cracking. In this case, digital image processing employed here generates a minimum value. The third step measures the VML age of rock varnish formed on top of dirt cracked surfaces and uses the oldest measured VML age in step four. Step four divides the minimum percent of the surface exposed by dirt cracking by the time it takes to cover the dirt cracking (using the oldest VML age measured). This generates a minimum rate of mortality (percent of a surface spalled) per thousand calendar years (ka). The reason for using the oldest VML age is to maintain the validity of interpreting this metric as a minimum rate of surface mortality from dirt cracking. Fifth, using the



Figure 2. Examples of southwestern USA bedrock landforms selected for study: (a) Teutonia Peak (Davis, 1905), a granitic inselberg in the Mojave Desert; (b) Gooseberry Mesa, a sandstone mesa with abundant slickrock; (c) an ignimbrite cliff face in the Superstition Mountains, Arizona; and (d) Granite Mountain, a bornhardt in the Sonoran Desert. Push pins identify the centre of the sampling areas. The image follows permission guidelines for Google Earth (http://www.google.com/permissions/geoguidelines.html) and the scale bars generated by Google Earth are only approximate for that area of the oblique image.

minimum rate of surface mortality from dirt cracking, it is possible to estimate the maximum time needed to resurface a bedrock landform by dirt cracking using a calculation: 100% of the bedrock surface divided by the rate of surface mortality (%/ka). Because the rate of surface mortality is a minimum, the output would necessarily be the maximum time for resurfacing a bedrock landform from the dirt cracking process.

4.2 Estimating the percent of a surface that can be identified as being 'killed' by dirt cracking

Study sites were not chosen randomly, but rather targeted select classic desert bedrock landforms. In contrast, the particular areas of a desert bedrock landform surface selected for detailed study were chosen through a random process. A sampling grid of nine even-area cells was laid out over each landform and a cell selected through a random number generator. The centre point of that cell served as the starting point for collection of data.

The method to estimate the identifiable percent of the bedrock surface exposed by dirt cracking began at the centre point of the randomly selected grid cell. This center point served as the starting point for parallel transects. For each transect, two measuring tapes were placed approximately 1.5 m apart. Digital images, approximately 1.5×1.5 m with a 600 DPI resolution, were collected every 3 m in the area between the two tapes.

Four parallel transects radiated out in cardinal directions from the centre of the randomlyselected grid cell provide an *n* of 40 1.5×1.5 m photographic frames for each transect, or 120 analysed for each landform, using digital image processing with the aid of Adobe PhotoshopTM and NIH image software (NIH, 2010). A photographic pole was employed to ensure that one image captured the entire 2.25 m².

Since the dirt cracking process can be identified by areas of orange iron film ringed by a thin dark line of black varnish (Figures 1 and 3), these areas were turned into polygons manually for each 2.25 m² frame using a Photoshop layer. The layer with polygons was then saved as a raster file. Transect tape scales established the area of each pixel. The area showing evidence of dirt cracking in the raster (TIFF) file was then processed with NIH image (NIH, 2010), yielding the number of pixels of surfaces spalled by dirt cracking. These data were then converted into a percentage of the rock surface exposed by dirt cracking.

A surface exposed by dirt cracking can only be identified before subaerial rock varnish accumulates to the point where it completely covers the dirt-cracking pattern. The compiled data are, thus, inherently minimum surface areas spalled by dirt cracking. Data are summarised as minimum average percent and minimum median of a bedrock surface exposed by dirt cracking.

4.3 Estimating time since dirt cracking

Ten samples were collected for VML dating from some of the 120 grid cells used for the digital image processing. Because sampling had to be at the locations where dirt cracking occurred, the distinctive visual pattern of orange and black rock coatings must be clearly noticeable (e.g. Figures 1 and 3). However, the objective was to determine the oldest identifiable dirt cracking events. As newer rock varnish accretes on top of the orange iron film, the appearance darkens. There comes a point at which it is not possible to know if dirt cracking exposed the surface. For example, there are many locations in Figure 3 where dirt cracking may have taken place, but the visual evidence is too speculative.

The strategy in sampling for VML dating was to collect only from locations where dirt cracking could only be barely, but clearly, recognised by the naked eye. The selection criteria also involved an understanding of sampling site microenvironment, potential water collection patterns, rock type, surface appearance, and aspect to try to select the slowest-accumulating varnish, since visual darkening of a surface alone is not a reliable age signal (Dorn, 2009; Dorn and Krinsley, 2011; Krinsley et al., 1990).

The VML dating method is based on the Holocene VML calibration (Liu and Broecker, 2007) and the late Pleistocene calibration (Liu, 2003, 2018). The process of VML dating employed here starts by preparing ultrathin sections, by polishing two sides of a sample until the varnish is thin enough to identify VML patterns, that are then compared with the latest calibrations established for the western USA (Liu, 2018). While this correlative method does not assign specific ages, varnish sequences can be placed in age ranges, where precision depends on the time intervals between calibrated VMLs. Since VML is calibrated to calendar years before present, ages are presented in thousands of calendar years before present (ka). Although 10 locations were sampled, processing stopped after six VML ages were obtained. The limit of six ages was based on number of samples feasibly processed for this study. The reason for collecting more samples than six is because microenvironmental factors, such as microcolonial fungi, can destroy VML sequences.

After the ultrathin sections were prepared, it became possible to obtain confirmation that



Figure 3. Examples of bedrock surfaces that can be identified as being exposed by dirt cracking, as evidenced by the pattern of rock coatings of orange films, black strips of rock varnish, and whitish laminar calcrete. White dashes and dots encircle the locations where the bedrock surface experienced mortality from spalling due to dirt cracking. These examples come from the South Mountain, Arizona, a metamorphic core complex dome facet landform. Each frame has a height of about 1 m. The lower-right spall in image (c) is where the sample in Figure 4(a) was collected.

the dirt cracking process spalled the surface. A relatively thick layer of orange iron film, sometimes on top of laminar calcrete, formed the base of most VML sequences (Figure 4). The VML age, therefore, is based on the VMLs that accreted on top of the orange iron film because the orange iron film originated inside the rock fissure, while the VML pattern develops in a subaerial environment. The VML ages for rock varnishes formed on top of exposed dirt-cracking coatings are minimum ages because there is always an orange layer at the bottom of the sequence that comes from the iron film that formed inside the fissure. In other words, because the VML sequences are identified by black VML layers, the length of time it takes to completely revarnish over an exposed coating means that it could be slightly



Figure 4. Ultrathin sections of rock varnish formed on top of coatings developed on the walls of fissures opened by the dirt-cracking process. The VML patterns are identified by Wet Holocene layers (WH1 through WH10) designations, following Liu and Broecker's (2007) calibration. Eroded sections indicate locations where the thinning process completely destroyed the coating material. (a) 9.4 ka varnish formed on a metamorphic core complex dome facet, South Mountain, Arizona; in this case, rock varnish formed on top of the laminar calcrete; (b) 0.65 ka varnish formed on slick rock, Gooseberry Mesa, Utah; the Little Ice Age (WH1) unit is sometimes broken into subunits designed by a letter (e.g. WH1a, WH1b); note how the calcrete mixes with iron film at the base of the sequence; (c) 5.9 ka varnish formed on a fault scarp of Black Mountains, Death Valley, California; note how the calcrete is not present (right side of image c) and sometimes calcrete occurs under the orange film (left side of image c).

older than the age of the black VML layer, but younger than the next oldest black VML layer. Thus, all VML ages are minimums.

4.4 Minimum rate of mortality and the maximum time needed to resurface a bedrock landform

A minimum rate for bedrock surface mortality can be calculated using the oldest VML age (ka) and the minimum percent of a surface that can be identified as having been spalled due to dirt cracking (D_p , or percent of surface that died), or D_p /ka. One hundred percent of the bedrock surface (S_{100}) divided by D_p /ka yields a unit of thousands of calendar years:

 $\frac{S_{100}}{D_p/ka} = \frac{\text{maximum longevity of a desert bedrock}}{\text{landform from dirt cracking}}$

There are two obvious errors to this approach. First, dirt cracking certainly spalls more bedrock surfaces than the measured values because the photographic approach to identify dirt cracking does not measure locales where varnish thickens to the point where the dirt-cracking colouration can no longer be seen. A second inherent error to this approach is the sampling protocol likely did not collect the very oldest VML sequences formed on top of a dirt-cracked surface.

Errors could be reduced in future studies by preparing more ultrathin sections from each landform. More thin sections could aid in the identification cof hard-to-see dirt cracking areas, since dirt-cracking coatings can be seen under the rock varnish (e.g. Figure 4). Similarly, more thin sections can identify older VML sequences. The trade-off made in carrying out this research rested in the extensive time commitment involved in the preparation of ultra-thin sections.

V Results

5.1 How much of a desert bedrock surface does dirt cracking spall?

Considerable variability exists in the percentage of bedrock desert landform surfaces spalled by dirt cracking, ranging from >4% to >22% (Table 2). It is important to stress that the averages and median values reported in Table 2 are minimums because the photographic method used here does not measure dirt-cracked surfaces that can no longer be visually seen. Still, the measurements made at over 2600 2.25 m² sampling areas reveal that dirt cracking is a quantitatively significant rock morbidity (mechanical weathering) process for the studied bedrock desert landforms.

No clear pattern exists with regards to rock type or landform (Table 2). Sandstone slick-rock, granodiorite bornhardts and inselbergs, cliff faces of quartzite and ignimbrite, and schist pediments exhibit the lowest measurements of average areas <10% that have been spalled by dirt cracking. Ridge crests composed of diorite and metamorphic rocks, along with the dome face of a metamorphic core complex, display areas of surface mortality averaging 18–22%.

5.2 What ages are typical for dirt cracking not to be apparent?

The VML ages presented in Table 2 are interpreted as the oldest known locations where the visual signature of dirt cracking (e.g. Figures 1 and 3) can barely be identified. For the sandstone slickrock locations, dirt cracking became indistinct because the granular disintegration of the sandstone surface removed the dirt-cracking coating and overlying rock varnish. This took <2800 calendar years for the two slickrock sites.

For the rest of the sampling lithologies in Table 2, the difficulty in identification arose because subaerial black rock varnish effectively 'painted over' the dirt-cracking signal. This covering-up signal was almost complete in a timeframe of 5900–16,500 calendar years. It is important to *not* interpret these ages as the time it takes to completely varnish a surface because the dirt-cracking process already completely coated the rock face, effectively greatly accelerating the process of varnishing a surface.

5.3 What is the life expectancy of a desert bedrock landform?

The minimum rate of surface mortality for the sampled desert landforms ranges from 0.17 to 1.12 $D_{\rm p}$ /ka, with an average \pm standard deviation of 0.55 ± 0.30 (Table 2). Minimum surface spalling rates of 1.03–1.12 D_p /ka are fastest for sandstone slickrock, a granodiorite inselberg, and a metavolcanic ridge crest. Minimum surface spalling rates of 0.17–0.24 $D_{\rm p}$ /ka are slowest for a granodiorite inselberg, a schist pediment, and cliff faces composed of ignimbrite and quartzite. The maximum life expectancy of the studied desert bedrock landforms spalled by the dirt-cracking process, thus, ranges from 89 to 600 ka (Table 2), the shortest expected lifespan being a granitic inselberg and the longest being a bornhardt. Collected data reveal an expectation that all studied desert bedrock landform surfaces will be completely resurfaced by dirt cracking in a time frame of <600,000 years, while some desert bedrock landform surfaces will be completely remade by the dirt-cracking process alone in a timeframe of <100,000 years.

VI Discussion

6.1 Scale issues

The number and range of field and laboratorybased methods available to study rock morbidity (weathering) expanded tremendously in just the past two decades (Dorn, 1995b; Moses et al., 2014). The proposed approach used here, of VML formed on top of surfaces created by dirt cracking, adds yet another strategy to measure

Landform	Lithology	Location	VML ages ^a (ka)	Percent surface spalled by dirt cracking ^b (mean, median)	Minimum rate of surface mortality ^c (%/ka)	Maximum Life expectancy (ka) ^d
bornhardt	granodiorite	Granite Mountain. AZ	8.1. 8.1. 12.5. 12.5. 24	6.4	0.17	600
bornhardt	granodiorite	Unnamed dome, AZ	12.5, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	15, 10	0.61	165
bornhardt	granodiorite	Troon Mountain, AZ	8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 12.5	10, 5	0.40	250
cliff face	basalt	Burnt Mountain, AZ	8.1, 8.1, 8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 12.5	14, 9	0.72	139
cliff face	ignimbrite	Superstition	8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 12.5	9, 3	0.24	417
	1	Mountains, AZ				
cliff face	quartzite	McDowell Mountains, AZ	8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	9, 4	0.24	413
cliff face	gneiss	Black Mountains, Death Valley,	5.9, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 24	16, 9	0.38	267
slickrock	sandstone	Gooseberry Mesa, Utah	0.65, 1.4, 1.4, 1.4, 2.8, 2.8	7, 3	1.07	93
slickrock	sandstone	Moab, Utah	0.65, 0.65, 1.4, 1.4, 2.8, 2.8	4, 3	1.07	93
fault scarp	metavolcanic	Black Mountains,	5.9, 10.3, 12.5, 12.5, 24	15, 8	0.33	300
		Death Valley				
inselberg	granodiorite	Teutonia Peak, CA	8.1, 9.4, 12.5, 12.5, 24	8, 6	0.25	400
inselberg	granodiorite	Cougar Buttes, CA	8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	9,6	0.36	275
inselberg	granodiorite	Sheep Mountain, AZ	8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 12.5	19, 14	1.12	89
metamorphic core	gneiss	South Mountain, AZ	8.1, 8.1, 9.4, 12.5, 16.5	22, 9	0.55	183
complex dome facet						
pediment	schist	Phoenix Mountains, AZ	12.5, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	9, 3	0.18	550
ridge crest	basalt	Cima volcanic field, CA	12.5, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	14, 8	0.48	206
ridge crest	diorite	Stoddard Ridge, Mojave Desert	9.4, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	19, 13	0.79	127
ridge crest	metasedimentary	Panamint Ra., Death Valley	12.5,12.5,16.5,16.5,24	18, 13	0.54	185

(continued)

Table 2. Life expectancy of desert bedrock landforms in thousands of calendar years and data needed to calculate this necrogeographic metric.

Landform	Lithology	Location	VML ages ^a (ka)	Percent surface spalled by dirt cracking ^b (mean, median)	Minimum rate of surface mortality ^c (%/ka)	Maximum Life expectancy (ka) ^d
ridge crest	metavolcanic	Harcuvar Mountains, AZ	8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5, 16.5	22, 17	1.03	67
rock under tors	granodiorite	Joshua Tree, CA	8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 12.5	10, 6	0.48	208
rock under tors	granodiorite	White Tank	8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 12.5, 16.5	10, 7	0.42	236
rock under tors	granodiorite	Mountains, AZ Sugarloaf Mountain, AZ	8.1, 8.1, 12.5, 12.5, 12.5	11,7	0.56	179
^a VML ages of dirt-cracking ^b Minimum percent of bedr ^c Minimum rate of surface n ^d Maximum time to comple ⁱ	induced spalling even ock surface exposed l nortality from dirt cra tely resurface the des	s in thousands of calendar y y dirt cracking, first value th cking, the metric being med ert bedrock landform, as me	rears before present (ka). he mean and second value the m lian percent of surface lost divide easured in thousands of calendar	iedian of 120 measur ed by thousands of cr - years.	ements. alendar years.	

_
τ
ē
Ē
Ę
2
Ŭ
· ·
N
Ð
P
a

the impact of rock morbidity. This approach falls under Moses et al.'s (2014) category of a microscope technique combined with field observations.

Four general sorts of scale issues confound research into rock morbidity (Viles, 2013) and potentially impact this project's attempt to extrapolate microscope and field observations to entire desert bedrock landforms: (i) rock morbidity occurs over a wide range of timescales; (ii) rock morbidity rates change over time; (iii) rock morbidity varies across spatial scales; and (iv) rock morbidity rates and processes are patchy across space.

Viles's (2013) first concern, regarding a wide range of timescales, is an issue in this research. The VML technique used to measure the mortality of a landform surface resulting from dirt cracking is applied here for just the last glacial-interglacial cycle. This is the time range that dirt cracking can be detected visually before it is covered over or before the surface again erodes away.

The second concern, that rock morbidity rates change over time (Brady et al., 1999; Viles, 2001, 2013), certainly impacts the findings of this research. Table 2 presents rates of percent of a surface spalled per thousand years (D_p/ka) , but only over the time period of the last 25 ka. However, $D_{\rm p}$ /ka is used in Table 2 to calculate the longevity of an entire landform over timescales of 10^5 years. While a case could be made that the period of observation in the last 25 ka encompasses both interglacial and glacial worlds, dirt cracking should be more active during arid times in the Pleistocene that would enhance dust abundance (Dorn, 2011). Because the Pleistocene has many glacial intervals, it is certainly possible that slower rates of dirt cracking occurred during the wetter southwestern USA glacial periods (McAuliffe and van Devender, 1998; Wells, 1983).

Viles's (2013) third concern of dirt cracking varying across spatial scales is certainly possible. The dirt-cracking process results in the spalling of a rock surface on the scale of a few centimeters to about a meter. The visual method employed here, analysing 120 2.25 m² areas for each landform, however, does not necessarily measure the full range of spatial scales of the erosional impact of dirt cracking. Previously, Dorn (2011: 140) showed an example of a rock face exposed by dirt cracking >1 m in dimension; and the author has seen cases where dirt cracking split rock joints >5 m across. Thus, Viles's (2013) concern over varying across spatial scales is relevant and could be addressed in a follow-up study using a different method to study different spatial scales.

Viles's (2013) fourth concern is that dirt cracking rates and processes are patchy across space. Certainly, the spatial variability of dirt cracking is evident among the different southwestern USA landforms studied here (Table 2); and also occurs over a single landform and within the scale of 1 m^2 (Figure 3). The hope is that the visual method of analysing 120 areas across a single landform study site captures this spatial patchiness. But data gathered over much larger areas would be required to more fully assess this concern.

A fundamental scale concern is that this research attempts to use small-area studies (120 2.25 m^2 squares) to analyse the erosional impact of dirt cracking on an entire desert bedrock land-form. Others have cautioned against upscaling (Smith et al., 2002; Viles, 2001) that requires clear linkages between the scale where the process operates and the landform scale (Turkington et al., 2005). For this study, the linkage depends upon an assumption that 120 sampling grids reflect the impact of dirt cracking for the entire bedrock landform—a linkage that could be tested further through more extensively sampling.

6.2 Rate of varnishing vs time to cover up dirt cracking

How long does it take rock varnish to form? This topic has dozens of unsupported estimates in the literature, ranging from a few thousand years (Bull, 1984) to tens of thousands of years (Bull, 1991). Using objective data in warm deserts, the vertical rate of varnish accumulation is in the order of micrometers per thousand years (Dorn, 1998; Liu and Broecker, 2000). In more mesic microenvironments, even in a desert, rates can accelerate to micrometers per century (Spilde et al., 2013), with varnishing in humid settings reaching micrometers per decade (Krinsley et al., 2017). All of these studies, however, focus on varnish that 'started from scratch' on a bedrock surface and not on a preexisting rock coating.

Thus, the research carried out here is not relevant to the question of varnish formation starting on a fresh rock surface. This is because the rock varnish analysed in this study grew on top of a surface already coated (Figure 3), most extensively by orange iron film that is a mixture of clays and iron oxyhydroxides (Dorn, 2011). Thus, knowing that rock varnish completely coats a rock fracture opened by dirt cracking in a range of 8000 to 16,000 calendar years should give pause to those trying to interpret ages from the visual appearance of varnish. Seeing a 'welldeveloped' varnish that completely coats a surface might not provide any useful insight into, for example, the age of a desert pavement or age of an alluvial-fan deposit—if the investigator fails to consider the possibility that the observed varnish formed on a surface spalled by dirt cracking.

There is a larger caution for those studying rock (or desert) varnish. Some carrying out varnish research purposely sample the best-looking varnishes (i.e., the darkest, the highest percentage of varnish coating the rock) in their research (Harrington and Whitney, 1987; Reneau et al., 1992; Whitney and Harrington, 1993). In my experience, the best-looking varnishes typically start from a surface exposed by dirt cracking. The reason is that the orange iron film acts as a primer. Individuals studying rock varnish, thus, should first evaluate the dirt-cracking process and make sure that the sampling strategy corresponds with the research question. For example, if the research question involves trying to estimate the age of an alluvial-fan surface or a fossil beach ridge of a paleolake, then only surfaces rounded and abraded by transport should be sampled.

6.3 Life expectancy of a desert bedrock landform surface and measuring GK Gilbert's weathering-limited 'rate of disintegration'

The life expectancies presented in Table 2 are maximum-limiting estimates for desert bedrock surfaces for two main reasons. First, the measured percent area of dirt-cracked surfaces misses areas completely covered over by accreting rock varnish. Second, dirt cracking is not the only process that spalls surfaces. An abundance of other rock morbidity processes operate on desert landforms (Brandmeier et al., 2011; Dorn et al., 2013; Gadd, 2017; Smith, 2009; Sumner et al., 2009; Viles, 2013; Warke, 2007), but life expectancies in Table 2 reflect only the process of dirt cracking.

An important related issue is GK Gilbert's notion of a 'weathering-limited' landscape (Gilbert, 1877) and how it relates to the life expectancy of a desert landform surface. Weathering-limited is different from a detachment-limited condition (Ahnert, 1976). Gilbert (1877: 105) explained that 'in regions of small rainfall, surface degradation is usually limited by the slow rate of disintegration; while in regions of great rainfall, it is limited by the rate of transportation'.

Rather than obtaining measurements of Gilbert's (1877: 105) 'rate of disintegration', geomorphologists have tended towards measuring 'detachment-limited' systems (Howard, 1994). Howard (1994: 2261–2262) argued that the rate of transport of weathered materials is 'limited by the ability of the flow to entrain or erode regolith (residual soils or colluvium) or bedrock, giving "detachment-limited systems can be analysed through sediment transport measurements over short timescales (Howard, 1994), while

cosmogenic nuclides measure bedrock detachment rates over longer time spans (Cockburn and Summerfield, 2004).

The life expectancy metric in Table 2 is a measure of Gilbert's (1877: 105) 'rate of disintegration' because the maximum-life expectancies of desert bedrock landforms in Table 2 directly links to spalling resulting from the disintegration process of dirt cracking. In other words, the results in Table 2 provide direct support for Gilbert's (1877: 105) assertion of slow rates of 'disintegration' in warm deserts.

Given the lack of previous measurements of surface disintegration in weathering-limited landscapes, an important question is whether the maximum life expectancies in Table 2 make geomorphic sense. Certainly, the ongoing granular disintegration and millimeter-scale flaking that occurs on sandstone slickrock (Figures 2(b) and 5) explains why the VML ages on top of dirt cracking are not >2800 calendar years and, hence, the maximum life expectancy for the complete resurfacing of a slickrock is <93 ka (Table 2). It also makes sense that granitic inselbergs (<89-400 ka) (Figure 2(a)), granitic bornhardts (<165-600 ka) (Figure 2(b)), and bedrock underneath granitic tors (<179-236 ka) would have a wide range of life expectancies simply because the rock morbidity (weathering condition) of granitic materials varies tremendously from place to place. Similarly, it would also make intuitive sense that ridge crests in different rock types of basalt, diorite, metasedimentary, and metavolcanic rocks would vary in their longevity from <97 to <206 ka. But, what could be surprising to some is the lengthy longevity of ignimbrite (Figure 2(c)) and quartzite cliff faces at <413–417 ka and an active fault scarp in Death Valley, California at <300 ka.

6.4 Life expectancy of landforms and landscapes

The meaning of a palaeosurface, ancient landform, or ancient landscape varies considerably among different geomorphic researchers (Widdowson, 1997). Closed-system conceptual models of landform evolution (Davis, 1899, 1902, 1905; Penck, 1924) erode landscapes to lowrelief 'old age' or *endrumpf* surfaces that represent the final stage of erosion—or a landscape close to death.

In more modern thought, ancient landscapes tend to infer an exposed land surface that is undergoing slow erosion (Carignano et al., 1999; Stewart et al., 1986; Twidale, 1998). Others use such techniques as cosmogenic nuclides (Belton et al., 2004), apatite (U-Th)/He, and fission track data (Danišík et al., 2012) to conclude that kilometer-scale exhumation of formerly buried landscapes explains the appearance of 'seemingly' ancient landscapes. Concomitantly, some point to the preservation of elements of nonglacial surfaces in landscapes that were glaciated (Goodfellow, 2007; Rea and Gemmell, 2009) as evidence for the preservation of ancient landscapes.

Consider relatively flat mountain summits that have long been interpreted as preserved erosion surfaces (Epis and Chapin, 1975; Jolivet et al., 2007). Support for this interpretation comes from the accumulation of cosmogenic nuclides, revealing that erosion rates of summit flats can be much less than adjacent basin-wide erosion rates (Small et al., 1997). Thus, while summit flat surface are still eroding, the form of a low-relief mountain summit can persist. In Bulgaria, for example, relatively slow rates of erosion produced summit erosion surfaces over a timescale of the last 45 million years (Gunnell et al., 2017).

Wide flat-top mesas in the hyperarid Negev Desert also exhibit the characteristic much lower erosion rates of the mesa itself (about 1 mm/ka) than the cliffs on the side (Boroda et al., 2014). This leads to a condition where desert pavement cobbles on top of the mesa can have exposure ages over a million years. Thus, even though change occurs slowly on top of wide



Figure 5. The Moab slickrock site exhibits the youngest VML ages for dirt-cracking surfaces (Table 2). The area of dirt cracking has medians of 3% for the two slickrock landforms. In contrast to the other landforms studied, surface death (spalling) due to processes other than dirt cracking (e.g. granular disintegration and millimeter-flaking of the sandstone) appears to be far more dominant than dirt cracking. Juniper trees 4 m tall provide a sense of scale for the background. The foreground is 7 m across.

mesas, the basic form remains for, potentially, millions of years.

Cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating reveals that 'fossil' landscape surfaces do exist. Some surfaces in the Atacama Desert have changed little since about 9 Ma in the Miocene (Nishiizumi et al., 2005). Desert pavements in the Atacama and Negev Deserts can reach ages of millions of years, while pavements in North America tend to survive for only hundreds of thousands of years (Seong et al., 2016a). Evidence that an erosion surface can survive for 1.4 Ma can also come from methods such as electron spin resonance (Pan et al., 2007). Thus, direct dating reveals the longevity of certain landforms, even if these landforms appear to have been slowly modified since their formation.

The aforementioned geomorphologists who identified ancient landforms and landscapes, all accept a certain amount of ongoing surface modification. The amount of surface loss is sometimes <1 m, sometimes <20 m, but a loss of even 100 m is acceptable to some (Small et al., 1997; Vernon et al., 2005).

Heretofore, however, prior research on landform antiquity has not yet taken an extremely strict interpretation focusing on the very surface of a landform. I argue here that the concept of resurfacing the entire surface of a bedrock landform has utility in identifying an end member in the interpretation of landform antiquity. The intent here is not to ignite a debate on 'How much erosion it takes to truly kill a landform?', but to note that it is possible to study processes and rates of the modification of the very surface of a landform. Thus, nil now exists on the number line of studies analysing ancient landscapes because even the loss of a millimeter results in the birth of a new landform surface.

VII Conclusion

GK Gilbert's (1877: 105) notion of a 'weatheringlimited' landscape assumes that the loss of a bedrock surface is limited by 'the slow rate of disintegration' of rock in warm deserts. This research provides direct support for Gilbert's assumption by measuring the maximum life expectancy of the very surface of different classic desert bedrock landforms, such as bornhardts, cliff faces, inselbergs, and pediments. The time that it takes to spall the entire surface (defined as the upper millimeter) of studied desert landforms ranges from <89 to <600 ka.

Of the studied bedrock landforms, slickrock is the least stable, whereas a granitic bornhardt and a schist pediment retain their outer surface the longest. Thirteen of the 22 sites had maximum life expectancies between <100 and <300 ka and these included a range of rock types, including basalt, gneiss, granitic, and metasedimentary, comprising a variety of landforms, including bornhardt, cliff face, fault scarp, inselberg, metamorphic core complex dome facet, ridge crest, and bedrock under tors.

The key to this research is the dirt-cracking process (Dorn, 2011; Ollier, 1965), whereby the laminar calcrete and dust that accumulates in rock fissures exerts sufficient pressure to widen fissures to the point of surface spalling. The unique aspect of this rock-morbidity process is that it leaves behind a visual and geochemical signature that the dirt-cracking process opened a fissure. An image processing technique measured the area of dirt-cracked locations. VML dating then provided calibrated ages (Liu, 2018; Liu and Broecker, 2007, 2013) for rock varnish that accumulated in a subaerial environment on top of dirt-cracking coatings. Thus, a minimum rate of surface spalling, or D_p /ka, is calculated from the minimum percent of a surface that can be identified as having been spalled by the dirt-cracking process (D_p) and VML age in thousands of calendar years before present (ka). Rates of surface spalling, or D_p /ka, range from 0.17 to 1.12, with an average \pm standard deviation of 0.55 \pm 0.30.

A rate of mortality for the entire surface of a bedrock landform can be calculated by dividing 100% of the surface by D_p /ka. This is just a rough maximum estimate for surface longevity, however, because more processes than dirt cracking contribute to the loss of a surface. Also, the measurement of dirt-cracked areas is inherently a minimum value; this is because dirt cracking can no longer be seen after it has been completely covered by subaerial rock varnish.

Abundant limitations exist in these findings. There are methodological limitations associated with measuring less than the full area exposed by dirt cracking and the inability to assign rates of surface loss (D_p) to other rock morbidity processes. Viles's (2013) concerns apply to this study: scales where dirt cracking occurs range from mm² to m² areas; VML ages for the last glacial-interglacial cycle are applied to a timescale of hundreds of thousands of years; 120 2.25 m² sampling areas may or may not truly represent the area of dirt cracking over an entire bedrock landform; and dirt cracking is a patchy process on different types of landforms, where slickrock, for example, is far less affected than ridge crests. Even with these limitations, however, it is apparent that the dirtcracking process is quantitatively significant in contributing to Gilbert's (1887) 'rock disintegration' in warm deserts.

Acknowledgements

I thank two anonymous reviewers for their painstaking efforts to improve the paper. This research was supported, in part, by a sabbatical from Arizona State University and a Guggenheim Fellowship.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Ahnert F (1976) Brief discription of a comprehensive three-dimensional process-response model of landform development. Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie Supplementband 25: 29–49.
- Bell JW, Brune JN, Liu T, et al. (1998) Dating precariously balanced rocks in seismically active parts of California and Nevada. *Geology* 26: 495–498.
- Belton DX, Brown RW, Kohn BP, et al. (2004) Quantitative resolution of the debate over antiquity of the central Australian landscape: Implications for the tectonic and geomorphic stability of cratonic interiors. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 219: 21–34.
- Blackwelder E (1925) Exfoliation as a phase of rock weathering. *Journal of Geology* 33: 793–806.
- Blackwelder E (1933) The insoluation hypothesis of rock weathering. *American Journal of Science* 26(152): 97–113.
- Blackwelder E (1954) Geomorphic processes in the desert. California State Division of Mines Bulletin 170: 11–20.
- Boroda R, Matmon A, Amit R, et al. (2014) Evolution and degradation of flat-top mesas in the hyper-arid Negev, Israel revealed from ¹⁰Be cosmogenic nuclides. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 39: 1611–1621.
- Brady PV, Dorn RI, Brazel AJ, et al. (1999) Direct measurement of the combined effects of lichen, rainfall, and temperature on silicate weathering. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 63: 3293–3300.
- Brandmeier M, Kuhlemann J, Krumrei I, et al. (2011) New challenges for tafoni research: A new approach to understand processes and weathering rates. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 36: 839–852.

- Brazel AJ (1989) Dust and climate in the American southwest. In: *Paleoclimatology and Paleometeorol*ogy: Modern and Past Patterns of Global Atmospheric Transport. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 65–96.
- Bull WB (1984) Alluvial fans and pediments of southern Arizona. In: Smiley TL, Nations JD, Péwé TL, et al. (eds) Landscapes of Arizona. The Geological Story. New York: University Press of America, 229–252.
- Bull WB (1991) *Geomorphic Responses to Climatic Change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bullard JE and Livingstone I (2009) Dust. In: Parsons AJ and Abrahams AD (eds) *Geomorphology of Desert Environments*. New York: Springer, 629–654.
- Camuffo D (1995) Physical weathering of stones. *The Science of the Total Environment* 167: 1–14.
- Carignano C, Cioccale M and Rabassa J (1999) Landscape antiquity of the Central-Eastern Sierras Pampeanas (Argentina): Geomorphological evolution since Gondwanic times. *Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie Supplementband* 118: 245–268.
- Cockburn HAP and Summerfield MA (2004) Geomorphological applications of cosmogenic isotope analysis. *Progress in Physical Geography* 28: 1–42.
- Cooke R, Warren A and Goudie A (1993) Desert Geomorphology. London: UCL Press.
- Coudé-Gaussen G, Rognon P and Federoff N (1984) Piegeage de poussières éoliennes dans des fissures de granitoides due Sinai oriental. *Compte Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences de Paris* II(298): 369–374.
- Danišík M, Kuhlemann J, Dunkl I, et al. (2012) Survival of ancient landforms in a collisional setting as revealed by combined fission track and (U-Th)/He thermochronometry: A case study from Corsica (France). *The Journal of Geology* 120: 155–173.
- Davis WM (1899) The geographical cycle. *Geographical Journal* 14: 481–504.
- Davis WM (1902) Baselevel, grade, and peneplain. *Jour*nal of Geology 10: 77–111.
- Davis WM (1905) The geographical cycle in arid climate. Journal of Geology 13: 381–407.
- Dorn RI (1995a) Alterations of ventifact surfaces at the glacier/desert interface. In: Tchakerian V (ed) *Desert Aeolian Processes*. London: Chapman & Hall, 199–217.
- Dorn RI (1995b) Digital processing of back-scatter electron imagery: A microscopic approach to quantifying

chemical weathering. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 107: 725–741.

Dorn RI (1998) Rock Coatings. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

- Dorn RI (2009) The rock varnish revolution: New insights from microlaminations and the contribution of Tanzhuo Liu. *Geography Compass* 3: 1804–1823.
- Dorn RI (2011) Revisiting dirt cracking as a physical weathering process in warm deserts. *Geomorphology* 135: 129–142.
- Dorn RI (2014) Chronology of rock falls and slides in a desert mountain range: Case study from the Sonoran Desert in south-central Arizona. *Geomorphology* 223: 81–89.
- Dorn RI (2016) Identification of debris-flow hazards in warm deserts through analyzing past occurrences: Case study in South Mountain, Sonoran Desert, USA. *Geomorphology* 273: 269–279.
- Dorn RI and Krinsley DH (2011) Spatial, temporal and geographic considerations of the problem of rock varnish diagenesis. *Geomorphology* 130: 91–99.
- Dorn RI and Phillips FM (1991) Surface exposure dating: Review and critical evaluation. *Physical Geography* 12: 303–333.
- Dorn RI, Gordon SJ, Krinsley D, et al. (2013) Nanoscale: Mineral weathering boundary. In: Pope GA (ed) *Treatise on Geomorphology. Volume 4. Weathering and Soils Geomorphology.* San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 44–69.
- Dragovich D (1993) Fire-accelerated boulder weathering in the Pilbara, Western-Australia. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie 37: 295–307.
- Duller GAT (2000) Dating methods: Geochronology and landscape evolution. *Progress in Physical Geography* 24: 111–116.
- Ehlen J (2002) Some effects of weathering on joints in granitic rocks. *Catena* 49(1–2): 91–109.
- Engelder T (1987) Joints and shear fractures in rock. In: Atkinson B (ed) *Fracture Mechanics of Rock*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, 27–69.
- Epis RC and Chapin CE (1975) Geomorphic and tectonic implications of the post-Laramide, late Eocene erosion surface in the southern Rocky Mountains. *Geological Society of America Memoir* 144: 45–74.
- Gadd GM (2017) Fungi, rocks, and minerals. *Elements* 13: 171–176.
- Gilbert GK (1877) *Geology of the Henry Mountains*. Washington, DC: U.S. Geological and Geographical Survey.

- Gilbert GK (1909) The convexity of hilltops. *Journal of Geology* 17: 344–350.
- Goodfellow BW (2007) Relict non-glacial surfaces in formerly glaciated landscapes. *Earth-Science Reviews* 80: 47–73.
- *Goudie AS (1978) Dust storms and their geomorphological implications. *Journal of Arid Environments* 1: 291–310.
- Gregory KM and Chase CG (1992) Tectonic significance of paleobotanically estimated climate and altitude of the late Eocene erosion surface, Colorado. *Geology* 20: 581–585.
- Gunnell Y, Calvet M, Meyer B, et al. (2017) Cenozoic landforms and post-orogenic landscape evolution of the Balkanide orogen: Evidence for alternatives to the tectonic denudation narrative in southern Bulgaria. *Geomorphology* 276: 203–221.
- Gunnell Y, Jarman D, Brauchner R, et al. (2013) The granite tors of Dartmoor, Southwest England: Rapid and recent emergence revealed by Late Pleistocene cosmogenic apparent exposure ages. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 61: 62–76.
- Hall K, Thorn CE and Sumner A (2012) On the persistence of 'weathering'. *Geomorphology* 149–150: 1–10.
- Harrington CD and Whitney JW (1987) Scanning electron microscope method for rock-varnish dating. *Geology* 15: 967–970.
- Heimsath AM, Chappell J and Fifield K (2010) Eroding Australia: rates and processes from Bega Valley to Arnhem Land. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 346: 225–241.
- Hobbs DW (1967) The formation of tension joints in sedimentary rocks: An explanation. *Geological Magazine* 104: 550–556.
- Hobbs WH (1918) The peculiar weathering processes of desert Regions with illustrations from Egypt and the Soudan. *Michigan Academy of Sciences Annual Report* 20: 93–98.
- Howard AD (1994) A detachment-limited model of drainage basin evolution. *Water Resources Research* 30: 2261–2285.
- Howard AD and Selby MJ (2009) Rock slopes. In: Parsons AJ and Abrahams AD (eds) *Geomorphology* of Desert Environments. New York: Springer, 189–232.
- Jeong A, Cheung SY, Walker IJ, et al. (2018) Urban geomorphology of an arid city: Case study of Phoenix, Arizona. In: Thornbush MJ and Allen CD (eds) *Urban*

Geomorphology: Landforms and Processes in Cities. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 175–202.

- Jolivet M, Ritz JF, Vassallo R, et al. (2007) Mongolian summits: An uplifted, flat, old but still preserved erosion surface. *Geology* 35: 871–874.
- King LC (1948) A theory of bornhardts. *The Geographical Journal* 112: 83–87.
- King LC (1963) Canons of landscape evolution. *Geolo*gical Society of America Bulletin 64: 721–751.
- Krinsley D, Dorn RI and Anderson S (1990) Factors that may interfere with the dating of rock varnish. *Physical Geography* 11: 97–119.
- Krinsley DH, Dorn RI, DiGregorio BE, et al. (2017) Mn-Fe enhancing budding bacteria in century-old rock varnish, Erie Canal, New York. *Journal of Geology* 125: 317–336.
- Larson PH, Kelley SB, Dorn RI, et al. (2016) Pace of landscape change in the northeastern Sonoran Desert, United States. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 106: 119501216.
- Liu T (2003) Blind testing of rock varnish microstratigraphy as a chronometric indicator: Results on late Quaternary lava flows in the Mojave Desert, California. *Geomorphology* 53: 209–234.
- Liu T (2018) VML dating lab. Available at: www.vmlda ting.com/ (accessed 15 August 2018).
- Liu T and Broecker WS (2000) How fast does rock varnish grow? *Geology* 28: 183–186.
- Liu T and Broecker WS (2007) Holocene rock varnish microstratigraphy and its chronometric application in drylands of western USA. *Geomorphology* 84: 1–21.
- Liu T and Broecker WS (2008) Rock varnish evidence for latest Pleistocene millennial-scale wet events in the drylands of western United States. *Geology* 36: 403–406.
- Liu T and Broecker WS (2013) Millennial-scale varnish microlamination dating of late Pleistocene geomorphic features in the drylands of western USA. *Geomorphology* 187: 38–60.
- Mabbutt JA (1966) Mantle-controlled planation of pediments. American Journal of Science 264: 78–91.
- Mabbutt JC (1977) Desert Landforms. Canberra: Australian National University Press.
- Marston RA (2003) Editorial note. *Geomorphology* 53: 197.
- McAuliffe JR and Van Devender TR (1998) A 22,000-year record of vegetation change in the north-central

Sonoran Desert. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatol*ogy, *Palaeoecology* 141: 253–275.

- McGreevy JP and Smith BJ (1982) Salt weathering in hot deserts: Observations on the design of simulation experiments. *Geografiska Annaler A* 64: 161–170.
- Moores JE, Pelletier JD and Smith PH (2008) Crack propagation by differential insolation on desert surface clasts. *Geomorphology* 102: 472–481.
- Mortensen H (1933) Die "Salzprengunt" Und ihre Bedentung für die Regional-klimatische Gliedenung der Wüsten. *Petermanns Geographische Mitteilungen* 79: 130–135.
- Moses CA, Robinson DA and Barlow J (2014) Methods for measuring rock surface weathering and erosion: A critical review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 135: 141–161.
- NIH (2010) NIH image. Available at: http://rsb.info. nih.gov/nih-image/ (accessed 16 November 2017).
- Nishiizumi K, Caffee MW, Finkel RC, et al. (2005) Remnants of a fossil alluvial fan landscape of Miocene age in the Atacama Desert of northern Chile using cosmogenic nuclide exposure age dating. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 237: 499–507.
- Nishiizumi K, Kohl C, Arnold J, et al. (1993) Role of in situ cosmogenic nuclides ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al in the study of diverse geomorphic processes. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 18: 407–425.
- Oberlander TM (1977) Origin of segmented cliffs in massive sandstones of southeastern Utah. In: Doehring DO (ed) *Geomorphology in Arid Regions*. London: George Allen & Unwin, 79–114.
- Oberlander TM (1989) Slope and pediment systems. In: Thomas DSG (ed) *Arid Zone Geomorphology*. London: Belhaven Press, 56–84.
- Ollier CD (1965) Dirt cracking—a type of insolation weathering. Australian Journal of Science 27: 236–237.
- Pain CF (1985) Cordilleran metamorphic core complexes in Arizona: A contribution from geomorphology. *Geology* 13: 871–874.
- Pan B, Gao H, Wu G, et al. (2007) Dating of erosion surface and terraces in the eastern Qilian Shan, northwest China. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 32: 143–154.
- Paradise TR (2005) Petra revisited: An examination of sandstone weathering research in Petra, Jordan. *Geological Society of America Special Paper* 390: 39–49.
- Parsons AJ and Abrahams AD (1984) Mountain mass denudation and piedmont formation in the Mojave and Sonoran Deserts. *American Journal of Science* 284: 255–271.

- Peel RF (1974) Insolation weathering: Some measurements of diurnal temperature changes in exposed rocks in the Tibesti region, central Sahara. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie Supplementband 21: 19–28.
- Pelletier JD, Cline M and DeLong SB (2007) Desert pavement dynamics: Numerical modeling and fieldbased calibration. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 32: 1913–1927.
- Penck W (1924) Die Morphologische Analyse: Ein Kapital der physikalischen Geologie. Stuttgart: Engelhorn.
- Péwé TL (1983) The periglacial environment in North America during Wisconsin time. In: Porter SC (ed) Late Quaternary Environment of the United States. Volume 1. The Late Pleistocene. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 157–189.
- Péwé TL, Péwé RH, Journaux A, et al. (1981) Desert dust: Characteristics and rates of deposition in central Arizona. *Geological Society of America Special Paper* 186: 169–190.
- Phillips FM, Argento DC, Bourlès DL, et al. (2016) Where now? Reflections on future directions for cosmogenic nuclide research from the CRONUS Projects. *Quaternary Geochronology* 31: 155–159.
- Rea BR and Gemmell AM (2009) Scottish Landform Example 40: The Buchan Gravels Formation: A remnant deposit of a palaeo-landscape. *Scottish Geographical Journal* 125: 182–194.
- Reneau SL, Raymond R and Harrington C (1992) Elemental relationships in rock varnish stratigraphic layers, Cima volcanic field, California: Implications for varnish development and the interpretation of varnish chemistry. *American Journal of Science* 292: 684–723.
- Richards BWM (2000) Luminescence dating of Quaternary sediments in the Himalaya and High Asia: A practical guide to its use and limitations for constraining the timing of glaciation. *Quaternary International* 65: 49–61.
- Rowe MW (2001) Physical and chemical analysis of rock paintings. In: Whitley DS (ed) *Handbook of Rock Art Research*. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press, 190–220.
- Schultz RA (2000) Growth of geologic fractures into large-strain populations: Review of nomenclature, subcritical crack growth, and some implications for rock engineering. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences* 37: 403–411.
- Selby MJ (1982) Form and origin of some bornhardts of the Namib Desert. *Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie* 26(1): 1–15.

- Seong YB, Dorn RI and Yu BY (2016a) Evaluating the life expectancy of a desert pavement. *Earth-Science Reviews* 162: 129–154.
- Seong YB, Larson PH, Dorn RI, et al. (2016b) Evaluating process domains in small granitic watersheds: Case study of Pima Wash, South Mountains, Sonoran Desert, USA. *Geomorphology* 255: 108–124.
- Sharp RP (1982) Landscape evolution (a review). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 79: 4477–4486.
- Small EE, Anderson RS, Repka JL, et al. (1997) Erosion rates of alpine bedrock summit surfaces deduced from in situ Be-10 and Al-26. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 150: 413–425.
- Smith BJ (2009) Weathering processes and forms. In: Parsons AJ and Abrahams AD (eds) *Geomorphology of Desert Environments*. 2nd ed. Amsterdam: Springer, 69–100.
- Smith BJ, Turkington AV, Warke PA, et al. (2002) Modelling the rapid retreat of building sandstones: A case study from a polluted maritime environment. *Geological Society of London Special Publication* 205: 347–362.
- Spencer JE (2000) Possible origin and significance of extension-parallel drainages in Arizona's metamorphic core complexes. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 112: 727–735.
- Spilde MN, Melim LA, Northrup DE, et al. (2013) Anthropogenic lead as a tracer for rock varnish growth: Implications for rates of formation. *Geology* 41: 263–266.
- St Clair J, Moon S, Holbrook WS, et al. (2015) Geophysical imaging reveals topographic stress control of bedrock weathering. *Science* 350: 534–538.
- Stewart AJ, Blake DH and Ollier CD (1986) Cambrian river terraces and ridgetops in central Australia: Oldest persisting landforms? *Science* 233: 758–761.
- Strudley MW, Murray AB and Haff PK (2006) Emergence of pediments, tors, and piedmont junctions from a bedrock weathering-regolith thickness feedback. *Geology* 34: 805–808.
- Sumner PD, Hall KJ, van Rooy L, et al. (2009) Rock weathering on the eastern mountains of southern Africa: Review and insights from case studies. *Journal* of African Earth Sciences 55: 236–244.
- Turkington AV, Phillips JD and Campbell SW (2005) Weathering and landscape evolution. *Geomorphology* 67: 1–6.

- Twidale CR (1981) Granitic inselbergs: Domed, blockstrewn and castlellated. *Geographical Journal* 147: 54–71.
- Twidale CR (1998) Antiquity of landforms: An 'extremely unlikely'concept vindicated. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 45: 657–668.
- Twidale CR (2002) The two-stage concept of landform and landscape development involving etching: Origin, development and implications of an idea. *Earth-Science Reviews* 57: 37–74.
- Twidale CR and Bourne JA (1978) Bornhardts. Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie Supplementband 31: 111–137.
- Vernon A, Nalpas T, Dabard M, et al. (2005) Preservation of the Miocene Atacama Gravels: Climatic/depositionalerosional balance in the El Salvador area, North Chilean Andes. In: 6th International symposium on Andean geodynamics (ISAG 2005), Barcelona, 12–14 September 2005, 783–786.
- Viles HA (2001) Scale issues in weathering studies. *Geomorphology* 41: 61–72.
- Viles HA (2013) Synergistic weathering processes. In: Pope GA and Shroder JEiC (eds) Treatise on Geomorphology. Volume 4. Weathering and Soils Geomorphology. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 12–26.
- Viles HA and Goudie AS (2007) Rapid salt weathering in the coastal Namib desert: Implications for landscape development. *Geomorphology* 85: 49–62.

- Villa N, Dorn RI and Clark J (1995) Fine material in rock fractures: Aeolian dust or weathering? In: Tchakerian V (ed) *Desert Aeolian Processes*. London: Chapman & Hall, 219–231.
- Warke PA (2007) Complex weathering in drylands: Implications of 'stress' history for rock debris breakdown and sediment release. *Geomorphology* 85: 30–48.
- Warke PA and Smith BJ (1998) Effects of direct and indirect heating on the validity of rock weathering simulation studies and durability tests. *Geomorphology* 22: 347–357.
- Wells PV (1983) Paleobiogeography of montane islands in the Great Basin since the last glaciopluvial. *Ecological Monographs* 53: 341–382.
- Whitley DS (2008) *Cave Paintings and the Human Spirit: The Origin of Creativity and Belief.* New York: Prometheus Books.
- Whitley DS, Santoro CM and Valenzuela D (2017) Climate change, rock coatings, and the archaeological record. *Elements* 13: 183–186.
- Whitney JW and Harrington CD (1993) Relict colluvial boulder deposits as paleoclimatic indicators in the Yucca Mountain region, southern Nevada. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 105: 1008–1018.
- Widdowson M (1997) The geomorphological and geological importance of palaeosurfaces. *Geological Soci*ety, London, Special Publications 120: 1–12.