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1 Introduction to terrestrial rock coatings

Bare rock surfaces rarely display a rock’s true appearance, where rhyolite appears pink, gneiss displays dark and light banding or basalt is black. As surfaces accrete rock coatings (Table 1) can dramatically “paint a landscape”—as exemplified by Yosemite Valley, United States (Larson and Dorn, 2012) and the granite rock walls in the Mont Blanc massif, western European Alps (Gallach et al. 2021). Consider three examples in Fig. 1. The sandstone of Petra, Jordan, is often darkened by case hardening caused by the accumulation of iron and manganese in the upper millimeter of sandstone (upper row in Fig. 1). The light colored granitic dome at Stone Mountain, Georgia, is streaked by several different types of rock coatings, including calcium oxalate (middle row in Fig. 1). Black basalt lava flows on the rainshadow side of Hualalai and Mauna Loa volcanoes, Hawaii, are gradually lightened in color as silica glaze accrete on lava flow surfaces (lower row in Fig. 1). The geomorphic reality is that coated surfaces are far more common than uncoated rocks. Because these accretions influence the rock decay of the underlying rock, a full understanding of the terrestrial weathering environment must consider rock coatings.

This article organizes rock coatings through the lens of the paradigm of landscape geochemistry, as developed by Soviet geography (Polyakov, 1937; Perelman, 1961, 1966; Glazovskaya, 1968, 1973) with some adoption outside of Russia (Fortescue, 1980). This well-established theoretical framework provides a way to interpret rock coatings in terms of element abundance, element migration, geochemical flows, geochemical gradients, and geochemical barriers with the classification, interpretation, and spatial laws pertaining to geochemical landscapes. At its simplest, a landscape geochemical approach interprets the occurrence of rock coatings as being caused by physical, chemical or biological barriers to the transport of elements. This article analyzes rock coatings from the perspective of five different landscape geochemistry hierarchies of controls on rock coating formation.

2 Interpreting terrestrial rock coatings through a landscape geochemistry approach

What influences the formation of a rock coating? Phased more empirically, the issue involves the processes that generate silica glaze on one surface, case hardening on another, and oxalate crusts on a third—as exemplified by the three different types of rock coatings seen in Fig. 1. The answer in the literature typically ends up being an encyclopedic approach of analyzing unique site-specific factors. For the case hardening at Petra (upper row in Fig. 1), rock varnish once formed on a sandstone surface. Manganese and iron leached out of the overlying varnish coating reprecipitates inside the pore spaces of the sandstone. Granular disintegration of the sandstone surface keeps varnish thickness to a few micrometers, but case hardening by these heavy metals stabilizes the outer millimeter of weathering rind.

Another coating is the oxalate crust on Stone Mountain, Georgia (middle row in Fig. 1); this oxalate, composed primary of hydrated calcium oxalate (CaC2O4·H2O), accreted about a meter downslope from a patch of lichens. Overland flow carried oxalate from the lichens, and evaporation assisted in the accretion of the oxalate crust.

Silica glaze formed on the 1859 Mauna Loa lava flow (lower row in Fig. 1) started with soluble Al-Si complexes [Al(OSi(OH)3)2+] that are ubiquitous on silicate mineral surfaces. Gentle wetting from dew or even water vapor is enough to mobilize these Al-Si complexes. There is a transition between complete and partial wetting; this transition rests at about 20–70 nm. When this transition is crossed, the metastable wetting film on the silica surface ruptures and silica precipitates (Langworthy et al., 2010).

These empirical examples exemplify the norm in the rock-coating literature: general lack of theory in rock coating research. In fact, the only theory yet proposed to explain the occurrence of different types of rock coatings (Table 1) is landscape geochemistry.
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Table 1  Major types of rock coatings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Related terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate Skin</td>
<td>Composed primarily of carbonate, usually CaCO₃, but sometimes MgCO₃,</td>
<td>Calcrite, travertine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Hardening</td>
<td>Addition of cementing agent to rock matrix material; the agent may be</td>
<td>Sometimes called a particular type of rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>manganese, sulfide, carbonate, silica, iron, oxalate, organisms, or</td>
<td>coating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust Film</td>
<td>Light powder of clay- and silt-sized particles attached to rough surfaces and</td>
<td>Clay skins; clay films; soiling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Metal Skins</td>
<td>coatings, for example lichens, moss, fungi, cyanobacteria,</td>
<td>Also described by chemical composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>algae.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalate Crust</td>
<td>Mostly calcium oxalate and silica with variable concentrations of</td>
<td>Oxalate patina, lichen-produced crusts,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>magnesium, aluminum, phosphorus, sulfur, barium, and manganese. Often</td>
<td>patina, scialbatura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>found forming near or with lichens.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate Skin</td>
<td>Various phosphate minerals (e.g., iron phosphates orapatite) sometimes</td>
<td>Organophosphate film; epilithic biofilm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigment</td>
<td>Mixed with clays and sometimes manganese.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Varnish</td>
<td>Clay minerals, Mn and Fe oxides, and minor trace elements; color ranges</td>
<td>Desert varnish, patina, Wüstenlack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from orange to black in color produced by variable concentrations of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>different manganese and iron oxides.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Crust</td>
<td>Chloride precipitates formed on rock surfaces.</td>
<td>Halite crust, eflorescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica Glaze</td>
<td>Usually clear white to orange shiny lustre, but can be darker in appearance,</td>
<td>Desert glaze, turtle-skin patina, siliceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>composed primarily of amorphous silica and aluminum, but often with iron.</td>
<td>crusts, silica-alumina coating, silica skins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate Crust</td>
<td>Sulfates (e.g., barite, gypsum) on rocks; not gypsum crusts that are</td>
<td>Sulfate skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary deposits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Because the science of “weathering” (better termed “rock decay” (Hall et al., 2012)) in geomorphology is a search for broader explanatory patterns, case studies do not advance the development of general theory. The exception is the field of landscape geochemistry (Polyakov, 1937; Perelman, 1961, 1966; Glazovskaya, 1968, 1973) that offers a systematic way of analyzing the geography rock coatings. Using this spatial approach to understanding biogeochemistry, Dorn (1998) proposed five general hierarchies of controls on the development of rock coatings. Although I advocate landscape geochemistry as theoretical framework to understand spatial patterns of rock coatings, a dialogue on development of alternative theoretical frameworks for rock coatings would be a welcome development.

A hierarchical landscape approach orders a way of understanding the geography of rock coatings. For example, first and foremost, bare rock faces must exist—exposed by geomorphic processes such as landsliding or glaciation. It is an obvious statement that without the exposure of bare rocks, we would not see subaerial exposures of rock coatings. Exposures of bare rock are most common in deserts and alpine settings because erosion of soils and regolith is generally faster than their production; thus, rock coatings are more commonly seen in these environments.

Second order controls exhibit where erosion exposes rock surfaces that had already developed rock coatings in the subsurface. The subaerial exposure of coatings that formed in rock fractures and soil cobbles is a second-order control, because a great many now-exposed coatings originated at depth within the rock.

Third order controls come into play when lithobions colonize rock faces, and their rate of growth is often much faster than the inorganic rock coatings. Thus, lichens, fungi, and algae effectively outcompete slower growing inorganic rock coatings. Lithobions are a third-order control, because they can dramatically alter the biogeochemistry of rock surfaces. This chemical change often dissolves inorganic coatings or can prevent them from accreting.

Fourth and fifth orders become relevant only if bare rock faces occur (1st order), if rock coatings are not exposed by an erosional event (2nd order), and if fast growing lithobions do not grow over the rock face (3rd order). All three of these conditions must be met for the development of many of the subaerial rock coatings listed in Table 1. For example, the silica glaze on Stone Mountain (Fig. 1) could accrete, because a bare rock surface was not already coated by lithobions or an accretion formed originally in the subsurface.

The fourth and fifth orders of control focus on element abundance, element migration, geochemical flows, geochemical gradients, and geochemical barriers associated with different types of inorganic rock coatings. The fourth order focuses on the issue of whether or not the elemental ingredients of a rock coating are present and have a transport pathway to a site; for example, the iron and manganese present in rock varnish at Petra was mobilized down into sandstone pores (upper row in Fig. 1). However, just because the elements of rock coatings occur and are transported to a site does not mean that these elements will accrete.
Fig. 1  Rock coatings alter the appearance of bare-rock landforms. The left column is the rock coating as seen through back-scattered electron (BSE) microscopy. The right column illustrates how thin rock coatings alters the visual appearance of rock. Top row: case hardening by heavy metals seen through darkens the appearance of a pink sandstone at Petra, Jordan. Middle row: calcium oxalate on quartz illustrates how even thin coatings create dark streaks on granodiorite at Stone Mountain, George, United States. Bottom row: silica glaze lightens the appearance of basalt flows as seen in an ASTER image of the rainshadow of Hualalai Volcano, Hawaii, courtesy of NASA (NASA, 2010), where even the 1859 Mauna Loa lava flow has a thin silica glaze coating. Scale in the right side images: top row height of Al-Kazneh is 40 m; middle row height of Stone Mountain is about 300 m; lower rock width of image is approximately 6 km.
The fifth order of control involves barriers to transport that fix the coating’s constituents. The transport barrier might be physical, such as electrostatic or physical forces that hold dust particles together (Jordan, 1954; Bishop et al., 2002; Ganor et al., 2009). Another barrier could be geochemical, such as a change in pH/Eh oxidizing and fixing (NIAIST, 2005) iron in films. Still another barrier could be biological, exemplified by budding bacteria oxidizing manganese in rock varnish (Krinsley et al., 2017). Barriers to transport resulting in rock coatings can require a combination of biological, chemical, and physical processes.

2.1 1st Order control: Geomorphic stability

The first control on whether rock coatings occur is whether bare rock surfaces exist. Rock control is an important concept in geomorphology (Howard, 1994; Suzuki, 2002), and it is especially critical for rock coatings. After all, a cover of soil, vegetation, or regolith means that rock coatings have no subaerial exposure. Glaciers, waves, landslides, and overland flow in weathering-limited landscapes all exemplify geomorphic processes that can expose rocks to the atmosphere—the primary precondition for the occurrence of subaerial rock coatings.

Thus, the first order of control rests with geomorphic processes that generate bare rock surfaces for subaerial rock coatings (Fig. 2). A wide variety of geomorphic processes expose bedrock surfaces (Ehlen and Wohl, 2002; Molnar et al., 2007; Dorn, 2018; Israeli et al., 2021). Mass wasting, glacial, periglacial, volcanic, faulting, flooding, and coastal processes can all bring rocks into the subaerial environment. Bare exposures are most common in arid regions, because deserts are weathering-limited landscapes that have a paucity of vegetation (Gilbert, 1877).

2.2 2nd Order control: Subaerial exposure of subsurface coatings

Rock coatings often develop in the subsurface and are exposed at the surface by erosion of the overlying soil or rock material. For example, iron films develop on clasts in the B-horizon of soils (Haberland, 1975; Hayden, 1976; Pope and Miranda, 2016) and are then seen at the surface by soil erosion (Hunt and Wu, 2004). Similarly, iron films are found in fractures in weathering rinds in Burkina Faso that are later seen at the surface upon surface flaking (Mettelka et al., 2015). Manganiferous rock varnish form in mountain soils (Ha-mung, 1968) and caves (Hill, 1982; Spilde et al., 2002; Northrup et al., 2003; Boston et al., 2008; White et al., 2009; Rossi et al., 2010; Gazquez et al., 2011; Gázquez et al., 2012; Lozano and Rossi, 2012). Weathered rock fractures are lined with Mn-Fe-rich rock varnish coatings (Weaver, 1978; Dorn and Oberlander, 1982; Douglas, 1987; Dorn and Meek, 1995; Kim et al., 2006; Krinsley et al., 2012; Li et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017), dendrites or branching structures (Xu et al., 2010), and silica glazes (Milnes et al., 1991; Frazier and Graham, 2000). Clay-coated surfaces can be a common component of fractured bedrock (Frazier and Graham, 2000) and weathered minerals in general (Meunier et al., 2007). Fissures in less weathered bedrock host iron films, rock varnish, laminar calcrete, silica glaze, and heavy metals (Douglas, 1987; Dorn and Dragovich, 1990; Robinson and Williams, 1992; Mottershead and Pye, 1994; Villa et al., 1995). These coatings formed because transport pathways brought the necessary constituents, and because barriers to further transport fixed these constituents on fracture sides, in regolith, or on rock fragments in soils.

The landscape geochemistry setting of a rock coating can also change when human activity exposes a former subsurface coating (Cerveny et al., 2006), when a gully exposes regolith coatings (Goosens et al., 2015), or when scouring brings a soil clast to the surface (Palmer, 2002). Subsurface coatings brought into the subaerial environment through rock or soil erosion are extremely common, and my critique of many rock coatings articles is that they do not even consider a subsurface origin for the coating under study. The unstated assumption of much research is that just because a coating is currently found exposed to the atmosphere, it necessarily originated in that location.

Fig. 2 Subaerial rock coatings only occur where bare rock faces have been exposed by geomorphic processes.
Upon exposure in the subaerial environment, what were once subsurface coatings can experience several possible futures, diagrammed in Fig. 3. Consider, for example, rock coatings that are ubiquitous in fractures found in warm deserts (Fig. 4). A laminar calcite skin precipitates where the fissures are the most narrow; as the fissures open up, orange iron films accrete; a centimeter-wide band of black rock varnish grows where the fissures are close enough to the surface for precipitation to seep in and wash dust from fissure sides (Dorn, 2011).

Fig. 3 Possible pathways of subsurface-formed coatings upon exposure in the subaerial environment. Further erosion may lead to exposure of other subsurface rock coatings. The joint face might erode by millimeter-scale flaking, removing the surficial coating. Lichens and other lithobionts might form on top of inorganic rock coatings, potentially leading to dissolution from the secretion of organic acids. A former subsurface coating might be dissolved by carbonic acid in rainwater, freeing up the surface for the growth of a subaerial coating more in equilibrium with the surficial environment. A new rock coating might form, or, in some cases, the same rock coating will continue to accrete.

Fig. 4 Rock coating sequence found on the walls of desert rock fractures. (A) This fissure was pried open, exposing dust and weathered rock fragments. Carbonate leached from desert dust reprecipitates as laminar calcrete skins deeper in the rock fracture. An orange, iron-rich coating forms where dust is in constant contact with fissure sides. (B) Centimeter-scale band of black rock varnish rims the outer edge of the fracture where the dust has been washed away by infiltrating overland flow.
This colourful sequence of rock coatings found on the sides of rock fissures in warm and dusty deserts (Fig. 4) is modified as the landscape geochemical environment changes. As the fissure gradually opens wider, dust is washed from the walls of the fissure by precipitation, and this change allows the formation of manganese-rich rock varnish (Fig. 5B). With spalling, carbonic acid dissolves the laminar calcrete skins that are exposed, except where the iron film has already formed a protective covering (Fig. 5A). Spalling also promotes the formation of black manganese rock varnish over the orange surface, because the removal of the alkaline dust no longer inhibits microbial enhancement of manganese.

Joints or fractures in rocks in semi-arid environments often accumulate silica glaze that helps start the process of case hardening joint faces (Dorn, 1998). With erosion exposing joint faces to the subaerial environment, changes typically ensue. Fig. 6 documents two types of post-exposure changes seen in the semi-arid western USA. Both changes involve the accumulation rock varnish, followed by leaching of manganese and iron dissolved from the varnish—that then infiltrates into the underlying pores. Sometimes, the iron (with some manganese) infills pore spaces (Fig. 6A). In other cases, the manganese and iron combine with the silica glaze in the pores spaces and further contribute to case hardening the rock surface (Fig. 6B).

Fig. 5 Optical thin sections show how changes in landscape geochemistry alters rock coatings originally formed in desert fissures (cf. Fig. 4). (A) White calcrite first formed a coat over the gray quartz. Then, when the fissure opened wide enough to accumulate dust and weathered fragments, this dust fostered the formation of the orange film on top of the calcrite. (B) First, an iron film formed in the crevice. Then, the rock fracture opened wide enough to wash the accumulated dust away from the rock surface. This allowed the formation of a black rock varnish.

Fig. 6 Spalling of sandstone in Whoopup Canyon in Wyoming exposes silica glaze coat fractures. The change from subsurface fracture to subaerial surface enables rock varnish to accrete on top of the silica glaze. Image (A): BSE image shows case hardening by Fe (and some Mn) leached from the varnish. Image (B): BSE image presents Mn and Fe combining with silica glaze in pore spaces, forming a different type of case hardening.
Another example of the influence of erosion altering the landscape geochemistry of subsurface-formed rock coatings comes from the spalling of a cobble in a Sonoran Desert pavement (Fig. 7). An iron film originally formed inside a rock fissure in a desert pavement cobble. Dust particles then adhered to the iron film, and iron film helped cement dust to the fissure side walls. Then, the rock split open, changing the landscape geochemical setting from a fissure to exposure at the soil line in a desert pavement. Desert pavement cobbles often develop a very shiny line at the soil line called a ground-line band (Engel and Sharp, 1958; Dorn and Oberlander, 1982). Ground-line bands are shiny, in part, because they develop coatings of silica glaze. Fig. 7 exemplifies that even a micron-thick layer of silica glaze can impart this sheen.

Erosional processes in deserts, both anthropogenic and natural, can expose carbonate crusts that become temporary subaerial coatings (Fig. 8). Originally formed in the Bk horizon of soils, the carbonate is slowly dissolved through interaction with carbonic acid in precipitation. A landscape geochemistry interpretation is that the geochemical barrier present in the soil no longer exists in the subaerial environment. Such changes in the position of pedogenic carbonate crusts have been used to analyze prehistoric geoglyphs and other earthen features (Cerveny et al., 2006).

Desert cobbles and small boulders in undisturbed settings host black manganese-rich rock varnish in a subaerial position, with an orange iron film forming where the clast remains in contact with the underlying alkaline soil (Cerveny et al., 2006). Rock coatings can offer a visually distinctive clue that soil erosion has been active, because seeing an abundance of orange iron films mean that clasts with the black rock varnish have been eroded. A study of variability in rock coatings along a single hillslope (Palmer, 2002) found greater amounts of soil erosion in the steepest portion and at the bottom of the disturbed hillslope in the Mojave Desert, resulting in the exposure of orange iron films at the surface (Fig. 9). Eventually, if erosion ceases or slows tremendously, black rock varnish will reform. However, Fig. 9 illustrates that the second order of control, erosion, plays a key role in determining the type of rock coating that is seen in disturbed settings.
Fig. 8  Carbonate crusts form on the underside of large boulders in Bk horizon in desert and semi-arid soils. Construction of a prehistoric rock cairn in the Panamint Valley, California, United States (upper image) and a 2010 debris flow (lower image) in metropolitan Phoenix, Arizona, United States expose pedogenically-formed carbonate crusts (arrows).

Fig. 9  A rock coating catena (Palmer, 2002) exemplifying how three different types of rock coatings change down a basalt hillslope in the Mojave Desert. The hillcrest has less soil erosion, and hence rock varnish dominates. More and more iron films occur further down the slope, reflecting greater soil erosion exposing the iron films that originally formed in the subsurface.
2.3 3rd Order control: Competition from lithobionts

Lithobiont coatings are organisms that live on the surface (epiliths), bore tubes into rocks (euendoliths), occupy fissures in rocks (chasmoendoliths), or live within the pore spaces of weathering rinds (cryptoendoliths) (Golubic et al., 1981). Lithobiont coatings thinner than a millimeter are classified as biofilms; those between 1 mm and 5 mm are biorinds; and coatings greater than 5 mm are called biocrusts (Viles, 1995; Gorbushina, 2007).

Lichens, fungi, and algae lithobiontic coatings grow much faster than most inorganic rock coatings such as rock varnish, iron films or silica glaze (Friedmann and Galun, 1974; Rundel, 1978; Golubic et al., 1981; Dragovich, 1987; Viles, 1995; Souza-Egipsy et al., 2004; Bhatnagar and Bhatnagar, 2005; Loso and Doak, 2006; Gadd, 2007, 2017; McMaster, 2012; Lacap-Bugler et al., 2017; Lavoie et al., 2017; Brewer and Fierer, 2018; Mergelov et al., 2018; Meslier et al., 2018; Mustoe, 2018; Powers et al., 2018; Genderjahn et al., 2021; Wieler et al., 2021). As a result, these subaerial organisms often dominate rock faces (Fig. 10). The third order of control, thus, involves conditions that control the growth of fast-growing lithobionts, where biofilm communities can grow in years to hundreds of years (Viles, 2001; Powers et al., 2018; Nir et al., 2019; Wieler et al., 2021).

One way that lithobionts take possession of a rock surface is through enhancing the weathering and erosion of rock material (Paradise, 1997; Lee and Parsons, 1999). Lichens grow on the surface, but also in pore spaces between mineral grains (Fig. 11). Increased spacing between mineral grains destabilizes the rock surface and spalling takes place. Part of the process involves enhanced dissolution of minerals under lichens (Aghamiri and Schwartzman, 2002; Gordon and Dorn, 2005a). Lichens are then able to recolonize these spalled surfaces much faster than inorganic coatings such as rock varnish or iron films. Another way that lithobionts replace other rock coatings is by biochemically dissolving (Dragovich, 1987) the pre-existing coating (Fig. 12).
**Fig. 11** Granodiorite inselberg surfaces at Garden Butte, Papago Park, central Arizona, United States, are dominated by lichens rather than rock varnish. Images A and B compare secondary electrons (A) to back-scattered (BSE) electrons (B). Note how the lichens seen with secondary electrons (A) penetrate into the rock along mineral boundaries and appear to enhance space between minerals, either mechanically or through chemical weathering.

**Fig. 12** Lithobionts often secrete acids dissolve inorganic rock coatings. The left image shows basalt talus in the Mojave Desert, California, United States with inset photo of a petroglyph that was sampled for electron microscopy. The right image is a secondary electron microscope image from this inset showing a euendolith (tube boring) microcolonic fungi that is dissolving rock varnish.
An example of the third order of control on rock coatings compares the behavior of lithobionts and another rock coating to the external forcing of moisture. Viles (1995, pp. 32) diagrammed lithobiont weathering as a function of moisture and hardness of the host rock. Dorn and Oberlander (1982) argued that the growth of rock varnish is influenced by moisture and competition from lithobionts. These two conceptualizations are combined in Fig. 13, linked through a common focus on moisture. Lichens are most common in moist settings, whereas much drier environments foster endoliths. Rock varnish survives best and grows the slowest in drier environments, but as moisture increases lithobionts biochemically dissolve more rapidly-forming varnish (e.g., Fig. 12).

Moisture conditions as diagrammed in Fig. 13, however, are not static. A site moves to the right and left on the diagram with microclimatic oscillations. A slight shift to wetter conditions can foster the colonization of lithobionts and result in varnish erosion. Considered from the perspective of Fig. 13, a site near the peak of varnish growth can shift into varnish erosion where acid-producing fungi have colonized rock varnish. The effect of such a change is seen in Fig. 14. While lithobionts chemically dissolve and erode pre-existing rock coatings and prevent the formation of many inorganic accretions, it is important to note that lithobionts can also stabilize rock surfaces by holding weathered fragments in place (Gehrmann et al., 1988; Kurtz and Netoff, 2001; Viles and Goudie, 2004). Lithobionts can also generate protective coatings of silica glaze (Lee and Parsons, 1999) and oxalate crusts (Souza-Egipsy et al., 2004).

2.4 4th Order control: Transport pathways

Rock coatings require a sufficient abundance of constituent elements and those elements are transported to accretion sites. Sometimes, the constituents are fairly ubiquitous—such as silica, clay minerals, and iron. For other rock coatings, the concentrations transported to a coating site are quite low and enhancement is required—such as with the manganese in rock varnish or iron in heavy metal skins (Huelin et al., 2006; Gazquez et al., 2012; Marnocha and Dixon, 2013; Municchia et al., 2016; Macholdt et al., 2017; Sharps et al., 2020). In other cases, while the overall abundance of material such as oxalate minerals might be low on a rock face, locally strong geochemical gradients might exist near a source of calcium oxalate (Steelman et al., 2021) such as lichens (Wadsten and Moberg, 1985). The 4th general control on the occurrence of rock coatings is, thus, the requirement that the constituents of a coating have a pathway of transport to a rock-surface site.

Some transport pathways are obvious. Nuclear fallout accumulating on mineral surfaces at Hiroshima Bay, Japan, have an obvious source and atmospheric pathway (Wannier et al., 2019). Pigments are applied to rock surfaces (Li et al., 2001; Hortola, 2005; Simionescu et al., 2009; Gallinaro and Zerboni, 2021) through anthropogenic transport (e.g., Figs. 15 and 16). Streaks of oxalate crust flowing down from oxalate-producing lichens at Stone Mountain (e.g., Fig. 1) reveal a visual trace of a transport pathway. A white streak formed over a Utah petroglyph panel corresponds with a thin coating of silica glaze (Fig. 17). Transport pathways can be dozens of kilometers for the dust that composes dust films and rock varnish, or very short in the case of iron and manganese mobilized from rock varnish and transported into pores to case harden the underlying rock (Figs. 6 and 14).

Transport of raw mineral ingredients involves two general preconditions. First, constituents must be present. Second, constituents must migrate to the rock face. For example, volcanic ash particles deliver the silica that then mixes with acidic volcanic rain and volcanic smog (vog) produces silica glazes within 250 years on Asama volcano, Japan (Nakatani et al., 2021). Bird droppings

Fig. 13 A landscape geochemical conceptualization of how lithobionts and rock varnish interact together, adapted from Viles (1995) and Dorn and Oberlander (1982). Moisture plays a vital role in the growth of rock varnish and in the weathering efficiency of lithobionts. Secondary factors presented in this model are competition from lithobionts for rock varnish and rock hardness for lithobionts.
Fig. 14  Varnish and biofilms of fungi and lichens grow on schist (lower image, where flower width is 2 cm). At the present time, biofilms appear to be dissolving varnish, as illustrated in the BSE image (image width is ~100 μm; the epoxy has separated from the fungi and dissolved varnish). The dissolving varnish then reprecipitates inside fractures, promoting case hardening with iron and manganese heavy metals. This example corresponds with the location of “varnish erosion” in Fig. 13, where biofilms are growing on hard rocks.

Fig. 15  Anthropogenic paint balls transported and applied to rock surfaces at South Mountain Park, Arizona, United States.
Fig. 16  Anthropogenic pigments applied next to the DeBrung Monastery, Tibet.

Fig. 17  Streak of silica glaze formed over a petroglyph panel at McKonkey Ranch, Utah, United States. The white streak was sampled above the engraving on a natural joint face. The BSE image shows three types of rock coatings, where the host rock is on the left side of the electron micrograph. On top of gray quartz is a thin layer of rock varnish (bright material) formed. Then, on top of that varnish is dust film loosely cemented with iron and manganese. On top of this rests the water-streak silica glaze. The source of the water-mobilized and transported silica appears to be a rock spall that redirected overland flow. The photograph is about 2 m in length, and the BSE image width is 10 μm.
(Arocena and Hall, 2003; Gomez-Heras et al., 2004) or microorganisms (Konhauser et al., 1994) generate the requisite material for a phosphate skin. Then, phosphates are mobilized and reprecipitated (Fig. 18). In another example, the formation of silica glazes on granite building stones in Rio de Janeiro first requires dust deposition; then, silica is mobilized from the dust to reprecipitate as silica glaze (Smith et al., 2007). Deposited particulate matter, in general, appears to be a key agent in the formation of pollution-related coatings in urban settings where the general step is particulate deposition, followed by complex interactions that result in the net migration of elements from particulates into a rock coating (McAlister et al., 2006).

Salt crust formation exemplifies how multiple transport steps can involve very different processes (Oguchi et al., 2002). Salt crusts occur on a variety of weathered surfaces seen in satellite imagery (Berger et al., 2015), in rock shelters (Roberts et al., 2018), on churches near coastlines (Gaylarde et al., 2017), or natural rock surfaces near salt playas such as Mushroom Rock in Death Valley. In the case of Mushroom Rock in Death Valley (Meek and Dorn, 2000), the salt was first transported by wind from the salt playa to the soil adjacent to the talus boulder. Then, salt was dissolved in precipitation and moved by capillary action up the side of the boulder to precipitate as salt crusts (Fig. 19).

Multiple transport pathways are key to the formation of black crusts found on marble tombstones and limestone buildings in humid regions experiencing anthropogenic pollution. Sulfate and oxalate crusts both occur on such surfaces as Fig. 20. Sulfate crusts can occur naturally (Wen et al., 2020). Perhaps more commonly, sulfur from carbon fuel combustion interacts with the host carbonate to produce gypsum sulfate crusts (Potgieter-Vermaak et al., 2004). Then, this gypsum is transported microns to millimeters on the rock surface to contribute to the development of inorganic deposits that could be microbial produced (Gaylarde et al., 2017).

The deposition of sulfate crust occurs in tandem with dissolution of the limestone, but the style of the accretion can vary (Fig. 21). In some cases, a thicker crust of several hundred micrometers forms as the gypsum replaces the limestone (Vergès-Belmin et al., 1993) through a process by which sulfate-rich solutions migrate outward from the rock in the early stages of surface desiccation (Smith, 1994). In other cases, the sulfate crust manifests itself as a mix of gypsum needles and soot over karren (Camuffo et al., 1983).

Sometimes, the lack of a transport pathway for a key ingredient can determine what type of rock coating acrètes. This is especially true for rock varnish. Black streaks across sandstone surfaces of the Colorado Plateau are almost always attributed by US National Park rangers to rock varnish, but this is often incorrect. These water-flow deposits often lack clay minerals. Since clays are vital to the formation of rock varnish (Potter and Rossman, 1977; Krinsley et al., 1995; Krinsley, 1998; Dorn, 2007), the manganese and iron deposited without the clays results in a heavy metal skin (Fig. 22). Another example comes from Hawaii. Silica glaze forms on the rainshadow side of the island of Hawaii (Fig. 1), in part because of the paucity of clay mineral transport to basalt flow surfaces.

### 2.5 5th Order control: Barriers to transport

Physical, chemical, and biological barriers all can halt the transport of elements, resulting in the accretion of rock coatings. This fifth order of control is not ranked higher, because the other controls can prevent the occurrence of a coating, even if a barrier to transport exists. For example, even though dust is ubiquitous in warm deserts (Goudie, 1978) and coatings occur where electrostatic or physical forces hold dust particles together (Jordan, 1954; Bishop et al., 2002; Ganor et al., 2009), the lack of bare rock surfaces (1st order), the exposure of a subsurface coating (2nd order), the growth of lithobionts (3rd order), or the transport of other materials by...
Barium sulfate, sodium chloride, calcium sulfate, and strontium sulfate all weather to form a crust on the ~3.5 m tall Mushroom Rock in Death Valley, California, United States. The BSE micrographs on the right show a barium sulfate crust as the brighter material both fragmenting the basalt and covering mineral surfaces. The bright white in these images are barite and minerals are weathered basalt minerals.

Sulfate crust on marble tombstone in the Old Fellowship Cemetery in Atlanta, Georgia, United States.
Pollution-generated gypsum crusts can replace the host limestone through flow of sulfate-rich solutions migrating from the rock, and they can exist as needles over a dissolving surface.

Waterflow streaks can sometimes be fungi, lichens, heavy metal skins, and sometimes rock varnish. In this case, streaks are heavy metal skins that impregnate the sandstone of Sedona, at Schnebly Hill Road, Arizona, United States.
water or wind (4th order) can all interfere with the occurrence of coating, for example a coating of dust on a rock surface (Fig. 23). This section presents examples from the coatings of dust films, silica glaze, rock varnish, and carbonate crusts.

Physical and chemical barriers often work in tandem to generate inorganic rock coatings, as it the case for silica glaze (Chemtob and Rossman, 2014; Aftabi and Atapour, 2018). Dorn (1998) proposed that silica glaze formation starts with soluble Al-Si complexes \( \text{[Al(OSi(OH))]^{2+}} \) that are common at the water-rock interface (Browne and Driscoll, 1992). Dew or frost deposition supplies sufficient moisture to mobilize Al-Si complexes, where the transition between complete and partial wetting on silica surfaces is about 20–70 nm (Zorin et al., 1992; Churaev, 2003). Crossing this physical transition would result in the deposition of silica with particles in the size range of 20–70 nm. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) finds evidence of spheroids in this size range in silica glaze (Fig. 24), an observation consistent with this model of silica glaze formation (Langworthy et al., 2010).

Another example of the 5th hierarchy of barrier to transport comes from rock varnish. Rock varnish formation begins with physical barriers of electrostatic or physical forces holding dust particles together on subaerial surfaces (Jordan, 1954; Bishop et al., 2002;
Ganor et al., 2009). Then, the biological action of bacteria concentrate manganese and iron (Dorn and Oberlander, 1981; Hungate et al., 1987; Dorn, 1998; Northup et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Vázquez-Ortega and Fein, 2017). Some of the bacterial sheaths become microfossils (Dorn and Meek, 1995; Dorn, 1998; Krinsley, 1998; Krinsley et al., 2012, 2017) that then dissolve. Decay of the Mn-Fe casts mobilize nanometer fragments. Physiochemical fixation takes place only a few nanometers away when the oxides are fixed into mixed-layered clays (Potter, 1979). HRTEM imagery (e.g., Fig. 25) shows Mn and Fe inserted into mixed-layered clays. Potter (1979, pp. 174–175) hypothesized:

"Deposition of the manganese and iron oxides within the clay matrix might then cement the clay layer...the hexagonal arrangement of the oxygens in the tetrahedral or octahedral layers of the clay minerals could form a suitable template for crystallization of the layered structures of birnessite. The average 0-0 distance of the tetrahedral layer is 3.00 Å in illite-montmorillonite mixed-layered clays, which differs only 3.4 percent from the 2.90 Å distance of the hexagonally closed-packed oxygens in birnessite..."

Budding bacteria are the key agents that enhance both Mn and Fe (Dorn and Oberlander, 1982; Krinsley et al., 2017). Mn and Fe accumulate on the surfaces of cells and hyphae (Fig. 25). Then, ongoing diagenesis breaks apart these cell encrustations into nanoscale granules (Krinlsley, 1998) that are then remobilized and reprecipitated among the mixed-layer clays in a process first explained by Potter (1979, 174–175):

---

**Fig. 25** The only directly observed process of varnish formation involves budding bacteria (Dorn and Oberlander, 1982; Dorn, 1998; Krinsley et al., 2017). All other proposed mechanisms of varnish formation are either deduced or are just theoretical. Image (A): Budding bacteria growing on Negev Desert varnish collected 2 weeks after a winter rain, where image (B) shows the energy dispersive spectra (EDS) of the surrounding varnish compared with image (C) showing much higher Mn-Fe concentrations on the sheath of the budding cell. Images (D) and (E) show high resolution perspective on fixation of Mn and Fe. (A) Electron microscope image of budding bacteria concentrating Mn and Fe (Krinlsley et al., 2017), where a budding hyphae emerges from coci bacterial forms. The EDS in D is on the emerging hyphae, while EDS 1 and 2 in image (E) were collected from the hyphae and cocci, respectively.
Thus, while budding bacteria originally enhance the Mn-Fe, it is the geochemical dissolution at the nanoscale and subsequent reprecipitation of oxyhydroxides in clays that results in varnish formation. It is important to stress, however, that shifts at the nanoscale do not create instability (Krinsley et al., 2013) in the laminations seen at the micron scale that are stable for tens of millennia (Liu et al., 2013; Liu and Broecker, 2013; Liu, 2021)—much like cars moving around inside a parking lot do not change the lot itself. The combination of budding bacteria concentrating Mn (and Fe) along with abiotic fixation is called the polygenetic model of varnish formation (Dorn, 1998). Almost all of the other explanations for varnish formation do not include a rate-limiting step and hence fall prey to this “varnish rate paradox.”

Sunlight was once thought to be a key to varnish formation (Blake, 1905), and new research indicates that varnish has photoelectric properties (Lu et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019). A new idea for varnish formation that does account for the slow rate of varnishing in hot deserts involves photo-catalysis (Otter et al., 2020). Sunlight is also a critical aspect of the hypothesis that cyanobacteria are the key to varnish formation, either via Mn-oxidation (Krumein, 1969) or as a catalytic antioxidant (Lingappa et al., 2021). The difficulty with these ideas involving sunlight is that Mn-Fe rock varnish forms in settings that are not exposed to any sunlight. The same manganiferous rock varnish observed in warm deserts also develops on rock surfaces within unexposed mountain soils (Ha-mung, 1968). Rock fractures that are unopened are similarly lined with Mn-Fe-rich rock varnish (Weaver, 1978; Dorn and Oberlander, 1982; Douglas, 1987; Dorn and Meek, 1995; Kim et al., 2006; Krinsley et al., 2012; Li et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017). Mn-Fe coatings also develop in cave settings not exposed to sunlight (Hill, 1982; White et al., 2009; Rossi et al., 2010; Gazquez et al., 2011; Gázquez et al., 2012; Lozano and Ross, 2012) and are also considered to be rock varnish (Spilde et al., 2002; Northrup et al., 2003; Boston et al., 2008). Unfortunately, none of these new ideas of varnish formation involving sunlight considered this prior literature; if they had considered this flaw in their reasoning, the authors might have argued that the varnish they studied in subaerial environments and the varnish found in the subsurface could possibly form in different ways—a notion not well shaved by Occam’s Razor.

Many other models of varnish formation have been proposed. Some favor Mn-enrichment through purely abiotic processes such as small Eh/pH fluctuations (Engel and Sharp, 1958; Soleilhavoup, 2011; Goldsmith et al., 2014). These abiotic processes would generate varnishes 100× to 10,000× faster than observed (Dorn and Krinsley, 2011; Krinsley et al., 2017).

Organisms other than budding bacteria have been invoked (Krumein, 1969; Krumbein and Jens, 1981; Taylor-George et al., 1983; Palmer et al., 1985; Northrup et al., 2010; Lingappa et al., 2021). Among the problems with these competing explanations are the lack of fossil remains of these organisms.

Still others point to phylogenetic insight about organisms growing on and in varnish (Eppard et al., 1996; Perry et al., 2004; Kuhlman et al., 2005, 2006a,b, 2008; Benzerara et al., 2006; Northrup et al., 2010; Esposito et al., 2015, 2019; Lang-Yona et al., 2018; Martínez-Paballo et al., 2021). If even a fraction of the studied microbial community growing in and on varnish resulted in varnish formation, rates of accretion would be orders of magnitude higher than observed for the warm desert sites studied (Krinsley et al., 2017).

The polygenetic origin of varnish is the only hypothesis that has presented: (i) direct in situ evidence of the process of Mn-Fe enrichment (e.g., Fig. 25) in both surface settings exposed to light and subsurface settings; (ii) contains microfossils (and more importantly) pieces of microfossils that are being incorporated into varnish via diagenesis (Krinsley, 1998); (iii) explains observed varnish textures (Krinsley, 1998); and (iv) explains slow rates of varnish growth in warm deserts (and also some faster growth rates in non-desert environments) (Dorn and Krinsley, 2011; Krinsley et al., 2017). The only hypothesis that meets these criteria involves the rare event of the growth of budding bacteria (Fig. 25) where rare fossil evidence exists of the decaying remnants of budding sheaths have been directly observed (Dorn and Meek, 1995; Dorn, 1998; Krinsley, 1998; Krinsley et al., 2012, 2017).

Rock varnish formation, then, appears to be the result of a sequence of barriers and nanometer-scale transport: first, physical barriers to the migration of first dust that supplies clay minerals; second, biological barriers that enhance manganese and iron; third, Mn and Fe are transported nanometers to adjacent clay minerals; and fourth, the physiochemical processes cement clay minerals to the rock and to prior varnish. This is the polygenetic model of rock varnish formation (Dorn, 1998).

Another example of the 5th order of control of barrier to transport comes from carbonate crusts. Although carbonate crusts do not necessarily require such a complex sequence as rock varnish, they can form from physical, chemical, or biological barriers to transport of carbonate (Fig. 26). Carbonate rocks in the Negev Desert, for example, interact with microorganisms to produce laminated carbonate coatings (Wieler et al., 2019). Tufa and other types of carbonate crusts are known to form from both biotic and chemical processes that create barriers to further transport (Pentecost, 1985; Pedley, 1990; Viles and Goudie, 1990; Benson, 1994; Arp et al., 1999; Carter et al., 2003; Yoshikawa et al., 2006). These deposits have been used to place age constraints on rock art and hence can remain stable enough in some settings (Benson et al., 2013). Marine carbonate cementing rock surfaces in coastal areas appear to be related to activity of algae and cyanobacteria (Krumbein, 1979; Kendall et al., 1994). The physical barrier of evaporation can also form carbonate crusts (Schlesinger, 1985).
Barriers to elemental migration can shift, resulting in very different types of rock coatings, even over scales of tens of nanometers (Fig. 27). In a sample from the dusty and alkaline Ashikule Basin, Tibet, layered varnish rests on top of and underneath silica glaze composed of spheroids. In this high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image, varnish rests distinctly on the underlying rock and silica glaze spheroids makes a distinct contact with the varnish both underneath and above the silica. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of the middle zone reveals that the spheroids are composed of Si and O, similar to Fig. 24. The shifting geochemical barrier could have been something as subtle deposition of alkaline dust changing the pH from near-neutral conditions favoring rock varnish formation to higher pH conditions favoring silica glaze formation, and then back again to near-neutral pH values.

Geochemical barriers can shift over short or long time scales, ranging from hours to thousands of years. Temporary rock coatings of ice can melt within a day (Hetu et al., 1994). Organic coatings on andesite volcanic blocks form during the final stages of the solidification of a lava flow, resulting in the coalification of plant material (Donoghue et al., 2009). Acid-fog generates coatings that mix silica glaze and jarosite, formed from evaporative processes (Shiffman et al., 2006). Iron films transform from ferrihydrite to goethite (Raiswell et al., 2009) under glaciers and ice sheets over periods of less than 100 years. Silica glazes can form within a few decades (Gordon and Dorn, 2005b) and can alter lava flow appearances dramatically within centuries to millennia (Fig. 1). Rock varnishes accrete evidence of millennial-scale climatic change through shifting geochemical barriers (Fig. 28); time periods with
Fig. 27  HRTEM image of silica glaze interdigitating with rock varnish. The dark material at the bottom of the image is the underlying rock. Layered rock varnish forms a 50 nm thick deposit on the underlying rock. The barrier to transport changed, resulting in silica glaze spheroids deposited in a layer about 200 nm thick. Then, layered rock varnish deposited on top of the silica spheroids.

Fig. 28  Microlaminations in rock varnish reflect shifting geochemical barriers. Wetter environments enhance barriers to Mn migration and result in the accretion of dark Mn-rich layers. These ultra-thin sections are of rock varnishes collected from the Ma Ha Tuak Range, Phoenix, Arizona. Wetter microenvironments increase rates of varnishing and can preserve relatively fine paleoclimatic information. The upper section (thickness ~28 μm) shows all three wet phases of the Little Ice Age WH1 signal (Liu and Broecker, 2007): WH1a, WH1b, and WH1c. Also annotated on this section are wavelength dispersive electron microprobe analyses of PbO; these analyses show the typical pattern of lead contamination of the uppermost microns in varnish from 20th century automobile pollution. The values are in PbO weight percent, and the approximate distance between the probe spots are 2 μm. Note how PbO drops down close to or below minimum detection limits underneath this 20th century varnish. The bottom image was collected from a drier microenvironment, and this drier setting slows the rate of varnishing to the point where only the major wet Holocene (WH) periods (Liu and Broecker, 2007) are recorded (thickness ~40 μm).

The creation of one geochemical barrier can generate another barrier. Manganese and iron oxides enhanced in rock varnish (Fig. 28) scavenge heavy metals (Jenne, 1968; Thiagarajan and Lee, 2004; Wayne et al., 2006). Modern aerosols are generally much higher in such heavy metals such as lead from the use of leaded gasoline (Ganor et al., 2009). The upper micron in rock varnish, thus, is greatly enhanced in lead, because iron and manganese scavenges this pollutant and fixes it (Fig. 28).

Rock coatings are rarely stable for more than a few thousand years. In a few cases, the layering pattern of varnish microlaminations reveals that stable rock surfaces can host coatings for a few hundred thousand years (Liu and Broecker, 2008b; Liu, 2010). Along the coast of southern Peru, meters of salt crust have coated bedrock of a marine isotope stage 5e marine terrace (~120,000 years ago) over the last 10^5 years (Fig. 29), and sulfate crusts formed in Victoria Land, Antarctica may be as old as the last time a location was glaciated (Giorgetti and Baroni, 2007). Burial can also preserve rock coatings in Antarctica (Marchant et al., 1996). In one locale, geochemical barriers remained intact after burial, preserving rock varnishes for 10^7 years (Fig. 30)(Dorn and Dickinson, 1989).

An issue that should not be neglected is the role of nucleation sites as important barriers for migration. This is the case for bacteria that concentrate manganese and iron in rock varnish (Fig. 25) (Dorn and Oberlander, 1981; Hungate et al., 1987; Dorn, 2007; Northup et al., 2010). Nucleation sites can also be important in the formation of some sulfate crusts (Fig. 31). Carbon has been observed in weathering rinds extensively in the form of vitrinite and inertinite (Dorn, 1996; Arrowsmith et al., 1996), and its origins could be related to what has been observed in association with plant roots (Sun et al., 2017). Carbon particles are known to serve as sites of gypsum precipitation in urban polluted contexts (Del Monte and Sabbioni, 1984; Del Monte et al., 1984).

In summary, landscape geochemistry theory interprets the presence of rock coatings as reflecting physical, biological, or chemical barriers to the transport of elements. However, the presence of inorganic rock coatings can only occur where there is limited competition from lithobionts such as lichens that can grow much faster. Physical and biogeochemical barriers to transport can be widespread, leading to extensive coatings of rock varnish. These barriers can be linear, resulting in streaks of silica glaze or oxalate crusts. Barriers can also be discrete places, such as carbonate crusts accumulating on the undersides of desert boulders. The geographical expression of such barriers leads to the amazing variety of rock coatings seen in different geomorphic settings.

3 Importance of rock coatings in geomorphology

Rock coatings interface with geomorphology in a variety of ways. At the most general level, the aesthetic and dramatic bare rock landforms that motivate the general public, students and professionals alike are painted by ubiquitous rock coatings. The appearance of favored icons of geomorphology, such as Uluru being coated with iron films (Dorn and Dragovich, 1990) or the spectacular alluvial fans of Death Valley darkened by rock varnish (Dorn, 1988), cannot be separated from landforms. Personal satisfaction associated with field experiences are, thus, inseparably linked with scenic aspects imposed by rock coatings (e.g., Fig. 1).

Rock coatings are also relevant to geomorphological studies, because they can help stabilize landform surfaces through case hardening. Case hardening most often derives from the mobilization and reprecipitation of rock coating materials inside pore
Rock varnish formed originally on colluvium on a Miocene hillslope of the Pantano Formation has been preserved at Davidson Canyon, southern Arizona, United States. Arrows indicate the varnished colluvial horizon, that is overlain by sandy gravels—part of Neogene basin fill. The composition of this varnish is similar to modern semi-arid varnishes (Dorn and Dickinson, 1989).
spaces in the weathering rind (Conca and Rossman, 1982). Calcite is a common mineral that case hardens surfaces (Mellor et al., 1997). Heavy metals also case harden by infilling of pore spaces in a weathering rind, for example sandstone at Petra, Jordan (Fig. 1) and Sedona, Arizona (Fig. 22). Fig. 32 illustrates stabilization of delicate weathering features through reprecipitation of rock varnish and silica glaze. In addition to the migration of single types of coating material into the weathering rinds, different types of mobilized rock coatings are often mixed together inside the weathering rind (Fig. 6).

The rock coating material reprecipitated into weathering rinds does more than physically protect through case hardening. In general, chemical weathering rates decrease over time in large part because of the role of clay-coated mineral surfaces (Meunier et al., 2007). Some types of rock coatings, such as silica glaze, can slow the rate of chemical weathering (Gordon and Dorn, 2005b). In a study of basalt surfaces of known age in Hawaii, chemical dissolution of plagioclase under silica glaze was much lower than plagioclase not covered by silica glaze (Table 2).
Table 2  Weathering of plagioclase\(^a\) grains of Hawaiian basalt surfaces exposed for different lengths of time, underneath silica glaze and not under silica glaze.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Grain Area(^b)</th>
<th>Porosity(^c)</th>
<th>Grain Area(^b)</th>
<th>Porosity(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mauna Ulu-a (1974 C.E.)</td>
<td>217,500</td>
<td>0.071 ± 0.018</td>
<td>160,500</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauna Ulu-b (1974 C.E.)</td>
<td>216,000</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.014</td>
<td>216,500</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauna Ulu-c (1974 C.E.)</td>
<td>202,500</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.004</td>
<td>247,000</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow f7d h7.9 (~700 B.P.)</td>
<td>238,500</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.17</td>
<td>183,500</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow f5d e8.2 (~2000 B.P.)</td>
<td>303,000</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.15</td>
<td>160,500</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow f5d p3.5 (~3150 B.P.)</td>
<td>141,000</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.25</td>
<td>185,500</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauna Kea Glacial Polish (~14,000 B.P.)</td>
<td>201,500</td>
<td>26.20 ± 10.33</td>
<td>154,000</td>
<td>15.71 ± 5.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Representative composition in oxide weight percent of analyzed plagioclase minerals: 3.22% Na\(_2\)O, 0.22% MgO, 29.12% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), 48.22% SiO\(_2\), 18.10% CaO, 0.12% TiO\(_2\), 0.40% MnO, and 0.54% FeO.

\(^b\)Grain area is measured in square micrometers as a total for all mineral grains analyzed.

\(^c\)Each value indicates the average and standard deviation of the porosity measurements.

Other types of rock coatings can, in contradistinction, accelerate the physical weathering of rocks. The calcite crust and dust films that precipitate and accumulate inside rock fissures (Fig. 4) wedge open those fractures (Coudé-Gaussen et al., 1984; Villa et al., 1995; Cerveny et al., 2006; Dorn et al., 2008) in a process called dirt cracking (Dorn, 2011). Dirt cracking is the most quantitatively significant physical weathering process yet known to occur in warm desert settings (Dorn, 2018).

The study of rock coatings includes an extensive effort to use characteristics of these coatings to determine exposure age. This aspect of rock coating research is often an attraction to researchers who are just starting to explore coatings (Li et al., 2019). In particular, rock varnish has seen decades of study in order to determine if different characteristics could be used to assess when varnish started to form. Knowing the approximate starting time for varnishing would, therefore, provide insight into the age of a glacial moraine, alluvial-fan deposit, stream terrace, landslide, or other feature. Table 3 presents the various strategies that have been proposed to estimate the start of varnishing and hence provide a minimum chronometry for exposure of the underlying surface.

Out of these different dating methods, I believe that the most advanced approach is the result of a quarter-century of research by Tanzhuo Liu of Columbia University. Scholarly research into rock coating initiated with the field and laboratory studies of rock varnish by Alexander von Humboldt (1812). Rock coating studies over the past two centuries have typically focused on a handful of samples. In contrast, Dr. Liu has analyzed more than 10,000 microstratigraphic sequences of rock varnishes obtained from millimeter-scale rock depressions (e.g., Fig. 33). His painstaking analysis of varnishes, based on the study of three orders of magnitude more samples than analyzed in a typical publication, has led to a revolution in our understanding of how climatic changes are recorded by varnish microlaminations (Liu and Broecker, 2007, 2008a,b, 2013; Liu, 2010; Liu et al., 2013). The confidence level is high, because the method (Liu, 2003; Liu and Broecker, 2007) has been replicated in a rigorous blind test (Marston, 2003), the method is based on analyses of over ten thousand rock microbasins (Liu and Broecker, 2008a, 2013; Liu et al., 2013), is supported by nanoscale analyses (Kinsley et al., 2013), and has been used by a variety of researchers (Zerboni, 2008; Somonte and Baié, 2013; Dorn, 2018; Carbonelli and Collantes, 2019).

A leading authority in the area of applied geomorphic research on analyzing signals of pollution on rock coatings is Dr. Michael Schindler, who with co-authors, has detailed the processes and forms linking anthropogenic heavy metal pollution to the anthropogenic rock coatings (Durocher and Schindler, 2011; Mantha et al., 2012, 2019; Schindler et al., 2017; Schindler and Dorn, 2017; Schindler and Singer, 2017; Caplette and Schindler, 2018; Leverington and Schindler, 2018). Natural rock coatings such as iron films and rock varnish are also impacted by anthropogenic heavy metal pollution. Even in regions as remote as Greenland, the global effect of lead pollution leaves an Anthropocene signal (Fig. 34). This lead signature (Fleisher et al., 1999; Nowinski et al., 2010; Hoar et al., 2011; Spilde et al., 2013) was originally used as a way to falsify a fake geoglyph (Dorn, 1998). The lead spike in iron films and rock varnish, along with the varnish microlamination method (Table 3) has also been used as a process to authenticate (or assess fake) prehistoric engravings (Dorn et al., 2012b).

Even though this chapter focuses on terrestrial settings, rock coatings occur in extraterrestrial contexts, such as the ubiquitous dust coatings on lunar surfaces (Gaier, 2005). The discovery of Mn-rich rock coatings on Mars (Lanza et al., 2014a,b, 2015) naturally led to comparisons with rock varnish in terrestrial settings (Yeager et al., 2019). With experience of over 40 years of publications on terrestrial rock varnish, I have examined thousands of images acquired by different rovers operating on the surface of Mars.
Table 3 Different methods that have been used to assess rock varnish chronometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Synopsis of method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}$C carbonate</td>
<td>Calcium carbonate sometimes forms over varnish, and can be radiocarbon dated, providing a minimum age for such features as rock art. The method has been used in Australia (Dragovich, 1986) and eastern California (Smith and Turner, 1975; Cerveny et al., 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}$C organic</td>
<td>The hope is that carbon trapped by coating provides minimum age for the petroglyph. First developed in 1986, two independent investigators working in a blind test (Dorn, 1997; Watchman, 1997) both found organic carbon that pre-dates and post-dates the exposure of the rock surface. The only person who still uses organic carbon of unknown residues in radiocarbon dating (Watchman, 2000; Hugge et al., 2001), Watchman now admits that he has not tested results against independent controls (Watchman, 2002; Whitley and Simon, 2002a,b).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}$C oxalate</td>
<td>The inorganic mineral oxalate (e.g., whewellite: CaC$_2$O$_4$•H$_2$O) sometimes deposits on top of or underneath rock varnish (Watchman et al., 2000). Because this mineral contains datable carbon, the radiocarbon age can provide a minimum age for the underlying or overlying varnish. The most reliable research on radiocarbon dating of oxalates in rock surface contexts has been conducted in west Texas (Rowe, 2001; Spades and Russ, 2005).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulation of Mn and Fe</td>
<td>As more varnish accumulates, the mass of manganese and iron gradually increases. Occasionally this old idea is resurrected (Lytle et al., 2002), most recently in petroglyph research in Saudi Arabia where so many different variables other than time influence Mn and Fe abundance that the method is impractical for general use and requires extraordinary effort to make this approach workable (Macholdt et al., 2019), and sometimes it does not work (Andreae et al., 2020). Without extensive assumptions and costly research, this approach has long ago been demonstrated to yield inaccurate results in tests against independent control (Bard, 1979; Dorn, 2001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>The appearance of a surface darkens over time as varnish thickens and increases in coverage (McFadden et al., 1989). However, much of this darkening has to do with exposure of inherited coatings, and with the nature of the underlying weathering rinds, that do not permit accurate or precise assignment of ages based on visual appearance. There is no known visual method that yields reliable and replicable results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation-ratio dating</td>
<td>Rock varnish contains elements that are leached (washed out) rapidly (Dorn and Krinsley, 1991; Krinsley, 1998). Over time, a ratio of leached to immobile elements decline over time (Dorn, 2001). If the correct type of varnish is used, the method performs well in blind tests (Loendorf, 1991). This method has also seen use in such places as China (Zhang et al., 1990), Israel (Paty-Kara et al., 1997), and South Africa (Whiteley and Amegnarn, 1994), Yemen (Harrington, 1986), Iran (Sarmast et al., 2017) and elsewhere (Ntokos, 2021).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Material Analysis</td>
<td>Rock carvings made historically may have used steel. The presence of steel remains embedded in a carving would invalidate claims of antiquity, whereas presence of such material as quartz would be consistent with prehistoric antiquity (Whiteley et al., 1999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Profiles</td>
<td>20th century lead and other metal pollution is recorded in rock varnish, because the iron and manganese in varnish scavenge lead and other metals. This leads to a “spike” in the very surface micron from 20th century pollution. Confidence is reasonably high, because the method (Dorn, 1998, pp. 139) has been replicated (Fleisher et al., 1999; Thigavanathan and Lee, 2004; Hodge et al., 2009) with no publications yet critical of the technique that can discriminate 20th century from pre-20th century surfaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microaminations (VML)</td>
<td>Climate fluctuations change the pattern of varnish microaminations (VML). The method must be calibrated by numerical ages, and this is typically done using a combination of different methods to establish independent chronologies. The geomorphological implications this method (Li, 2021) are profound, offering desert geomorphologists a tool to study in tandem chronometry and the influence of climatic change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Carbon Ratio</td>
<td>Organic carbon exists in an open system in the rock varnish that covers petroglyphs. This method compares the more mobile carbon and the more stable carbon. The method is best used in soil settings (Harrison and Frink, 2000), but it has been applied experimentally to rock varnish in desert pavements (Dorn et al., 2001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium-series dating</td>
<td>Since radionuclides are enhanced in varnish (Marshall, 1962), uranium-series isotopes show potential (Knauss and Ku, 1980). Complications surround acquiring the necessary amount of material from the basal layers and concerns over accounting for the abundant thorium that derives from clay detritus instead of radioactive decay.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study of geomorphology on Mars is not my area of expertise, and I am reluctant to analyze processes operating under vastly different physical and chemical conditions. Taken purely from a terrestrial perspective, however, I have seen no clear evidence of active varnish formation on subaerial rock surfaces on Mars, although there are some images that are suggestive of subaerial varnish formation. The reason for my scepticism is that I see overwhelming evidence of aeolian abrasion that appears to be removing dark rock coatings. I think that the Mn-rich coatings on the surface of Mars likely originated in rock fractures (Krinsley et al., 2009). Please re-examine Figs. 4 and 5 in this chapter. The rock fractures do not have to be deep. They can be centimeters under the surface, but protected from aeolian abrasion and potentially providing a biogeochemical setting able to develop a varnish-like coating. Then, spalling of the overlying rock material exposes rock coatings to the subaerial Mars environment.

Although Mn-rich coatings do occur on Mars (Lanza et al., 2014a,b, 2015), Dorn (1998) predicted that silica glazes should be more common on Mars, and silica glazes in terrestrial volcanic settings have been investigated as potential analogs (Minitti et al., 2005, 2007; Chemtob et al., 2010; Chemtob and Rossman, 2014). An analysis of chemical weathering conditions on Mars (Kraft et al., 2004) suggests that four steps might be involved in the formation of silica glazes on Mars: (a) a fresh rock surface is exposed; (b) dust is deposited on rock surfaces; (c) “thin films of liquid water form between dust grains along the dust-rock interface” leading to (d) silica glaze deposition (Kraft et al., 2004).

There is another possibility to explain the possible occurrence of silica glaze on Mars and Earth that has not yet been considered in Mars rock coating scholarship: water vapor. An unsolved problem on the geography of terrestrial rock coatings concerns why rock...
Fig. 33 A varnish ultra-thin section from Galena Canyon fan in Death Valley (with the upper layers irregularly polished off during thin section production) exemplifies a terminal Pleistocene varnish microlamination pattern. The age correlation presented here comes from independent numerical age control and from the Greenland ice core record. For the sake of temporal recognition, the well-known Folsom and Clovis lithic technologies are placed in this high resolution sequence. The nomenclature of layering units (LU), Heinrich Events (e.g., HO, H1, H2), wet periods in the late Pleistocene (WP) identified by black varnish layers follows previous research (Liu and Broecker, 2007, 2008a, b; Liu, 2010). The image is courtesy of T. Liu.
varnish is so common in subtropical deserts, but silica glaze is ubiquitous on basalt surfaces in rainshadows of Hawaiian volcanoes Haleakala, Mauna Loa, Mauna Kea, and Hualalai. One possible explanation is that the paucity of dust inhibits varnish formation that is dependent on clay minerals. However, the abundance of water vapor in this tropical context could not be ruled out. Thus, a 20 year laboratory experiment exposed basalt rock chips to 80% and 90% levels of relative humidity. No liquid water was involved. This experiment found that water vapor alone can generate silica glaze (Dorn, 2012) and may be an important factor in explaining why silica glaze is the dominant rock coating in humid warm drylands (Fig. 35). Although water vapor concentrations on Mars are

![Fig. 34](image) Anthropogenic lead emissions contaminate the surfaces of rock coatings even in areas distant from emission sources. (A) Gneissic boulder on the edge of a Greenland outlet glacier, where the white arrow indicates the sampling location of a pocket of Mn-rich rock varnish. (B) Mn-rich rock varnish identifying the locations of wavelength dispersive microprobe analyses for lead where <0.03% PbO is the background level. Note that the surface-most analysis contains 0.19% PbO. (C) Nanoscale HRTEM image of the location of the high lead measurement. The dark material is Mn-rich material, where the darkest zones have EDX peaks indicative of lead.

![Fig. 35](image) BSE images of silica glaze formed on basalt rock chips after exposure to only air with a relative humidity of (A) 90% and (B) 80% at 18 °C in a 20-year-long laboratory experiment. Arrows show contacts between the silica glaze and the underlying basalt. The white dots indicate the positions of focused beam electron microprobe measurements. A typical water vapor-formed silica glaze composition is MgO 0.06%, Al₂O₃ 0.57%, 80.22% SiO₂, 0.04% K₂O, 0.22% CaO, 0.08% TiO₂, 0.06% Fe₂O₃ with abundant porosity.
extremely small, the length of time for mineral—water vapor interactions on Mars make it possible that coatings of amorphous silica found on Mars could be a product of billions of years of nanoscale water vapor interaction.

4 Conclusion

The first formal scholarship on rock coatings (von Humboldt, 1812) advocated for the hypothesis that the blackened rocks along the Orinoco River are Mn-enriched accretions on the underlying rock. A revisitation of von Humboldt’s coatings over two centuries later (Dorn et al., 2012c) confirmed their accretionary nature (Fig. 36). Prior to the late 1970s, however, the dominant view of rock coatings were that they derived from the weathered products of the underlying rock (Dorn, 1998); this “from the rock” paradigm was overwhelmingly falsified by secondary electron microscopy images (Potter and Rosman, 1977), delicately made ultra-thin cross-sections (Perry and Adams, 1978), and the first high resolution transmission electron microscope images (Krinsley et al., 1995). The academic debate over the internal vs. external origin of varnish constituents sometimes still emerges when investigators fail to use what are now basic tools in electron microscopy, but the reality is that the direction of material flow is actually the opposite of what was thought throughout much of the 20th century. Dissolution of rock coating accretions results in the translocation of externally-applied materials downward into the rock to produce case hardening (Dorn et al., 2012a, 2017) of weathering rinds (Fig. 32).

Rock varnish is but one of fourteen different types of coatings that cover rocks in the terrestrial weathering environment. Some coatings are always found separately, while others blend together creating an almost infinite variety. The only general theory that has been proposed to understand rock coatings is the paradigm of landscape geochemistry, originally developed by Soviet geographers. This framework focuses on the spatial aspects of biogeochemistry and interprets rock coatings in terms of element abundance, element migration, geochemical flows, geochemical gradients, and geochemical barriers. These components of understanding rock coatings are reorganized here in a hierarchy of five orders of control on what types of rock coatings develop:

- 1st order: bare rock faces must be exposed by erosional processes for rock coatings to be seen
- 2nd order: coatings originally formed in the subsurface can be exposed by erosional processes and are inherited from a different landscape geochemistry environment
- 3rd order: lithobionts such as lichens dominate rock surfaces in conditions where they grow much faster than inorganic rock coatings
- 4th order: the elements of rock coatings must be transported to bare rock surfaces;
- 5th order: barriers to the further transport of constituents result in the accumulation of rock coatings.

Rock coatings are important to the broader field of geomorphology in several different ways. They alter the appearance of bedrock landforms. Coatings can promote surface stability through case hardening; this first involves the mobilization of constituents from rock coatings and then reprecipitation within the pore spaces of the underlying weathering rind. In contrast, rock coatings formed in rock fissures in dusty warm deserts can accelerate physical weathering rates substantially through wedging rocks apart. Rock coatings likely occur as silica glaze on Mars. One of the most significant aspects of rock coatings in geomorphology rests with the revolutionary research by Tanzhuo Liu, where two decades of painstaking analysis of over 10,000 microsedimentary basins exemplifies how rock coatings can be used to analyze paleoclimatic changes and also provide minimum ages to understand landform evolution.

![Fig. 36](image_url) Secondary electron image of rock varnish of von Humboldt’s (1812) Orinoco site of rock varnish formed on quartz. Even at the scale where the coating is less than 100 nm thin, the accretionary nature of rock varnish is dramatically apparent.
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