Arabidopsis peroxisomes possess functionally redundant membrane and matrix isoforms of monodehydroascorbate reductase
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Summary
The H_2O_2 byproduct of fatty acid catabolism in plant peroxisomes is removed in part by a membrane-associated antioxidant system that involves both an ascorbate peroxidase and a monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDAR). Despite descriptions of 32-kDa MDAR polypeptides in pea and castor peroxisomal membranes and cDNA sequences for several ‘cytosolic’ MDARs, the genetic and protein factors responsible for peroxisomal MDAR function have yet to be elucidated. Of the six MDAR polypeptides in the Arabidopsis proteome, named AtMDAR1 to AtMDAR6 in this study, 47-kDa AtMDAR1 and 54-kDa AtMDAR4 possess amino acid sequences that resemble matrix (PTS1) and membrane peroxisomal targeting signals, respectively. Epitope-tagged versions of these two MDARs and a pea 47-kDa MDAR (PsMDAR) sorted in vivo directly from the cytosol to peroxisomes in Arabidopsis and BY-2 suspension cells, whereas AtMDAR2 and AtMDAR3 accumulated in the cytosol. The PTS1-dependent sorting of AtMDAR1 and PsMDAR to peroxisomes was incomplete (inefficient?), but was improved for PsMDAR after changing its PTS1 sequence from –SKI to the canonical tripeptide –SKL. A C-terminal transmembrane domain and basic cluster of AtMDAR4 were necessary and sufficient for targeting directly to peroxisomes. MDAR activity in isolated Arabidopsis peroxisomes was distributed among both water-soluble matrix and KCl-insoluble membrane subfractions that contained respectively 47- and 54-kDa MDAR polypeptides. Notably, a 32-kDa MDAR was not identified. Combined with membrane association and topological orientation findings, these results indicate that ascorbate recycling in Arabidopsis (and probably other plant) peroxisomes is coordinated through functionally redundant MDARs that reside in the membrane and the matrix of the organelle.
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Introduction
One function of plant peroxisomes is the removal of toxic reactive oxygen species, such as H_2O_2, that are produced during the oxidative metabolism that takes place in the matrix of the organelle. A portion of toxic H_2O_2 is removed by a cooperative pair of ascorbate-dependent electron transfer enzymes located at the peroxisomal membrane (Corpas et al., 2001; Donaldson, 2002; del Río et al., 2002). Specifically, ascorbate peroxidase (APX) initiates electron transfer from two molecules of ascorbate to convert H_2O_2 into water. This reaction produces two molecules of monodehydroascorbate (ascorbate free radical) which can be recycled immediately to reduced ascorbate by monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDAR) via electron transfer from NADH. Alternatively, monodehydroascorbate may disproportionate spontaneously to fully oxidized dehydroascorbate, which is reduced back to ascorbate by the action of a glutathione-dependent dehydroascorbate reductase (Jiménez et al., 1997; del Río et al., 1998). The NADH-dependent dehydrogenase activity of MDAR has been suggested as an important mechanism for the regeneration of
NAD to support the β-oxidation of fatty acids in oilseed glyoxysomes (specialized peroxisomes) (Donaldson, 2002; Mullen and Trelease, 1996).

Compared with its peroxisomal APX counterpart, MDAR is a much less characterized component of the peroxisomal membrane in plants. The association of MDAR catalytic activity with glyoxysomal or peroxisomal membranes has been reported by several groups studying different plant species (Bowditch and Donaldson, 1990; Bunkelmann and Trelease, 1996; Ishikawa et al., 1998; Jiménez et al., 1997; Karyotou and Donaldson, 2005; López-Huertas et al., 1999; Mittova et al., 2000). However, the specific peroxisomal membrane protein(s) (PMPs) responsible for this activity has been identified in only a few cases. For instance, in the membranes of castor glyoxysomes Luster et al. (1988) identified an integral 32-kDa polypeptide that exhibited NADH:ferricyanide reductase activity, which was found later to be responsible for the observed NADH-dependent reduction of monodehydroascorbate (Bowditch and Donaldson, 1990). López-Huertas et al. (1999) provided more convincing evidence for a similar 32-kDa PMP in pea leaf peroxisomes by showing that this PMP had ferricyanide reductase activity and was recognized by antibodies raised against a cucumber MDAR. Interestingly, these same antibodies were shown very recently to recognize a 47-kDa castor polypeptide that was associated with MDAR enzymatic activity in alkaline carbonate washed glyoxysomal membranes (Karyotou and Donaldson, 2005).

Despite this biochemical evidence, a gene coding for a peroxisomal membrane-bound MDAR has yet to be identified and described. Genes coding for putative matrix peroxisomal MDAR polypeptides have been cloned from pea (Murthy and Zilinskas, 1994), cucumber (Sano and Asada, 1994), tomato (Grantz et al., 1995) and Chinese cabbage (Yoon et al., 2004), and databases include reports of similar cDNAs/genes in rice (GenBank accession number D85764), broccoli (AB125637), iceplant (AJ301553) and Arabidopsis (AGI Locus At3g52880). The primary amino acid sequences of all these predicted MDARs include a type 1 matrix peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS1) that consists of a C-terminal tripeptide that resembles the canonical –SKL motif (Mullen, 2002; Olsen and Harada, 1995). Curiously, none of these MDARs have been evaluated for subcellular localization, nor have any of their C-terminal sequences been shown to function as a PTS.

Nearly all peroxisomal proteins are synthesized on cytosolic polyribosomes and then post-translationally targeted to pre-existing and/or differentiating organelles. In addition to the PTS1 mentioned above, many PMPs are targeted to the peroxisomal membrane by a less well-characterized membrane PTS (mPTS) that consists of a hydrophobic transmembrane domain (TMD) and an adjacent cluster of basic amino acids (Dyer et al., 1996; Hunt and Trelease, 2004; Jones et al., 2001; Mullen and Trelease, 2000; Wang et al., 2001). Coupled with the lack of secretory pathway targeting determinants, the presence of any PTS predicts that nascent matrix polypeptides or PMPs sort directly from their site of synthesis in the cytosol to peroxisomes. This direct pathway has been demonstrated for yeast PMP47 (Dyer et al., 1996) and Arabidopsis peroxin 3 (Hunt and Trelease, 2004), both of which possess a mPTS. However, recent findings suggest that at least some PMPs follow an indirect sorting pathway that includes the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). For example, peroxisomal APX and Arabidopsis peroxin 16 appear in a subdomain(s) of rough ER before reaching the peroxisomal membrane in Arabidopsis and BY-2 cultured cells (Karnik and Trelease, 2005; Lisenebe et al., 2003a,b; Mullen et al., 1999, 2001). Considering the cooperative functional roles of MDAR and APX, it is interesting that intracellular sorting pathways have not been elucidated for any of the MDARs identified previously.

Our goal in the present study was to establish a much-needed link between the genetic and protein components responsible for peroxisomal MDAR activity in plants. Obara et al. (2002) predicted the existence of seven MDAR polypeptides in Arabidopsis from as many then-available gene and cDNA sequences. In that work, they characterized two of the polypeptides as chloroplast and mitochondrial isoforms that were coded from a single alternatively transcribed open reading frame (ORF). Subsequent sequence updates indicate that this ORF resides within one of five MDAR loci in Arabidopsis, from which only six MDAR polypeptides may be predicted (assuming no other instances of alternative gene regulation). Two of the four uncharacterized MDARs are predicted 47- and 54-kDa polypeptides that possess a PTS1 and an mPTS, respectively. We cloned all four uncharacterized Arabidopsis MDARs and found from in vivo immunofluorescence sorting and targeting analyses that both of the putative peroxisomal MDARs sorted directly to Arabidopsis and BY-2 suspension cell peroxisomes in a PTS-dependent manner. These protein products corresponded to endogenous 47- and 54-kDa Arabidopsis polypeptides that in biochemical assays were associated both structurally and functionally with the peroxisomal matrix and membrane, respectively. The details of these findings with respect to enzyme function, protein sorting, organelle association and topology now provide a more complete foundation upon which models of peroxisomal ascorbate metabolism may be tested.

**Results**

*Identification of AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 as representative matrix- and membrane-localized peroxisomal MDARs*

For the purposes of this study, Arabidopsis gene loci are referred to by their AGI names and the proteins by the given names AtMDAR1 to AtMDAR6. AtMDAR1 (At3g52880)
possesses a C-terminal –AKI tripeptide that resembles the PTS1 signal for directing proteins to the peroxisomal matrix. Similarly, AtMDAR4 (At3g27820) contains within a unique C-terminal extension mPTS-like sequences that comprise a predicted TMD followed immediately by five basic arginine residues. Neither AtMDAR2 (At5g03630) nor AtMDAR3 (At3g09940) include sequence features that predict specific subcellular (organellar) localizations. AtMDAR5 and AtMDAR6 (At1g63940) are the respective mitochondrial and chloroplast MDARs that have been characterized previously (Obara et al., 2002).

We searched the literature and protein sequence databases for PTS-containing plant MDAR homologs with the goal of identifying sequences that would validate the predicted peroxisomal localizations and MDAR functions of AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4. The results are listed in Table 1 and are arranged in ascending order according to mass. Most of the sequences corresponded to MDAR polypeptides that possessed a C-terminal PTS1 signal. All these sequences had predicted masses of approximately 47 kDa and possessed the NADP/FAD binding domains that are typical of flavoproteins such as MDAR that belong to the pyridine nucleotide–disulphide oxidoreductase family (Pfam accession number PF00070). In comparison, AtMDAR1 is at least 76% identical to any one of the seven other PTS1-containing MDARs listed in Table 1 (alignment not shown). AtMDAR1 also contains three sequence motifs that correspond to the NADP/FAD binding domains typified by the cucumber and pea polypeptides, both of which have been purified and shown to exhibit NADH-dependent MDAR activity in vitro (Murthy and Zilinskas, 1994; Sano et al., 1995). Furthermore, the C-terminal –AKI tripeptide of AtMDAR1 matches the PTS1-like motif –(S/A)K(I/V) (Mullen, 2002) that is shared among this group of 47-kDa MDARs. The presence of functional domains responsible for MDAR catalytic activity and for targeting to peroxisomes supports the assignment of AtMDAR1 as an authentic peroxisomal matrix MDAR.

The biochemical and sequence data compiled in Table 1 indicated the existence of at least one other group of peroxisomal MDARs. This group consisted of four predicted approximately 52–54 kDa polypeptides that contained putative TMD regions at both ends of the proteins. AtMDAR4 is 70% identical to any one of the other group members (alignment not shown), all of which possess the three NADP/FAD binding motifs of the 47-kDa MDARs. The presence of functional domains most of TMD of AtMDAR4 is defined on each end by conserved proline and glycine residues and is situated adjacent to a tryptophancapped basic cluster –R(K/R)RRR that is shared by all five polypeptides (including an incomplete sequence from

---

**Table 1** Evidence for (putative) peroxisomal matrix and membrane MDAR proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Predicted size (kDa)</th>
<th>SDS-PAGE size (kDa)</th>
<th>(Predicted) location</th>
<th>GenBank no./AGI locus</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pisum sativum</em></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>López-Huertas et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ricinus communis</em></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>Luster et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hordeum vulgare</em></td>
<td>38.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39/40&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>CAC69935</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glycine max</em></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>47&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>Dalton et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>47&lt;sup&gt;i,j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>At3g52880</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brassica oleracea</em></td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>BAD14934</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brassica campestris</em></td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>AAK72107</td>
<td>Yoon et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>BAA77214</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mesembryanthemum crystallinum</em></td>
<td>47.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>CAC82727</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lycopersicon esculentum</em></td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>AAC41654</td>
<td>Grantz et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ricinus communis</em></td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>47&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>Karyotou and Donaldson (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pisum sativum</em></td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>47&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>AAA60979</td>
<td>Murthy and Zilinskas (1994); this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cucumis sativus</em></td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>47&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>BAA05408</td>
<td>Hosain and Asada (1985); Sano et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>AAL87166</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zea mays</em></td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>AY106846</td>
<td>Gardiner et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triticum aestivum</em></td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>BT009417</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>54&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>At3g27820</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Publicly available literature and sequence databases were searched for data supporting the existence of MDAR-like gene(s) and protein(s) affiliated with the ascorbate metabolism of plant peroxisomes. Listed in ascending order according to size are only those candidates for which the available experimental and/or sequence data strongly support peroxisomal functions. For MDAR-like sequences that have yet to be verified experimentally, the criteria for inclusion were the presence of amino acid sequences that resembled matrix or membrane peroxisomal targeting signals. Predicted sizes of polypeptides were calculated with the SAPS algorithm (Brendel et al., 1992); incomplete sequence, portion of sequence homologous to matrix MDAR proteins. SDS-PAGE sizes, as reported in the references listed, were derived from the following sources: immunoblot, *P. sativum* leaf PMPs; protein stain, *R. communis* glyoxysomal membrane extracts; protein stain, purified proteins from *G. max* root nodule extracts; immunoblot, *A. thaliana* suspension cell peroxisomal matrix fractions; immunoblot, *R. communis* glyoxysomal membrane fractions; protein stain, *E. coli* expressed proteins; protein stain, purified proteins from *C. sativus* fruit extracts; immunoblot, *E. coli* expressed proteins; immunoblot, *A. thaliana* suspension cell peroxisomal membrane fractions. n.d., not determined; n.a., not available.
Arabidopsis peroxisomes possess separate matrix and membrane MDARs

Sucrose density gradient separations were employed to assess the distributions of MDAR polypeptides and enzyme activities in subcellular fractions of Arabidopsis suspension cells. The representative gradient profiles shown in Figure 1(a) demonstrate that most of the peroxisomes equilibrated in the 1.25 g ml\(^{-1}\) regions of the gradients. These regions were well separated from mitochondria and were largely devoid of starch-containing non-green plastids that were removed from crude homogenates prior to gradient centrifugations (data not shown). Smaller peaks of catalase activity, marking either damaged peroxisomes and/or intact pre-peroxisomes, were measured consistently in the higher-density fractions of the mitochondrial regions. Relatively few peroxisomes were burst during the homogenization procedure, as evidenced by the low catalase activity in the soluble/cytosolic regions (fractions 24–29). These results are consistent with previous separations of Arabidopsis suspension cells, although the improved methods utilized here yielded three to seven times more catalase activity in the peroxisomal regions and reduced by at least 50% the catalase activity in the soluble regions (Flynn et al., 2005; Lisenbee et al., 2003a). Thus, via isopycnic centrifugation we were able to collect a high proportion of applied peroxisomes that were intact and virtually free of mitochondria and plastids.

MDAR activity exhibited three separate peaks in the peroxisomal, mitochondrial and cytosolic regions of the gradients (Figure 1a). The representative immunoblot analyses shown in Figure 1(b) indicate that these enzyme activities were attributable to MDAR polypeptides detected in peak peroxisomal, mitochondrial and cytosolic fractions. Specifically, peroxisomal fractions possessed two MDARs with apparent masses of 47 and 54 kDa, the latter being most abundant in fractions 5–7. Mitochondrial and cytosolic fractions also contained 47-kDa MDARs, as well as a 38-kDa MDAR in the cytosolic samples that was most prevalent in fractions 26 and 27. Most of these MDARs were detected in the clarified homogenates that were applied to the sucrose gradients, although the high protein concentration of these samples often precluded detection of the less-abundant MDARs, particularly the 54-kDa MDAR. Combined, the enzyme profiles and immunoblot analyses shown in Figure 1 demonstrate that peak MDAR activities equilibrate in well-separated peroxisomal, mitochondrial and cytosolic fractions of Arabidopsis suspension cells, each of which retains one or more different MDAR polypeptides.

Isolated peroxisomes were examined in more detailed enzymatic and biochemical analyses to determine if the endogenous 47- and 54-kDa MDAR polypeptides corresponded to any of the AtMDAR proteins that were predicted from Arabidopsis gene sequences. Table 2 lists enzyme activity data, and Figure 2(a) shows immunoblot analyses of MDAR and APX detected in peroxisomes and peroxisomal subfractions. Incubation of intact organelles (Figure 2a, lane 1) in hypotonic buffer and separation of membranes by centrifugation released portions of the 47-kDa MDAR into the water-soluble matrix (Figure 2a, lane 2) that exhibited three-quarters of the MDAR and catalase activities associated with peroxisomes (Table 2). This treatment did not release the...
54-kDa MDAR or membrane-bound APX, and appreciable amounts of MDAR activity were found repeatedly in the resulting membrane pellets. Subsequent incubation of these membranes in KCl removed the remainder of the 47-kDa MDAR (Figure 2a, lane 3), but not the 54-kDa MDAR or APX, both of which remained associated with the KCl-insoluble pellet (Figure 2a, lane 4) that received nearly all the membrane-associated MDAR activity (Table 2). Cytosolic samples contained 38- and 47-kDa MDARs and the soluble form of APX (Figure 2a, lane 5) that were detected with other immunologically related proteins in the clarified homogenates (Figure 2a, lane 6). Mitochondria isolated from the same sucrose gradients exhibited 50 times more MDAR activity than peroxisomes, most of which was associated with the mitochondrial matrix, and not KCl-extracted membranes that exhibited cytochrome c oxidase activity (Table 2).

Intact peroxisomes also were incubated with proteinase K with or without Triton X-100 to elucidate the topological orientation of the endogenous Arabidopsis MDARs. As shown in Figure 2(b), all the MDAR polypeptides as well as APX and catalase remained unchanged in control reactions in which neither proteinase K or detergent was added (lane 1). Incubation of intact peroxisomes in protease alone (lane 2) resulted in the digestion of cytosolically oriented APX, but not the 47- and 54-kDa MDAR proteins or catalase. However, the latter three proteins were digested when the peroxisomes were treated first with Triton X-100 and then incubated in proteinase K (lane 3). Together, the in vitro results presented in Table 2 and Figure 2 show that Arabidopsis peroxisomes retain two unique MDAR polypeptides that are distributed differentially among the membrane and matrix. More specifically, the 54-kDa MDAR is an integral membrane protein positioned on the matrix face of the membrane and, like catalase, the 47-kDa MDAR is in the matrix. These biochemical characteristics suggest that the endogenous 47- and 54-kDa peroxisomal MDARs are coded by the Arabidopsis genes AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4, respectively. Furthermore, the 47-kDa MDARs detected in mitochondria and the cytosol are probably coded by AtMDAR5 and AtMDAR2/3.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MDAR (nmol min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Catalase (µmol min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cytochrome c oxidase (µmol min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Protein (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applied sample</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td>14700</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxisomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl soluble</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl insoluble</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondria</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl soluble</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl insoluble</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>5380</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are averages derived from two experiments. Each experiment utilized organelle fractions pooled from three separate sucrose gradients (e.g. Figure 1a): aclarified homogenate (1500 g supernatant, 15 min) applied to the top of each 30–59% w/w sucrose gradient in a VTi 50 rotor tube (8.4 ml split equally among three gradients); bfractions 4–8; cfractions 13–15; dfractions 25–28. n.d., not determined.
AtMDAR1 sorts directly to peroxisomes by means of its PTS1 signal

The results of our sequence analyses matched those of our biochemical examinations in predicting the existence of separate 47- and 54-kDa polypeptides, namely AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4, which reside in the matrix and membrane of Arabidopsis peroxisomes. To confirm/refute these findings we cloned, epitope-tagged, and then overexpressed transiently AtMDAR1–AtMDAR4 (Table 3) for in vivo localization in Arabidopsis and tobacco BY-2 suspension cells.

Figure 3(a–h) shows the results of in vivo sorting analyses of transiently expressed myc-AtMDAR1. After 5 h of transient gene expression, application of anti-myc primary and fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies revealed that nearly all the overexpressed myc-AtMDAR1 was localized in the cytosol of either Arabidopsis (Figure 3a) or BY-2 (Figure 3c) cells. Curiously, after 20 h transgene expression most of the myc-AtMDAR1 had localized in Arabidopsis cells to peroxisomes that also were marked with anti-catalase antibodies (Figure 3e,f). Similar results were obtained with BY-2 cells subjected to the same 20-h expression period (Figure 3g,h), although the relative amount of expressed myc-AtMDAR1 localized to peroxisomes was much less than in Arabidopsis cells. Multiple labeling experiments with various organelle-specific markers were unable to detect myc-AtMDAR1 in compartments other than the cytosol or peroxisomes, and allowing cells to express the transgenes for longer periods did not change the peroxisomal sorting seen at 20 h (data not shown). Partial localization to peroxisomes was not observed in experiments with myc-AtMDAR2 (Figure 3i–l) or myc-AtMDAR3 (Figure 3m–p), both of which were detected only in the cytosol in both cell types after 20 h expression. Cumulatively, these results demonstrate that AtMDAR1 sorts directly to Arabidopsis and BY-2 cell peroxisomes, whereas AtMDAR2 and AtMDAR3 remain and probably function in the cytosol.

The sorting characteristics of AtMDAR1 prompted us to analyze more closely the protein’s putative PTS1 tripeptide. Figure 4(a–d) shows that removal of the –AKI tripeptide from the C terminus of AtMDAR1 (Table 3) abolishes its sorting to Arabidopsis and BY-2 cell peroxisomes after 20 h expression. This finding confirms the necessity of these three residues for peroxisomal targeting, and suggests that the analogous residues of the other seven 47-kDa MDARs listed in Table 1 also confer localization to peroxisomes. We tested this hypothesis with a partially characterized MDAR from pea and found that the results of similar in vivo sorting analyses mimicked those of AtMDAR1. More specifically, after 5 h expression nearly all the myc-PsMDAR was detected in the cytosol of Arabidopsis and BY-2 cells (Figure 4e–h), whereas after 20 h expression a portion of myc-PsMDAR was detected in peroxisomes (Figure 4i–l). We were able to increase the sorting efficiency of this MDAR, particularly in BY-2 cells, by changing the C-terminal tripeptide from –SKI to the more canonical –SKL. In both cell types, Figure 4(m–p) shows very little myc-PsMDAR (expressed for 20 h) in the cytosol and nearly perfect colocalization with endogenous catalase.

AtMDAR4 sorts directly to peroxisomal membranes and is inserted such that its N terminus is exposed to the cytosol

We also tested in vivo the hypothesis that transiently expressed myc-AtMDAR4 sorts to peroxisomal membranes in Arabidopsis and BY-2 suspension cells. Figure 5(a–d) shows representative Arabidopsis (Figure 5a,b) and BY-2 (Figure 5c,d) cells expressing for 2.5 and 5 h, respectively, myc-AtMDAR4 within peroxisomes that also contained the marker enzyme catalase. These periods were the earliest

Table 3 Alignment of C-terminal amino acid sequences of MDAR protein variants created for sorting and targeting experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDAR variant name</th>
<th>C-terminal sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR1</td>
<td>-SFAAKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR1-Δ3</td>
<td>-SFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-PsMDAR</td>
<td>-SFAKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-PsMDAR-1433L</td>
<td>-SFAKIX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR2</td>
<td>-SFATNI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR2-Δ1</td>
<td>-SFATKFYSTSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR4</td>
<td>-GFAHTVVSQKVEPKDIPSAMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR4-Δ1</td>
<td>-GFAHTVVSQKVEPKDIPSAMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR4-Δ6</td>
<td>-GFAHTVVSQKVEPKDIPSAMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc-AtMDAR4-Δ38</td>
<td>-GFAHTVVSQKVEPKDIPSAMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-AtMDAR4(6)</td>
<td>-LYKSSSVMMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-AtMDAR4(TMID)</td>
<td>-LYKSSSVMMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-AtMDAR4(38)</td>
<td>-LYKSSSVMMKKLYVWAATQGVVVAASVAAFAFWY -LYKSSRRRRRRW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Epitope-tagged A. thaliana and P. sativum MDAR proteins (bold type) were aligned using the CLUSTALW algorithm. PTS1-like tripeptides are single-underlined. mPTS-like TMDs predicted with the TMHMM program (version 2.0) and basic (positively charged) amino acid clusters are double-underlined, respectively.

time-points after which myc-AtMDAR4 could be detected reliably in these cells, and examinations of numerous images did not show the protein within any other organellar compartment. It should be noted that we observed overexpressed myc-AtMDAR4 within a non-peroxisomal compartment in both cell types, although the effect was most pronounced in BY-2 cells after expression periods longer than 8 h (data not shown). A careful series of experiments confirmed that myc-AtMDAR4 had not sorted to ER or pER, and that the non-peroxisomal structures
instead represented an overexpression artifact that had been observed and described in detail previously (Lisenbee et al., 2003b). Hence, our interpretation is that newly synthesized AtMDAR4 sorts in both cell types directly from the cytosol to peroxisomes, rather than indirectly to peroxisomes through pER.

Figure 6 summarizes the results of in vivo topology studies that utilized the N-terminal position of the myc epitope to elucidate the orientation of overexpressed myc-AtMDAR4. Arabidopsis cells bombarded with genes coding for myc-AtMDAR4 or myc-AtMDAR2 (control) were fixed in formaldehyde and then plasma membranes were permeabilized selectively with digitonin. In both cases, applied antibodies bound to exposed myc epitopes on the cytosolic surfaces of punctate peroxisomes for myc-AtMDAR4 (Figure 6a) or to epitopes distributed throughout the cytosol for myc-AtMDAR2 (Figure 6c). These labeling patterns resembled closely those of Triton X-100-permeabilized cells expressing myc-AtMDAR4 (Figure 5a) or myc-AtMDAR2 (Figure 3i). Dual labeling of the digitonin-permeabilized cells with anti-catalase antibodies confirmed the inaccessibility of antigens within the peroxisomal matrix (Figure 6b,d). In another control experiment, mock-transformed cells permeabilized with digitonin showed bright labeling of cytosolic microtubules (Figure 6e), whereas in the same cell endogenous catalase in the peroxisomal matrix was not accessible to applied IgGs (Figure 6f). From these experiments we conclude that the myc epitope of myc-AtMDAR4, and thus the N terminus of the AtMDAR4 polypeptide, is situated on the cytosolic face of peroxisomal membranes. Similar studies with BY-2 cells corroborated this orientation (data not shown).

The mPTS of AtMDAR4 is necessary and sufficient for targeting and insertion into peroxisomal membranes

A series of mutagenesis experiments was performed to determine whether the putative mPTS of myc-AtMDAR4 was responsible for the observed sorting to Arabidopsis and BY-2 peroxisomes. When we removed the C-terminal tryptophan of myc-tagged AtMDAR4 and expressed the mutant transiently in Arabidopsis cells, Figure 7(a,b) shows that the proteins were sorted normally to pre-existing peroxisomes that also contained matrix catalase. However, truncation of the tryptophan and the basic cluster (six C-terminal residues) eliminated completely targeting to peroxisomes (Figure 7c,d), as did removal of the entire putative mPTS (38 C-terminal residues) (Figure 7e,f). These Δ6 and Δ38 truncation mutants sorted instead to unidentified punctate (non-peroxisomal) structures and/or the cytosol, but not to
fluorescent structures resembling reticular ER or circular aggregates of chloroplasts and mitochondria, as described in Lisenbee et al. (2003b).

In a separate set of experiments, C-terminal portions of AtMDAR4 were appended to a monomeric variant of green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Table 3) to ask which residues provide information sufficient for peroxisomal targeting. Figure 7(g,i) illustrates, respectively, that addition of either the basic cluster (six C-terminal residues) or the C-terminal TMD of AtMDAR4 to the C terminus of GFP did not permit targeting to catalase-containing peroxisomes in Arabidopsis cells (Figure 7h,j). Like the truncated myc-AtMDAR4 mutants, the majority of these GFP fusion proteins remained instead in the cytosol, with some in the nucleus (see Figure 7g). Conversely, when both the C-terminal TMD and the basic cluster (38 C-terminal residues) were appended to GFP, the expressed products targeted to Arabidopsis peroxisomes that also were marked by catalase (Figure 7k,l). From these findings, we conclude that the C-terminal TMD and basic cluster of AtMDAR4 together form an authentic mPTS that is both necessary and sufficient for peroxisomal targeting.

Discussion

Reduction of monodehydroascorbate is an important step in the recycling of the ascorbate antioxidants utilized by peroxisomes for turnover of potentially toxic H₂O₂. Because direct recycling of monodehydroascorbate is performed by the NADH-dependent oxidoreductase MDAR, the ascorbate-mediated removal of reactive oxygen species has been linked to the secondary function of supplying the NAD needed for peroxisomal metabolism. However, recurring reports of peroxisomal MDAR activities and polypeptides from the past 20 years have yet to provide a set of results that have culminated in a general understanding of MDAR function(s) in peroxisomes. We have attempted to address this shortcoming with this comprehensive, multi-pronged analysis of previously uncharacterized MDAR gene and protein candidates in Arabidopsis.

The data compiled in Table 1 suggest that all but the most specialized plant peroxisomes require two different MDAR isoenzymes for proper regulation of ascorbate metabolism, grouped broadly as approximately 47-kDa matrix and approximately 54-kDa membrane polypeptides. Of the four uncharacterized Arabidopsis MDAR genes, only AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 code for MDAR polypeptides that could be assigned to these groups based upon structural features of the predicted proteins. Their high degree of amino acid identity to other well-characterized MDARs (Murthy and Zilinskas, 1994; Sano et al., 1995), as well as our ability to detect MDAR activities and immunorelated 47- and 54-kDa polypeptides in isolated Arabidopsis peroxisomes, strongly support the assignment of AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 as bona fide NADH-dependent monodehydroascorbate reductases.
Coupled with the finding that epitope-tagged versions of AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 sorted to peroxisomes in vivo, we are confident that the AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 genes code for the Arabidopsis 47- and 54-kDa MDARs that appear to be common components of nearly all plant peroxisomes.

None of the four uncharacterized Arabidopsis MDARs appeared to represent the membrane-associated 32- and 47-kDa MDARs in castor glyoxysomal membranes, the 32-kDa MDAR in pea leaf peroxisomal membranes or the 39/40-kDa MDARs in soybean root nodule extracts (Table 1). Our biochemical results confirmed this notion by identifying 47- and 54-kDa MDAR polypeptides in isolated Arabidopsis peroxisomes, each of which exhibited distinguishable matrix and membrane associations, respectively, on blots that were probed with anti-cucumber 47-kDa MDAR antibodies (Sano et al., 1995). These results were surprising, because the same antibodies recognized the seemingly very different 32-kDa pea and 47-kDa castor MDAR PMPs (Karyotou and Donaldson, 2005; López-Huertas et al., 1999). In the current study, these antibodies also cross-reacted with a 38-kDa Arabidopsis polypeptide that was most abundant in cytosolic fractions. This polypeptide also was detected inconsistently in peroxisome preparations, and when present always behaved as a loosely attached, protease-sensitive component of intact organelles. These findings may point to the existence of as-yet unidentified peroxisomal MDARs in Arabidopsis, and to the speculation that the 38-kDa protein corresponds to the pea and castor 32-kDa MDARs and/or the soybean 39/40-kDa MDARs. Such a hypothesis is discredited by the fact that the 32-kDa MDARs exhibited much tighter associations with peroxisomal/glyoxysomal membranes than did the 38-kDa polypeptide (Bowditch and Donaldson, 1990; Jiménez et al., 1997; López-Huertas et al., 1999; Luster et al., 1988). Although none of the AtMDAR genes coded for a 38-kDa polypeptide, another possibility is that the 47-kDa AtMDAR2 and/or AtMDAR3 isoforms that were shown in this study to remain in the cytosol are post-translationally processed to a cytosolic 38-kDa MDAR. Our contention is that the 38-kDa polypeptide is not another peroxisomal MDAR, but is instead an immunorelated cytosolic oxidoreductase that may associate peripherally with the cytosolic side of peroxisomal membranes, although the unreliable behavior of this interaction probably indicates that it was an artifact of the cell fractionations.

The inability of KCl to remove most of the 54-kDa polypeptide (AtMDAR4) and enzyme activity from peroxisomal membranes is consistent with previous results, and in our opinion provides the most reliable measure of membrane association in this system. For example, KCl treatments also did not remove MDAR enzyme activities from spinach glyoxysomal (Ishikawa et al., 1998) or pea leaf peroxisomal (Jiménez et al., 1997) membranes. In a more detailed analysis, Bowditch and Donaldson (1990) showed that KCl-treated castor glyoxysomal membranes retained 80% of the total pretreatment MDAR activity. Notably, this and another study (Bunkelmann and Trelease, 1996) also revealed that 0.1 M Na2CO3 abolished 85% of the total MDAR activity, prompting the authors to suggest that these conditions cannot be used to assess membrane associations. In support of this contention, our attempts at detecting MDAR polypeptides within peroxisomal membranes that had been extracted sequentially with KCl and then Na2CO3 yielded results that were inconsistent with those derived from use of KCl alone. Furthermore, these findings were not consistent with predictions of the biochemical behavior of matrix-associated catalase or the membrane association of an AtMDAR4 polypeptide having two putative TMDs. None the less, alkaline carbonate washes have been employed commonly in even the most recent studies of MDAR PMPs, but it must be emphasized that none of these studies have addressed the association of a 54-kDa MDAR. For instance, López-Huertas et al. (1999) showed that a 32-kDa pea leaf MDAR isolated from carbonate-washed peroxisomal membranes possessed NADH-dependent ferricyanide reductase activity; the MDAR activity of this polypeptide was not measured, due presumably to its carbonate inactivation. Karyotou and Donaldson (2005) detected MDAR activity in carbonate-washed castor glyoxysomal membranes, but this activity was attributed to a 47-kDa polypeptide that until this report had not been detected in castor. Considering our consistent immunodetection within KCl-washed peroxisomal membranes of a 54-kDa MDAR under conditions that preserved detectable MDAR activity, we conclude that AtMDAR4, like peroxisomal APX, is an integral membrane protein of Arabidopsis peroxisomes.

As predicted, the PTS sequences of both AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 provided the targeting information necessary for sorting transiently expressed, epitope-tagged versions of these proteins to Arabidopsis and BY-2 peroxisomes. However, we were surprised to find that the C-terminal –AKI and –SKI tripeptides of AtMDAR1 and PsMDAR, respectively, may have functioned inefficiently in peroxisomal targeting. Although this could have been due to overexpression from the CaMV 35S promoter, others have concluded from similar observations that residues outside the PTS1 signal function as accessory sequences that enable peroxisomal targeting by non-optimal PTS1 combinations (Bongcam et al., 2000; Mullen, 2002; Mullen et al., 1997a,b). We did not test this hypothesis directly for AtMDAR1 or PsMDAR, but did note enhanced peroxisomal sorting upon changing the –SKI tripeptide of PsMDAR to –SKL. Similarly, the TMD and the adjacent basic amino acid cluster at the C terminus of AtMDAR4 together, but not singly, were necessary and sufficient for targeting to peroxisomes. Mullen and Trelease (2000) arrived at a similar conclusion with respect to the analogous TMD and basic cluster of peroxisomal membrane APX. The sorting of several PMPs to peroxisomal membranes depends upon a basic amino acid cluster...
(Baerends et al., 2000; Brosius et al., 2002; Dyer et al., 1996; Elgersma et al., 1997; Honsho and Fujiki, 2001; Hunt and Trelease, 2004; Kammerer et al., 1998; Murphy et al., 2003; Soukupova et al., 1999), and in some cases sorting occurs indirectly through the ER when the basic cluster is juxtaposed with a TMD (Baerends et al., 1996, 2000; Elgersma et al., 1997; Mullen and Trelease, 2000). Interestingly, the mPTS of AtMDAR4 is contained within an approximately 60 amino acid C-terminal extension that is strikingly similar to the mPTS-containing C-terminal extensions of peroxisomal membrane-bound APXs (Jespersen et al., 1997; Mullen and Trelease, 2000). When protein sequence databases were queried with the C-terminal extensions of either AtMDAR4 or cottonseed peroxisomal APX, other plant peroxisomal APXs and the 54-kDa MDARs were the only mPTS-containing sequences identified (data not shown). Despite their similar mPTSs, our early stage time-course results suggest that AtMDAR4 does not sort to peroxisomes indirectly through the pER subdomain that is utilized by peroxisomal APX (Lisenbee et al., 2003a,b; Mullen et al., 1999).

Results presented in the current study also suggest that AtMDAR4 is associated with and inserted into the peroxisomal membrane in a manner different from peroxisomal APX, an integral type II (NcytosolCmatrix) tail-anchored PMP (Mullen and Trelease, 2000). For instance, 54 kDa AtMDAR4 in intact peroxisomes was inaccessible to applied proteases in the absence of detergent, indicating that most of the polypeptide faces the peroxisomal matrix and not the cytosol. This ‘reverse’ orientation of AtMDAR4 places the enzyme’s active site within the peroxisomal matrix, thus indicating that the PMP is by definition not a C-terminal tail-anchored protein with a large functional domain in the cytosol (Wattenberg and Lithgow, 2001). A separate set of topology experiments showed that the N terminus of AtMDAR4 was accessible to applied IgGs under conditions that prevented detection of matrix antigens. These data are consistent with the most accurate TMD algorithms that predict membrane-spanning regions at each end of the polypeptide (Møller et al., 2001), as well as with the finding that the 54-kDa AtMDAR4 behaved as a KCl-insoluble, integral component of Arabidopsis peroxisomal membranes. This topology clearly carries functional implications for MDAR catalytic activity (see below), particularly in that the N-terminal TMD of AtMDAR4 curiously includes one of the FAD-binding sequence motifs that categorizes the protein in part as an authentic MDAR. None the less, it seems clear that upon reaching the peroxisomal membrane, newly synthesized AtMDAR1 is inserted into and resides within the matrix, whereas nascent AtMDAR4 is integrated, such that the bulk of the polypeptide is on the matrix face of the membrane with the N terminus exposed to the cytosol.

The diagram shown in Figure 8 incorporates the data presented in this study into a new understanding of the subcellular localizations and functional implications of the MDAR proteome in Arabidopsis. In the top portion of the figure, nascent MDAR polypeptides in the cytosol are sorted to known sites of ascorbate metabolism: AtMDAR1 and AtMDAR4 to the peroxisomal matrix and membrane, AtMDAR2 and AtMDAR3 to the cytosol and AtMDAR5 and AtMDAR6 to the mitochondrial matrix and chloroplast stroma, respectively (Obara et al., 2002). In peroxisomes, monodehydroascorbate reduction is coordinated among cytosolic and peroxisomal MDARs on both sides of the peroxisomal membrane (Figure 8, inset), and probably occurs in concert with its production by the cytosolically oriented peroxisomal APX. That the active site of Arabidopsis peroxisomal APX is on the cytosolic face of the membrane (Lisenbee et al., 2003a) suggests oxidized ascorbate is produced in the cytosol and is thus inaccessible to the matrix-localized active sites of the two peroxisomal MDARs. It may be reasonable to predict, however, that some or all of the substrates required by APX and MDAR permeate the peroxisomal membrane. Peroxisomes have been shown to be permeable to H2O2, and substrate shuttles have been documented in conjunction with several metabolic pathways (Donaldson, 2002). The depictions in Figure 8 also agree with
the reported matrix-associated MDAR activities of pea leaf peroxisomes (Jiménez et al., 1997) and castor glyoxysomes (Bowditch and Donaldson, 1990), but it is notable that these studies did not determine whether the activities originated from a matrix protein and/or a PMP. In contrast, enzyme latency studies showed that the active sites of spinach peroxisomal MDAR were on the cytosolic side of the membrane (Ishikawa et al., 1998). Donaldson (2002) has provided the particularly relevant explanation that these observations in spinach may represent a matrix-facing enzyme whose substrates permeate the membrane at rates greater than the enzyme’s turnover number. More recent work from this group also suggested that APX and MDAR form a cooperative membrane-bound complex for the efficient removal of H2O2 (Karyotou and Donaldson, 2005), possibly to effect the direct shuttling of substrates and electron equivalents across the peroxisomal membrane. Regardless of the exact mechanism, this new representation provides the most complete and detailed understanding to date of how APX and MDAR might be configured functionally within the peroxisomal matrix and membrane for the removal of reactive oxygen species.

**Experimental procedures**

**Arabidopsis cell culture, sucrose gradient isolation and subfractionation of organelles, enzyme assays and membrane protein association and topology**

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana var. Landsberg erecta) suspension cells (50 ml cultures) were grown, maintained and harvested according to Lisenbee et al. (2003a). For isolation of organelles in sucrose gradients, protoplasts were prepared prior to cell disruption as follows. Cells collected by centrifugation from each 4-day culture were washed twice (5 min each at room temperature) with 25 ml of aqueous 0.4 M d-mannitol. The cells were then resuspended in 25 ml of protoplasting solution (Arabidopsis culture medium (Lisenbee et al., 2003a) plus 0.4 M d-mannitol, 0.1% w/v Pectinase (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and 1% w/v Cellulase Y-C (Karan Research Products, Cottonwood, AZ, USA)) and incubated with rocking inversion at 30°C until the 20–30-cell clusters typical of Arabidopsis suspension cultures were reduced to one to four cells per cluster (approximately 2.5 h). The protoplasts were pelleted in a fixed-angle Sorvall SS-34 rotor at 480 g for 10 min and washed subsequently three times in aqueous 0.4 M d-mannitol before final resuspension in two pellet volumes of ice-cold homogenization medium (HM) (25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 0.7 M sucrose, 3 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Resuspended cells (5–6 ml, equivalent to 1–1.5 flasks of 4-day cells) were disrupted with an ice-cold 15 ml Dounce (Wheaton Science Products, Millville, NJ, USA) tissue grinder (pestle ‘A’) using 25–35 up-and-down movements until approximately 80% of the cells were ruptured as judged by optical microscopy. Homogenates were centrifuged in a Sorvall HB-6 swing-out rotor at 1500 g for 15 min at 4°C to pellet unbroken cells, starch-containing non-green plastids, nuclei and cell debris. The resulting supernatants (2.5–3 ml, equivalent to 1 flask of 4-day cells) were loaded onto 25-ml linear gradients (30–59% w/w sucrose in 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5) underlay with 5-ml cushions (59% w/w sucrose) in Beckman vTi 50 Quick-Seal centrifuge tubes (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). Each applied sample was overlaid with HM (0.4 M sucrose) before the gradient tubes were heat-sealed and then centrifuged in a vTi 50 rotor at 50 000 g for 75 min at 4°C. Peroxisomes equilibrated as a white band near the bottom of the gradients clearly separated from the larger mitochondrial band at lower sucrose density. Fractions (1 ml) were collected by hand through a hole punctured in the bottom of the tubes. The peroxisomes used for the topological studies presented in Figure 2(b) were isolated similarly according to the procedure detailed in Lisenbee et al. (2003a).

Experiments designed to elucidate the organellar distribution and membrane association of MDAR proteins in Arabidopsis peroxisomes were conducted mainly as described by Lisenbee et al. (2003a). The following describes important detailed differences. Organelles (Figure 1) in fractions 4–8 (peroxisomes), 13–15 (mitochondria) and 25–28 (cytosol) were pooled from each of three gradients. A duplicate set of pooled fractions from three other combined gradients also was prepared. Pooled peroxisomes (approximately 10 ml) or mitochondria (approximately 10 ml) were burst in 1.5 volumes of 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, with incubation (inversion rocking) for 40 min at 4°C. The suspensions were centrifuged in a Beckman fixed-angle 70 Ti rotor at 150 000 g for 45 min at 4°C to produce pelleted membranes and supernatants (water-solubilized proteins). The membrane pellets were resuspended in 1 ml (peroxisomes) or 4 ml (mitochondria) of 0.2 M KCl in 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, and incubated with intermittent mixing for 60 min at 4°C. KCl-insoluble membranes were pelleted from these suspensions in a Beckman 90 Ti rotor at 150 000 g for 30 min, generating a supernatant with KCl-soluble proteins. The KCl-insoluble membrane pellets were resuspended in 1 ml (peroxisomes) or 2 ml (mitochondria) of 0.2 M KCl in 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, for enzyme and protein assays. Peroxisomes used for protease-digestion (proteinase K) topology experiments were treated as described in detail in Lisenbee et al. (2003a).

Catalase and cytochrome c oxidase activities were assayed as described by Ni et al. (1990) and Tolbert et al. (1986), respectively. MDAR enzyme activities were assayed essentially as described by Bunkelmann and Trelease (1996). Briefly, the reaction was carried out in a final 1-ml volume of 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6, 2.5 mM ascorbate (Sigma), 0.5 units ascorbate oxidase (Sigma), 5–100 μl sample (up to 400 μl in very dilute samples) and 0.1 mM NADH (Sigma). The components were added sequentially to a quartz cuvette and the linear decrease in A340 was monitored for 1–2 min. These assays included measuring the potential rate of MDAR-dependent NADH oxidation by omitting additions of ascorbate and ascorbate oxidase and subtracting this potential activity from the rate of MDAR-dependent NADH oxidation. Activity of the commercially supplied ascorbate oxidase was verified using a modification of the manufacturer’s suggested assay, i.e., the decrease in A340 of ascorbate was followed in a 1-ml reaction containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6, ascorbate (A340 approx. 0.907) and 0.5 units ascorbate oxidase.

Buoyant density measurement of sucrose gradient fractions, protein estimation, trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation, sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and immunoblot detection were carried out essentially as described by Lisenbee et al. (2003a). The modifications used in this paper are that a final concentration of 0.05% w/v deoxycholate was added to all fractions prior to protein precipitation at a final concentration of 10% w/v TCA for 30 min at 4°C. Samples (25 μg protein) were neutralized with solid Tris base and stored at 4°C as described. Samples were reduced by additions of freshly prepared 0.5 μl or 1 μl DTT to a final concentration of 10 mM, and then boiled 8 min prior to

separation in 10% w/v precast Mini-Protean II polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Electrophoresis was performed for 45 min (for two gels). Primary and secondary antibodies were used as follows: rabbit anti-cucumber 47-kDa MDAR antiserum (1:1000) (Sano et al., 1995), rabbit anti-cucumber peroxisomal APX IgGs (1:1000) (Corpas et al., 1994), rabbit anti-cottonseed catalase IgGs (1:1000 or 1:2000) (Kunce et al., 1988) and goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugate (1:10 000) (Bio-Rad).

**Acquisition, subcloning and mutagenesis of MDAR coding sequences**

Molecular biology reagents employed in standard recombinant DNA manipulations were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA), New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA, USA) and Takara Bio-medicals (Otsu, Shiga, Japan). Mutations were incorporated into coding sequences in polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based site-directed mutagenesis reactions that included appropriate forward and reverse mutagenic primers. Mutagenic primer sets were designed for either fragment-specific or whole-plasmid PCR amplifications; the latter were carried out using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Custom oligonucleotide primers were synthesized by Genetech Biosciences (Tempe, AZ, USA), and all (mutated) plasmid inserts were confirmed by automated dye-terminator cycle sequencing (Arizona State University DNA Laboratory, Tempe, AZ, USA). Sequence details and DNA samples of all primer sets and plasmids used/created in this study are available from the authors upon request.

Full-length expressed sequence tag cDNAs were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA) for A. thaliana ecotype Columbia genes AtMDAR1 (ABRC stock number U12996), AtMDAR2 (U14648) and AtMDAR3 (U21865). All three cDNAs were epitope-tagged as follows. First, open reading frames (ORFs) were amplified from their parent plasmids in PCR reactions that replaced start codons with in-frame BamHI sites and appended XbaI sites after the stop codons. PCR products were TA cloned into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA), digested with BamHI and XbaI, and then ligated into BamHI/XbaI-digested pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR1, pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR2 and pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR3. pRTL2/mycBX is a CaMV 35S promoter-driven plant expression cassette that adds a single copy of the myc epitope to the 5’ end of an ORF. The PsMDAR ORF was amplified from pSK/PsMDAR (Murphy and Zilinskas, 1994) and myc epitope-tagged as described above for AtMDAR1, except that the start codon was replaced with an XbaI-compatible Nhel site for subcloning into an analogous pRTL2/mycX plant expression cassette. A full-length cDNA of AtMDAR4 was amplified from 4-day Arabidopsis suspension cell total RNA using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and the access reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) system (Promega), both according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The forward primer corresponded to sequences downstream of the initiation codon, which was replaced by an in-frame Nhel site. The reverse primer corresponded to sequences upstream of and including the termination codon and introduced a unique XbaI site within the 3’ untranslated region. The cDNA products were TA cloned, sequenced to verify amplification of the correct MDAR ORF, and then subcloned into XbaI-digested pRTL2/mycX to yield epitope-tagged pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4.

Sequences coding for the C-terminal PTS signals of AtMDAR1, PsMDAR and AtMDAR4 were modified for targeting necessity experiments as follows. pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR1 Δ3 was created from pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR1 in a whole-plasmid PCR reaction that changed the GCT codon encoding A432 to a TGA stop codon. Similarly, pRTL2/myc-PsMDAR-1433L was created from pRTL2/myc-PsMDAR using complementary primers that changed the ATT codon encoding I433 to a leucine-coding TTA codon. To create pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4-Δ1, the AtMDAR4 ORF was PCR-amplified from pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4 using the forward primer described above and a mutagenic reverse primer that changed the final tryptophan-coding TGG codon to a TGA stop codon. The PCR products were TA cloned, digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes, and then ligated into pRTL2/mycX to yield pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4-Δ1. Whole-plasmid PCR reactions were used to generate pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4-Δ6 and pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4-Δ38 from pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4 with complementary primers that substituted TGA stop codons for those encoding amino acids R483 and S451, respectively.

Fusion of various portions of the mPTs of AtMDAR4 to the C terminus of GFP for targeting sufficiency experiments was accomplished as follows. pRTL2/GFP-AtMDAR4(6) was made by first annealing complementary oligonucleotides containing sequences coding for the RRRRW basic cluster (six C-terminal residues) and stop codon of AtMDAR4. The resulting double-stranded fragments were phosphorylated with T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) and then ligated through designed 5’ Nhel and 3’ XbaI overhangs into an XbaI-digested pRTL2/GFP fusion cassette to yield pRTL2/GFP-AtMDAR4(6). pRTL2/GFP was created from sequential whole-plasmid PCR reactions that inserted both an in-frame XbaI site in place of the stop codon and a monomer-inducing A206K mutation (Lisenbee et al., 2003b; Zacharias et al., 2002) into a plant optimized S65T variant of GFP (Haseloff et al., 1997). pRTL2/GFP-AtMDAR4(38) was made by first PCR-amplifying from pRTL2/myc-AtMDAR4 the TMD (residues 451–482) or the entire mPTs (residues 451–488) of AtMDAR4. Both reactions included a mutagenic forward primer that introduced an in-frame Nhel site after the codon encoding amino acid A450; mutagenic reverse primers either substituted a TGA stop codon and an XbaI site for that encoding R483 [pRTL2/GFP-AtMDAR4(38)] or appended an XbaI site after the natural stop codon [pRTL2/GFP-AtMDAR4(38)]. TA-cloned PCR products were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes and then ligated into XbaI-digested pRTL2/GFPX as described above.

**BY-2 cell culture and microprojectile bombardment of suspension cells**

Detailed descriptions of how Nicotiana tabacum L., cv. Bright Yellow 2 (BY-2) suspension-cultured cells were propagated in MS medium and how Arabidopsis and BY-2 cells were transformed via microprojectile bombardments can be found in Lisenbee et al. (2003a and Mullen et al. (1999), respectively. For transient transformations, cells were resuspended in the appropriate transformation medium (MS medium without growth hormones), spread onto filter papers in petri dishes pre-wetted with the same medium and how Arabidopsis and BY-2 cells were transformed via microprojectile bombardments can be found in Lisenbee et al. (2003a) and Mullen et al. (1999), respectively. For transient transformations, cells were resuspended in the appropriate transformation medium (MS medium without growth hormones), spread onto filter papers in petri dishes pre-wetted with the same medium and equilibrated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Equilibrated cells were bombarded with DNA-coated tungsten particles and then held in covered petri dishes for 2–20 h (sometimes longer) to allow expression of the introduced transgene(s).

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Bombarded cells were scraped from filter papers, fixed in 4% w/v formaldehyde (prepared fresh from paraformaldehyde) (Ted Pella, Redding, CA, USA), and then perforated/digested in 0.1% w/v Pectolyase Y-23 (Karlan) and 0.1% w/v Cellulase RS (Arabidopsis only)
(Karlan) in preparation for immunofluorescence localization of the expressed proteins (Mullen et al., 2001). Fixed and perforated cells were immunolabeled according to our standard 1-ml volume procedure described in Lisenbee et al. (2003a). Briefly, cells were permeabilized first in 0.3% v/v Triton X-100 (Sigma) and were then incubated for 1 h each in primary and fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted in PBS. In experiments aimed at determining the topological orientation of proteins in vivo (Figure 6), Arabidopsis cells instead were perforated/digested in 0.1% w/v Pectinase for 1 h at 30°C and then were permeabilized selectively at plasma, but not organellar, membranes with 25 μg ml⁻¹ digitonin for 15 min at room temperature (Mullen et al., 2001). Primary antibody sources and concentrations were as follows: mouse anti-myc monoclonal antibody 9E10 (1:500) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), mouse anti-chick brain α-tubulin monoclonal antibody DM1A (1:500) (Accurate Chemical and Scientific Corp., Westbury, NY, USA) and rabbit anti-cottonseed catalase IgGs (1:500 or 1:2000) (Kunce et al., 1988). Secondary immunoreagents conjugated to various green, red or far-red fluorophores were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (Westgrove, PA, USA). Immunolabeled cells were examined and photographed as described (Hunt and Trelease, 2004), and the resulting micrographs were adjusted for contrast and assembled into plates with Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA).
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