## MAE384, Spring 2020 Homework \#3

The deadline of this assignment will be announced by instructor. Please follow the rules on collaboration as given in Homework \#1. A statement on collaboration is required for all reports. Please include computer code(s) in the report.

In this assignment, we use " $h$ " (as adopted in the textbook) instead of " $\Delta x$ " (as used in the lectures) to denote the grid spacing for a finite difference method. In all problems, the grid is assumed to be uniform (i.e., $h$ is a constant).

Prob 1. (4 points)
In this problem, different numerical methods will be used to evaluate the first derivative of the function (which we encountered in HW1-Prob1),
$f(x)=e^{\left[\sin \left(e^{x}\right)\right]}+0.1 x^{2}-2$,
over the interval of $2 \leq x \leq 4$. First, find $f^{\prime}(x)$ analytically as a preparation for the following tasks.
(a) Choose the interval of discretization as $h=0.01$ and evaluate $f^{\prime}(x)$ numerically at $x=2.0,2.01,2.02$, $2.03, \ldots, 3.98,3.99$, and 4.0 . First, perform the calculation using the 2-point central difference scheme (3rd formula in Table $8-1$ in p. 318). Then, repeat the calculation using the 4-point central difference scheme (4th formula in Table 8-1 in p. 318). Plot the numerically evaluated and analytically derived $f^{\prime}(x)$ over the interval of $2 \leq x \leq 4$. Please collect all three curves (or sets of symbols) - one analytic and two numerical - in a single plot. It is recommended that the analytic formula be plotted as connected line, and numerically obtained derivatives plotted as symbols. See Matlab Example 41-44 for useful commands for making a clear plot.
(b) Repeat (a) but now choose $h=0.005$ and evaluate $f^{\prime}(x)$ at $x=2.0,2.005,2.01,2.015,2.02, \ldots, 3.985$, $3.99,3.995$, and 4.0. Again, the computation will use the 2nd-order central and 4th order central finite difference schemes, with the derivatives obtained by the numerical methods plotted against the analytically derived $f^{\prime}(x)$.
(c) Define the numerical error at a given location of $x$ as numerically evaluated $f^{\prime}(x)$ minus the exact (analytically derived) value of $f^{\prime}(x)$. (Note: No need to take the absolute value of this difference. The error defined here can be positive or negative.) Make two plots:
(i) Given the results from Part (a), plot the numerical error as a function of $x$ for the two finite different methods. Collect the two curves in the same plot.
(ii) Repeat (i) but for the results from Part (b) with $h$ refined to 0.005 .

Briefly discuss how the magnitude of numerical error changes when $h$ is refined from 0.01 to 0.005 . Does the change in error with respect to the refinement of $h$ reflect the expectation that the two finite difference schemes are "second-order" and "fourth-order", respectively?
[Note: All plots for this problem must be made over the interval of $2 \leq x \leq 4$, including the end points, and must be properly labeled. A deduction will be assessed on plots that are of poor quality.]

Prob 2. (2 points)
(a) All of the formula in Table 8-1 have a truncation error of $O(h), O\left(h^{2}\right)$, or $O\left(h^{4}\right)$. Try to derive a fourpoint, non-centered, finite difference formula for the first derivative of $f(x)$ at $x=x_{i}$ that has a truncation error of $O\left(h^{3}\right)$. Moreover, the formula must have the following form:
$f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{A f\left(x_{i-1}\right)+B f\left(x_{i}\right)+C f\left(x_{i+1}\right)+D f\left(x_{i+2}\right)}{h}+O\left(h^{3}\right)$.
In other words, the derivative of $f(x)$ at $x=x_{i}$ must be represented by the combination of the values of $f(x)$ at $x_{i}$ itself, two neighboring grid points to its right, and one grid point to its left, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Show your procedure. Clearly state what the values of $A, B, C$, and $D$ are.
(b) After obtaining the finite difference formula in Part (a), use it to repeat the calculation in Prob 1(b) (i.e., setting $h=0.005$ to evaluate the derivative of $f(x)$ in Prob 1 over the interval of $2 \leq x \leq 4$.). Plot the numerical error as a function of $x$ in the same fashion as in Prob 1(c). Given that the numerical scheme is 3 rd-order, we expect it to be superior to the 2 nd-order scheme but inferior to the 4 th order scheme used in Prob 1. Is this true based on your calculations of numerical errors? To answer this question, you may superimpose the numerical errors for the 3rd-order scheme to the plot for Prob 1(c)-Part (ii).


Fig. 1
Prob 3 (2 points)
Derive a five-point, non-centered, finite difference formula for the second derivative of $f(x)$ at $x=x_{i}$ that has a truncation error of $O\left(h^{3}\right)$. Moreover, the formula must have the following form:
$f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{A f\left(x_{i-3}\right)+B f\left(x_{i-2}\right)+C f\left(x_{i-1}\right)+D f\left(x_{i}\right)+E f\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{h^{2}}+O\left(h^{3}\right)$.
In other words, the second derivative of $f(x)$ at $x=x_{i}$ must be represented by the combination of the values of $f(x)$ at $x_{i}$ itself, one grid point to its right, and the neighboring three grid points to its left, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Show your procedure. Clearly state the values of $A, B, C, D$, and $E$ in the final answer.


Fig. 2

