

## MAE384, Spring 2022 Homework #2

**A statement of collaboration is required. If there is no collaboration, write “No collaboration”.** For the computation of *condition number*, you are allowed to use Matlab built-in function `inv`. Please include computer codes in your work.

### Problem 1 (6 points)

A system of linear equations is given:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 4 & 2 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 3 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 1.5 & 0.5 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0.5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 23.5 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix} . \quad Eq. (1)$$

Symbolically, we write *Eq. (1)* as

$$\mathbf{A} \vec{x} = \vec{b} , \quad Eq. (2)$$

which also gives the definitions of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and vectors  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{b}$ . For this system, the exact solution is known to be

$$\vec{x}_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Let us also recall a few relevant definitions. Given  $\vec{x}_N$  as a numerical solution, the **relative true error** (*RTE*) is defined as

$$RTE = \frac{\|\vec{e}\|}{\|\vec{x}_s\|} , \quad Eq. (3)$$

where  $\vec{e} = \vec{x}_N - \vec{x}_s$  is the vector of absolute error. The **relative residual** (*RRS*) is defined as

$$RRS = \frac{\|\vec{r}\|}{\|\vec{b}\|} , \quad Eq. (4)$$

where  $\vec{r} = \mathbf{A}\vec{x}_N - \vec{b}$  is the residual vector. The key conclusion of the discussion (in Lecture 11) on **condition number**,  $C$ , is summarized by the inequality,

$$\frac{1}{C} * (RRS) \leq (RTE) \leq C * (RRS) . \quad Eq. (5)$$

Conceptually, *Eq. (5)* provides the upper and lower bounds of *RTE* from *RRS* and  $C$ . The condition number itself is defined by

$$C = \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| . \quad Eq. (6)$$

In *Eqs. (3)-(6)*, Euclidean norm is used for a vector and Euclidean 2-norm (or “Frobenius norm”) is

used for a matrix. Let  $x_j$  be the  $j$ -th element of a vector  $\vec{x}$  with  $N$  elements, then

$$\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N (x_j)^2} .$$

Let  $A_{ij}$  be the element of an  $N \times N$  matrix  $A$  at the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column, then

$$\|A\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (A_{ij})^2} .$$

**(a)** Solve the system in Eq. (1) with two methods: (i) *Jacobi iterative method*, using  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0,0,0,0)$  as the initial guess to perform 20 iterations. (ii) *Gauss-Seidel iterative method*, using  $(x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0,0,0)$  as the initial guess to perform 20 iterations. (Note that the *G-S* method does not require an initial guess for  $x_1$ .) Here, one iteration means completing the update of all  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , and  $x_4$  once. From the results, make a plot of the relative true error (*RTE*) vs. the number of iterations for both methods. Please collect the two curves of *RTE* in the same plot for a clear comparison. Since *RTE* decreases dramatically with the number of iterations, for clarity we require that the actual plot be made by taking the 10-based logarithm of *RTE*. In other words, the deliverable is the line plot of  $\log_{10}(RTE)$  vs. the number of iterations. (In Matlab, the built-in function for 10-based log is `log10`.)

**(b)** Compute the condition number,  $C$ , for the system in Eq. (1). Using the result from the case in Part (a) with *Gauss-Seidel method*, make a plot with 3 curves (must be collected in the same plot): The first is the curve of  $\log_{10}(RTE)$  vs. the number of iterations, as recycled from Part (a). (Despite the redundancy, for clarity we ask that a new plot be made for Part (b). Do not merge the deliverables in Part (a) and (b) into a single plot.) The other two are the curves for  $\log_{10}(RRS * C)$  and  $\log_{10}(RRS * C^{-1})$ , vs. the number of iterations. This plot serves the purpose of demonstrating the relations in Eq. (5). Namely,  $RRS * C$  and  $RRS * C^{-1}$  provide the upper bound and lower bound of *RTE*. (If  $C$  is close to 1, these bounds are “tight” and *RRS* is a useful proxy of *RTE*. If  $C$  is very large, *RTE* is detached from *RRS*.)