



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 246–252

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Convex solutions of boundary value problems

Haiyan Wang*

Department of Mathematics, University of Central Arkansas, Conway, AR 72035, USA

Received 9 December 2004

Available online 20 June 2005

Submitted by J. Mawhin

Abstract

We establish two criteria for the existence of convex solutions for a boundary value problem arising from the study of the existence of convex radial solutions for the Monge–Ampère equations. We shall use fixed point theorems in a cone.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Convex solutions; Monge–Ampère equations; Fixed index theorem

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the existence of convex solutions for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} ((u'(t))^n)' &= nt^{n-1}f(-u(t)) \quad \text{in } 0 < t < 1, \\ u'(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $n \geq 1$. A nontrivial convex solution of (1.1) is negative on $[0, 1)$. Such a problem occurs in the study of the existence of convex radial solutions for the Dirichlet problem of the Monge–Ampère equations

* Present address: New College of Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences, Arizona State University, PO Box 37100, Phoenix, AZ 85069, USA.

E-mail address: wangh@asu.edu.

$$\det D^2u = f(-u) \quad \text{in } B, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \tag{1.2}$$

where $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$. In fact, a convex radial solution of (1.2) can be viewed as a solution of (1.1). Kutev [7] obtained the existence of convex radial solutions of (1.2) with $f(-u) = (-u)^p$, $n \neq p > 0$ by reducing (1.2) to (1.1). We refer to [2,7] and references therein for further discussions regarding convex radial solutions of (1.2). Related results may be found in [3,6,8].

In this paper we shall apply a fixed point theorem in a cone to show the existence of convex solutions of (1.1) under superlinearity and sublinearity assumptions on the nonlinearity f . Our assumption for this paper is:

(H1) $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

In order to state our results, we introduce the notation

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{u^n} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^n}. \tag{1.3}$$

Our main results are:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (H1) holds.*

- (a) *If $f_0 = 0$ and $f_\infty = \infty$, then (1.1) has a nontrivial convex solution.*
- (b) *If $f_0 = \infty$ and $f_\infty = 0$, then (1.1) has a nontrivial convex solution.*

2. Preliminaries

With a simple transformation $v = -u$, (1.1) can be brought to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} ((-v'(t))^n)' &= nt^{n-1}f(v(t)) \quad \text{in } 0 < t < 1, \\ v'(0) &= 0, \quad v(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Now we treat positive concave classical solutions of (2.1).

The following well-known result of the fixed point index is crucial in our arguments.

Lemma 2.1. [1,4,5] *Let E be a Banach space and K a cone in E . For $r > 0$, define $K_r = \{v \in K : \|x\| < r\}$. Assume that $T : \bar{K}_r \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous such that $Tx \neq x$ for $x \in \partial K_r = \{v \in K : \|x\| = r\}$.*

- (i) *If $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial K_r$, then*

$$i(T, K_r, K) = 0.$$

- (ii) *If $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial K_r$, then*

$$i(T, K_r, K) = 1.$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.1), let X be the Banach space $C[0, 1]$ with $\|v\| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |v(t)|$.

Define the cone K by

$$K = \left\{ v \in X: v(t) \geq 0, \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} v(t) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|v\| \right\}.$$

Also, define, for r a positive number, Ω_r by

$$\Omega_r = \{v \in K: \|v\| < r\}.$$

Note that $\partial\Omega_r = \{v \in K: \|v\| = r\}$.

Let the map $T: K \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$Tv(t) = \int_t^1 \left(\int_0^s n\tau^{n-1} f(v(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

Thus, if $v \in K$ is a nonzero positive fixed point of T , then $-v$ is a nontrivial convex solution of (1.1). In view of Lemma 2.2, $-v$ is negative for $r \in [0, 1)$.

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the concavity of v .

Lemma 2.2. *Assume (H1) holds. Let $v \in X$ with $v(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. If $v'(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[0, 1]$, then*

$$v(t) \geq \min\{t, 1 - t\} \|v\|, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In particular,

$$\min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} v(t) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|v\|.$$

Proof. Since $v'(t)$ is nonincreasing, we have for $0 \leq t_0 < t < t_1 \leq 1$,

$$v(t) - v(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t v'(s) ds \geq (t - t_0)v'(t)$$

and

$$v(t_1) - v(t) = \int_t^{t_1} v'(s) ds \leq (t_1 - t)v'(t),$$

from which we have

$$v(t) \geq \frac{(t_1 - t)v(t_0) + (t - t_0)v(t_1)}{t_1 - t_0}.$$

Considering the above inequality on $[0, \sigma]$ and $[\sigma, 1]$, we have

$$v(t) \geq t \|v\| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \sigma],$$

and

$$v(t) \geq (1 - t)\|v\| \quad \text{for } t \in [\sigma, 1],$$

where $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ such that $v(\sigma) = \|v\|$. Hence,

$$v(t) \geq \min\{t, 1 - t\}\|v\|, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.3. Assume (H1) holds. Then $T(K) \subset K$ and the map $T : K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Lemma 2.2 implies that $T(K) \subset K$. It is not difficult to verify that T is compact and continuous. \square

Let

$$\Gamma = \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \left(n \int_{1/4}^s \tau^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^n d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds > 0.$$

Lemma 2.4. Assume (H1) holds and let $\eta > 0$. If $v \in K$ and $f(v(t)) \geq (v(t)\eta)^n$ for $t \in [1/4, 3/4]$, then

$$\|Tv\| \geq \Gamma\eta\|v\|.$$

Proof. Note that from the definition of Tu that $Tv(0)$ is the maximum value of Tu on $[0, 1]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv\| &\geq \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \left(n \int_{1/4}^s \tau^{n-1} f(v(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \\ &\geq \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \left(n \int_{1/4}^s \tau^{n-1} (v(\tau)\eta)^n d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \\ &\geq \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \left(n \int_{1/4}^s \tau^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{4}\eta\|v\| \right)^n d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \\ &\geq \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \left(n \int_{1/4}^s \tau^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^n d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \eta\|v\| \\ &= \Gamma\eta\|v\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define a new function

$$f^*(v) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq v} \{f(t)\}.$$

Note that $f_0^* = \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^*(v)}{v^n}$ and $f_\infty^* = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^*(v)}{v^n}$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume (H1) holds. Then $f_0^* = f_0$ and $f_\infty^* = f_\infty$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $f_0^* = f_0$. For the second part, we consider two cases, (a) $f(v)$ is bounded and (b) $f(v)$ is unbounded. For the case (a), it follows that $f_\infty^* = 0 = f_\infty$. For the case (b), for any $\delta > 0$, let $M = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \delta} \{f(t)\}$ and

$$N_\delta = \min\{v: v \geq \delta, f(v) \geq M\} \geq \delta,$$

then

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq N_\delta} \{f(t)\} = f(N_\delta).$$

Thus, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a $N_\delta \geq \delta$ such that

$$f^*(v) = \max\left\{\max_{0 \leq t \leq N_\delta} \{f(t)\}, \max_{N_\delta \leq t \leq v} \{f(t)\}\right\} = \max_{N_\delta \leq t \leq v} \{f(t)\} \quad \text{for } v > N_\delta.$$

Hence, it follows, from the definitions of f_∞ and f_∞^* , that $f_\infty^* = f_\infty$. \square

Lemma 2.6. Assume (H1) holds and let $r > 0$. If there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f^*(r) \leq \varepsilon^n r^n$, then

$$\|Tv\| \leq n^{1/n} \varepsilon \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in \partial\Omega_r.$$

Proof. From the definition of T , we have for $v \in \partial\Omega_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv\| &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 n\tau^{n-1} f(v(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 n\tau^{n-1} f^*(r) d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 n\tau^{n-1} \varepsilon^n r^n d\tau \right)^{1/n} ds \\ &\leq n^{1/n} \varepsilon r \\ &= n^{1/n} \varepsilon \|v\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Part (a). It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $f_0^* = 0$. Therefore, we can choose $r_1 > 0$ so that $f^*(r_1) \leq \varepsilon^n r_1^n$, where the constant $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies

$$n^{1/n} \varepsilon < 1.$$

We have by Lemma 2.6 that

$$\|Tv\| \leq n^{1/n} \varepsilon \|v\| < \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}.$$

Now, since $f_\infty = \infty$, there is an $\hat{H} > 0$ such that $f(v) \geq \eta^n v^n$ for $v \geq \hat{H}$, where $\eta > 0$ is chosen so that

$$\Gamma\eta > 1.$$

Let $r_2 = \max\{2r_1, 4\hat{H}\}$. If $v \in \partial\Omega_{r_2}$, then

$$\min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} v(t) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|v\| = \frac{1}{4} r_2 \geq \hat{H},$$

which implies that

$$f(v(t)) \geq \eta^n (v(t))^n \quad \text{for } t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\|Tv\| \geq \Gamma\eta \|v\| > \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in \partial\Omega_{r_2}.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$i(T, \Omega_{r_1}, K) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad i(T, \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 0.$$

It follows from the additivity of the fixed point index that $i(T, \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = -1$. Thus, $i(T, \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) \neq 0$, which implies T has a fixed point $v \in \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}$ according to the existence property of the fixed point index. The fixed point $v \in \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}$ is the desired positive solution of (2.1).

Part (b). If $f_0 = \infty$, there is a $r_1 > 0$ such that $f(v) \geq \eta^n v^n$ for $0 \leq v \leq r_1$, where $\eta > 0$ is chosen so that $\Gamma\eta > 1$. If $v \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}$, then

$$f(v(t)) \geq (\eta v(t))^n \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$\|Tv\| \geq \Gamma\eta \|v\| > \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}.$$

We now determine Ω_{r_2} . Since $f_\infty^* = f_\infty = 0$, there is a $r_2 > 2r_1$ such that $f^*(r_2) \leq \varepsilon^n r_2^n$, where the constant $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies

$$\varepsilon(n)^{1/n} < 1.$$

Thus, we have by Lemma 2.6

$$\|Tv\| \leq \varepsilon(n)^{1/n} \|v\| < \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in \partial\Omega_{r_2}.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$i(T, \Omega_{r_1}, K) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i(T, \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 1.$$

It follows from the additivity of the fixed point index that $i(T, \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = 1$. Thus, T has a fixed point in $\Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}$, which is the desired positive solution of (2.1). \square

References

- [1] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [2] Ph. Delanoe, Radially symmetric boundary value problems for real and complex elliptic Monge–Ampère equations, *J. Differential Equations* 58 (1985) 318–344.
- [3] L.H. Erbe, H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 120 (1994) 743–748.
- [4] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1988.
- [5] M. Krasnoselskii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [6] P.L. Lions, Two remarks on Monge–Ampère equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 142 (1985) 263–275.
- [7] N.D. Kutev, Nontrivial solutions for the equations of Monge–Ampère type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 132 (1988) 424–433.
- [8] H. Wang, On the number of positive solutions of nonlinear systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 281 (2003) 287–306.