

Positive Solutions for Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems

Johnny Henderson*

Discrete and Statistical Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 36849-5307

and

Haiyan Wang†

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

Submitted by Joyce R. McLaughlin

Received June 26, 1995

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with determining values of λ (eigenvalues), for which there exist positive solutions of the boundary value problem

$$u'' + \lambda a(t)f(u) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (1\lambda)$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where

(A) $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous,

(B) $a: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and does not vanish identically on any subinterval, and

(C) $f_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (f(x)/x)$ and $f_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (f(x)/x)$ exist.

We remark that, if $u(t)$ is a nonnegative solution of (1 λ), (2), then $u(t)$ is concave on $[0, 1]$.

Boundary value problems (1 λ), (2) describe many phenomena in the applied mathematical sciences, which can be found in the theory of nonlinear diffusion generated by nonlinear sources, in thermal ignition of

*E-mail address: hendej2@mail.auburn.edu.

†E-mail address: wangh@math.msu.edu.

gases, and in concentration in chemical or biological problems, where only positive solutions are meaningful; see, for example, [7, 11–13]. Also, the problem (1λ) , (2) has been dealt with by Fink [8] and Fink, Gatica, and Hernandez [9] in modeling the one-dimensional case of the Dirichlet problem, when $a(t)$ satisfies certain integrability conditions. The results of [9] were generalized to n th order problems in [3].

For our motivation, we consider methods of solutions as (1λ) , (2) arises in applications involving nonlinear elliptic problems in annular regions; see [1, 2, 6, 10, 15]. For the case when $\lambda = 1$ and f is either superlinear ($f_0 = 0$ and $f_\infty = \infty$), or f is sublinear ($f_0 = \infty$ and $f_\infty = 0$), Erbe and Wang [5] obtained solutions that are positive with respect to a cone and which lie in an annular type region. The methods of [5] were then extended to higher order boundary value problems in [4].

It is not required in this work that f be either sublinear or superlinear, yet, as in [5, 4], the arguments presented here for obtaining solutions of (1λ) , (2), for certain λ , involve concavity properties of solutions, which are employed in defining a cone on which a positive integral operator is defined. A Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem [14] is applied to yield positive solutions of (1λ) , (2), for λ belonging to an open interval.

In Section 2, we present some properties of Green's functions that are used in defining a positive operator. We also state the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. In Section 3, we give an appropriate Banach space and construct a cone to which we apply the fixed point theorem yielding solutions of (1λ) , (2), for an open interval of eigenvalues.

2. SOME PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we state the above mentioned Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. We will apply this fixed point theorem to a completely continuous integral operator, whose kernel, $G(t, s)$, is the Green's function for

$$-y'' = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$y(0) = y(1) = 0. \tag{4}$$

In particular

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1 - t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

from which

$$G(t, s) > 0 \quad \text{on } (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \tag{6}$$

$$G(t, s) \leq G(s, s) = s(1 - s), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1, \tag{7}$$

and it is shown in [5] that

$$G(t, s) \geq \frac{1}{4}G(s, s) = \frac{1}{4}s(1-s), \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

We will apply the following fixed point theorem to obtain solutions of (1 λ), (2), for certain λ .

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space, and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a cone in \mathcal{B} . Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of \mathcal{B} with $\mathbf{0} \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let*

$$T: \mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

be a completely continuous operator such that, either

- (i) $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$, or
- (ii) $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then T has a fixed point in $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

3. SOLUTIONS IN THE CONE

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1 to the eigenvalue problem (1 λ), (2). We note that $u(t)$ is a solution of (1 λ), (2) if, and only if,

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

For our constructions, let $\mathcal{B} = C[0, 1]$, with norm, $\|x\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|$. Define a cone, \mathcal{P} , by

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{B} \mid x(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, 1], \text{ and } \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} x(t) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|x\| \right\}.$$

Also, let the number $\tau \in [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$\int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s)a(s) ds = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(t, s)a(s) ds. \quad (9)$$

THEOREM 2. *Assume that conditions (A), (B), and (C) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying*

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s)a(s) ds\right)f_\infty} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\left(\int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s) ds\right)f_0}, \quad (10)$$

there exists at least one solution of (1 λ), (2) in \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let λ be given as in (10). Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s)a(s) ds\right)(f_\infty - \varepsilon)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s) ds\right)(f_0 + \varepsilon)}.$$

Define an integral operator $T: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$Tu(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds, \quad u \in \mathcal{P}. \tag{11}$$

We seek a fixed point of T in the cone \mathcal{P} .

Notice from (6) that, for $u \in \mathcal{P}$, $Tu(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, 1]$. Also, for $u \in \mathcal{P}$, we have from (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|Tu\| \leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds. \tag{12}$$

And next, if $u \in \mathcal{P}$, we have by (8) and (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} Tu(t) &= \min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|Tu\|. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $T: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. In addition, standard arguments show that T is completely continuous.

Now, turning to f_0 , there exists an $H_1 > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq (f_0 + \varepsilon)x$, for $0 < x \leq H_1$. So, choosing $u \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|u\| = H_1$, we have from (7)

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)(f_0 + \varepsilon)u(s) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s) ds (f_0 + \varepsilon) \|u\| \\ &\leq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$. So, if we set

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_1\},$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1. \quad (13)$$

Next, considering f_∞ , there exists an $\bar{H}_2 > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq (f_\infty - \varepsilon)x$, for all $x \geq \bar{H}_2$. Let $H_2 = \max\{2H_1, 4\bar{H}_2\}$ and let

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_2\}.$$

If $u \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|u\| = H_2$, then $\min_{1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4} u(t) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\| \geq \bar{H}_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(\tau) &\geq \lambda \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) f(u(s)) \, ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_\infty - \varepsilon) u(s) \, ds \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) \, ds (f_\infty - \varepsilon) \|u\| \\ &\geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$. Hence,

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (14)$$

Applying (i) of Theorem 1 to (13) and (14) yields that T has a fixed point $u(t) \in \mathcal{P} \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. As such, $u(t)$ is a desired solution of (1 λ), (2) for the given λ . The proof is complete. ■

THEOREM 3. *Assume that conditions (A), (B), and (C) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying*

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) \, ds\right) f_0} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\left(\int_0^1 s(1-s) a(s) \, ds\right) f_\infty}, \quad (15)$$

there exists at least one solution of (1 λ), (2) in \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let λ be given as in (15), and choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{4}{\left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) \, ds\right) (f_0 - \varepsilon)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_0^1 s(1-s) a(s) \, ds\right) (f_\infty + \varepsilon)}.$$

Let T be the cone preserving, completely continuous operator that was defined by (11).

Beginning with f_0 , there exists an $H_1 > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq (f_0 - \varepsilon)x$, for $0 < x \leq H_1$. So, for $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\|u\| = H_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(\tau) &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(\tau, s) a(s) f(u(s)) \, ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) f(u(s)) \, ds \\ &\geq \lambda \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_0 - \varepsilon) u(s) \, ds \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G(\tau, s) a(s) \, ds (f_0 - \varepsilon) \|u\| \\ &\geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$. So, if we let

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_1\},$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1. \tag{16}$$

It remains to consider f_∞ . There exists an $\bar{H}_2 > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq (f_\infty + \varepsilon)x$, for all $x \geq \bar{H}_2$. There are the two cases, (a) f is bounded, and (b) f is unbounded.

For case (a), suppose $N > 0$ is such that $f(x) \leq N$, for all $0 < x < \infty$. Let $H_2 = \max\{2H_1, N\lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s) \, ds\}$. Then, for $u \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|u\| = H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s) a(s) f(u(s)) \, ds \\ &\leq \lambda N \int_0^1 s(1-s) a(s) \, ds \\ &\leq \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$. So, if

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_2\},$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \tag{17}$$

For case (b), let $H_2 > \max\{2H_1, \bar{H}_2\}$ be such that $f(x) \leq f(H_2)$, for $0 < x \leq H_2$. Choosing $u \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|u\| = H_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(u(s)) \, ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(H_2) \, ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s) \, ds (f_\infty + \varepsilon)H_2 \\ &= \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s) \, ds (f_\infty + \varepsilon)\|u\| \\ &\leq \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$. For this case, if we let

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_2\},$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (18)$$

Thus, in either of the cases, an application of part (ii) of Theorem 1 yields a solution of (1 λ), (2) which belongs to $\mathcal{P} \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. This completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

1. C. Bandle, C. V. Coffman, and M. Marcus, Nonlinear elliptic problems in annular domains, *J. Differential Equations* **69** (1987), 322–345.
2. C. Bandle and M. K. Kwong, Semilinear elliptic problems in annular domains, *J. Appl. Math. Phys.* **40** (1989), 245–257.
3. C. J. Chyan and J. Henderson, Positive solutions for singular higher order nonlinear equations, *Differential Equations Dynam. Systems* **2** (1994), 153–160.
4. P. W. Elloe and J. Henderson, Positive solutions for higher order differential equations, *Elec. J. Differential Equations* **3** (1995), 1–8.
5. L. H. Erbe and H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 743–748.
6. L. H. Erbe and H. Wang, Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions in annular domains, *WSSIAA* **3** (1994), 207–217.
7. D. G. de Figueiredo, P. L. Lions, and R. D. Nussbaum, A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Pura Appl.* **61** (1982), 41–63.
8. A. M. Fink, The radial Laplacian Gelfand problem, in “Delay and Differential Equations” pp. 93–98, World Scientific, River Edge, New Jersey, 1992.

9. A. M. Fink, J. A. Gatica, and G. E. Hernandez, Eigenvalues of generalized Gel'fand models, *Nonlinear Anal.* **20** (1993), 1453–1468.
10. X. Garaizer, Existence of positive radial solutions for semilinear elliptic problems in the annulus, *J. Differential Equations* **70** (1987), 69–72.
11. H. B. Keller, Some positive problems suggested by nonlinear heat generation, in “Bifurcation Theory and Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems” (J. B. Keller and S. Antman, Eds.), pp. 217–255, Benjamin, Elmsford, New York, 1969.
12. H. J. Kuiper, On positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **20** (1979), 113–138.
13. L. Sanchez, Positive solutions for a class of semilinear two-point boundary value problems, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **45** (1992), 439–451.
14. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, “Positive Solutions of Operator Equations,” Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
15. H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in the annulus, *J. Differential Equations* **109** (1994), 1–7.