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#### Abstract

We consider the existence, multiplicity and nonexistence of positive $\omega$-periodic solutions for the periodic equation $x^{\prime}(t)=a(t) g(x) x(t)-\lambda b(t) f(x(t-\tau(t)))$, where $a, b \in C(\mathbb{R},[0, \infty))$ are $\omega$-periodic, $\int_{0}^{\omega} a(t) d t>0, \int_{0}^{\omega} b(t) d t>0, f, g \in C([0, \infty),[0, \infty))$, and $f(u)>0$ for $u>0, g(x)$ is bounded, $\tau(t)$ is a continuous $\omega$-periodic function. Define $f_{0}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(u)}{u}, f_{\infty}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}$, $i_{0}=$ number of zeros in the set $\left\{f_{0}, f_{\infty}\right\}$ and $i_{\infty}=$ number of infinities in the set $\left\{f_{0}, f_{\infty}\right\}$. We show that the equation has $i_{0}$ or $i_{\infty}$ positive $\omega$-periodic solution(s) for sufficiently large or small $\lambda>0$, respectively. (C) 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


Keywords: Positive periodic solution; Existence; Multiplicity; Nonexistence; Fixed index theorem

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence, multiplicity and nonexistence of positive $\omega$-periodic solutions for the periodic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=a(t) g(x(t)) x(t)-\lambda b(t) f(x(t-\tau(t))), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a positive parameter.

[^0]Chow [2], Freedman and Wu [5], Hadeler and Tomiuk [8], Kuang [12,13], Kuang and Smith [14], Mallet-Paret and Nussbaum [16] and many others studied the existence of periodic solutions of this type or its generalized forms. This type of equation has been proposed as models for a variety of physiological processes and conditions including production of blood cells, respiration, and cardiac arrhythmias. See, for example, the above references, and [7,15,21].

In this paper, we shall show that the number of positive $\omega$-periodic solutions of (1.1) can be determined by the asymptotic behaviors of the quotient of $\frac{f(u)}{u}$ at zero and infinity. Specifically, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(u)}{u} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\infty}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we introduce new notation

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{0} & =\text { number of zeros in the set }\left\{f_{0}, f_{\infty}\right\}, \\
i_{\infty} & =\text { number of infinities in the set }\left\{f_{0}, f_{\infty}\right\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $i_{0}, i_{\infty}=0,1$, or 2 . Then we shall show that (1.1) has $i_{0}$ or $i_{\infty}$ positive $\omega$-periodic solution(s) for sufficiently large or small $\lambda$, respectively.

Let $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$. We make the assumptions:
(H1) $a, b \in C(\mathbb{R},[0, \infty))$ are $\omega$-periodic functions, $\int_{0}^{\omega} a(t) d t>0, \quad \int_{0}^{\omega} b(t) d t>0$. $\tau \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is $\omega$-periodic function.
(H2) $f, g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are continuous. $0<l \leqslant g(u)<L<\infty$ for $u \geqslant 0, l, L$ are positive constants. $f(u)>0$ for $u>0$.

Also, let $\sigma=e^{-\int_{0}^{\omega} a(t) d t}, M(r)=\max _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant r}\{f(t)\}$ and $m(r)=\min \{f(t)$ : $\left.\frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}} r \leqslant t \leqslant r\right\}$.

Our main results are

Theorem 1.1. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold.
(a) If $i_{0}=1$ or 2 , then (1.1) has $i_{0}$ positive $\omega$-periodic solution(s) for $\lambda>\frac{1-\sigma^{L}}{m(1) \sigma^{L} \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}>0$.
(b) If $i_{\infty}=1$ or 2, then (1.1) has $i_{\infty}$ positive $\omega$-periodic solution(s) for $0<\lambda<\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{M(1) \int_{0}^{\sigma} b(s) d s}$.
(c) If $i_{0}=0$ or $i_{\infty}=0$, then (1.1) has no positive $\omega$-periodic solution for sufficiently large or small $\lambda>0$, respectively.

Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 1.1(c). Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2 we are able to give explicit intervals of $\lambda$ such that (1.1) has no positive $\omega$-periodic solution.

Theorem 1.2. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold.
(a) If there is a $c_{1}>0$ such that $f(u) \geqslant c_{1} u$ for $u \in[0, \infty)$, then there exists a $\lambda_{0}=$ $\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{I}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s c_{1}}$ such that for all $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ (1.1) has no positive $\omega$-periodic solution.
(b) If there is a $c_{2}>0$ such $f(u) \leqslant c_{2} u$ for $u \in[0, \infty)$, then there exists a $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{c_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b(s) d s}$ such that for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$ (1.1) has no positive $\omega$-periodic solution.

Theorem 1.3. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold and $i_{0}=i_{\infty}=0$. If

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s} \frac{1}{\max \left\{f_{0}, f_{\infty}\right\}}<\lambda<\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s} \frac{1}{\min \left\{f_{0}, f_{\infty}\right\}},
$$

then (1.1) has a positive $\omega$-periodic solution.
Our arguments are based on a well-known fixed point theorem (Lemma 2.1). In order to use the fixed point theorem, some a priori estimations of possible periodic solutions are obtained. Similar arguments have been employed in $[4,18,19]$ to prove analogous results for the existence, multiplicity and nonexistence of positive solutions of boundary-value problems. We remark that the problem of the existence of positive periodic solutions of the equation and the problem of the existence of positive solutions of boundary-value problems of similar equations have much in common in certain sense. Once we transfer both the problems into equivalent fixed point problems, the arguments for dealing with the two problems are essentially the same.

When $g \equiv 1$, some related results for similar problems were shown in $[1,9,10,17,20]$ based on the fixed point theorem. The construction of the function $G_{u}(t, s)$ in this paper, which allows us to rewrite the differential equation into an equivalent integral equation, is motivated by Jiang and Wei [9] and their other subsequent work.

In addition, we are able to obtain explicit intervals of $\lambda$ such that (1.1) has no, one or two positive $\omega$-periodic solution(s). From numerical and computational points of view, the expressions for the intervals of $\lambda$ are more useful although they are not optimal. It would be interesting to further investigate the relationship between the nonlinearities and the intervals of $\lambda$ such that (1.1) has no, one or two positive $\omega$-periodic solution(s).

## 2. Preliminaries

The following well-known result of the fixed point index is crucial in our arguments.

Lemma 2.1 (Deimling [3], Guo and Lakshmikantham [6] and Krasnoselskii [11]). Let $E$ be a Banach space and $K$ a cone in $E$. For $r>0$, define $K_{r}=\{u \in K$ : $\|x\|<r\}$. Assume that $T: \bar{K}_{r} \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous such that $T x \neq x$ for $x \in \partial K_{r}=\{u \in K:\|x\|=r\}$.
(i) If $\|T x\| \geqslant\|x\|$ for $x \in \partial K_{r}$, then

$$
i\left(T, K_{r}, K\right)=0
$$

(ii) If $\|T x\| \leqslant\|x\|$ for $x \in \partial K_{r}$, then

$$
i\left(T, K_{r}, K\right)=1
$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.1 to (1.1), let $X$ be the Banach space $\{u(t)$ : $u(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), u(t+\omega)=u(t)\}$ with $\|u\|=\sup _{t \in[0, \omega]}|u(t)|, u \in X$.

Define $K$ be a cone in $X$ by

$$
K=\left\{u \in X: u(t) \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\|, t \in[0, \omega]\right\}
$$

Also, define, for $r$ a positive number, $\Omega_{r}$ by

$$
\Omega_{r}=\{u \in K:\|u\|<r\} .
$$

Note that $\partial \Omega_{r}=\{u \in K:\|u\|=r\}$.
Let the map $T_{\lambda}: K \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\lambda} u(t)=\lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G_{u}(t, s)=\frac{e^{-\int_{t}^{s} a(\theta) g(u(\theta)) d \theta}}{1-e^{-\int_{0}^{\omega} a(\theta) g(u(\theta)) d \theta}}
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{\sigma^{L}}{1-\sigma^{L}} \leqslant G_{u}(t, s) \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\sigma^{l}}, \quad t \leqslant s \leqslant t+\omega .
$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume $(\mathrm{H} 1)-(\mathrm{H} 2)$ hold. Then $T_{\lambda}(K) \subset K$ and $T_{\lambda}: K \rightarrow K$ is compact and continuous.

Proof. In view of the definition of $K$, for $u \in K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t+\omega) & =\lambda \int_{t+\omega}^{t+2 \omega} G_{u}(t+\omega, s) b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& =\lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t+\omega, \theta+\omega) b(\theta+\omega) f(u(\theta+\omega-\tau(\theta+\omega))) d \theta \\
& =\lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& =\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\int_{t}^{t+\omega} b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s$ is a constant because of the periodicity of $b(t) f(u(t-\tau(t)))$. One can show that, for $u \in K$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\lambda} u(t) & \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}}{1-\sigma^{L}} \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{L}}{1-\sigma^{L}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}} \frac{1}{1-\sigma^{l}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $T_{\lambda}(K) \subset K$ and it is easy to show that $T_{\lambda}: K \rightarrow K$ is compact and continuous.

Lemma 2.3. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold. Eq. (1.1) is equivalent to the fixed point problem of $T_{\lambda}$ in $K$.

Proof. If $u \in K$ and $T_{\lambda} u=u$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s\right) \\
= & \lambda G_{u}(t, t+\omega) b(t+\omega) f\left(u(t+\omega-\tau(t+\omega))-\lambda G_{u}(t, t) b(t) f(u(t-\tau(t)))\right. \\
& +a(t) g(u(t)) T_{\lambda} u(t) \\
= & \lambda\left[G_{u}(t, t+\omega)-G_{u}(t, t)\right] b(t) f(u(t-\tau(t)))+a(t) g(u(t)) T_{\lambda} u(t) \\
= & a(t) g(u(t)) u(t)-\lambda b(t) f(u(t-\tau(t)))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $u$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic solution of (1.1). On the other hand, if $u$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic function, then $\lambda b(t) f(u(t-\tau(t)))=a(t) g(u(t)) u(t)-u^{\prime}(t)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\lambda} u(t)= & \lambda \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
= & \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s)\left(a(s) g(u(s)) u(s)-u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
= & \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) a(s) g(u(s)) u(s) d s-\int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) u^{\prime}(s) d s \\
= & \int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) a(s) g(u(s)) u(s) d s-\left.G_{u}(t, s) u(s)\right|_{t} ^{t+\omega} \\
& -\int_{t}^{t+\omega} G_{u}(t, s) a(s) g(u(s)) u(s) d s \\
= & u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, in view of the proof of Lemma 2.2, we also have $u(t) \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\|$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$. Thus $u$ is a fixed point of $T_{\lambda}$ in $K$.

Lemma 2.4. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold and let $\eta>0$. If $u \in K$ and $f(u(t)) \geqslant u(t) \eta$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\|u\|
$$

Proof. Since $u \in K$ and $f(u(t)) \geqslant u(t) \eta$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t) & \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}}{1-\sigma^{L}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}}{1-\sigma^{L}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) u(s-\tau(s)) \eta d s \\
& \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}}{1-\sigma^{L}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s \eta \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\| \\
& =\lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\|u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\|u\|$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold and let $r>0$. If $u \in \partial \Omega_{r}$ and there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that $f(u(t)) \leqslant \varepsilon u(t)$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \leqslant \lambda \varepsilon\|u\| \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}
$$

Proof. From the definition of $T$, for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| & \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\sigma^{l}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) f(u(s-\tau(s))) d s \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\sigma^{l}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) \varepsilon u(s-\tau(s)) d s \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\sigma^{l}} \lambda \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s \varepsilon\|u\| \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}} \lambda \varepsilon\|u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following two lemmas are weak forms of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.
Lemma 2.6. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold. If $u \in \partial \Omega_{r}, r>0$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda \frac{\sigma^{L} \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{L}} m(r)
$$

Proof. Since $f(u(t)) \geqslant m(r)$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$, it is easy to see that this lemma can be shown in a similar manner as in Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.7. Assume (H1)-(H2) hold. If $u \in \partial \Omega_{r}, r>0$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \leqslant \lambda \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}} M(r)
$$

Proof. Since $f(u(t)) \leqslant M(r)$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$, it is easy to see that this lemma can be shown in a similar manner as in Lemma 2.5.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. (a) Choose a number $r_{1}=1$. By Lemma 2.6 we infer that there exists a $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1-\sigma^{L}}{m\left(r_{1}\right) \sigma^{L} \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}>0$, such that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|>\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}, \quad \lambda>\lambda_{0}
$$

If $f_{0}=0$, we can choose $0<r_{2}<r_{1}$ so that $f(u) \leqslant \varepsilon u$ for $0 \leqslant u \leqslant r_{2}$, where the constant $\varepsilon>0$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \varepsilon \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}<1
$$

Thus $f(u(t)) \leqslant \varepsilon u(t)$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. We have by Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \leqslant \lambda \varepsilon \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}\|u\|<\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}}, K\right)=0, \quad i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{2}}, K\right)=1
$$

Thus $i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{2}}, K\right)=-1$ and $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point in $\Omega_{r_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{2}}$, which is a positive $\omega$ periodic solution of (1.1) for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

If $f_{\infty}=0$, there is an $\hat{H}>0$ such that $f(u) \leqslant \varepsilon u$ for $u \geqslant \hat{H}$, where the constant $\varepsilon>0$ satisfies

$$
\lambda \varepsilon \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}<1
$$

Let $r_{3}=\max \left\{2 r_{1}, \frac{\hat{H}}{\frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}}\right\}$ and it follows that $u(t) \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\| \geqslant \hat{H}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. Thus $f(u(t)) \leqslant \varepsilon u(t)$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. In view of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \leqslant \lambda \varepsilon \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}\|u\|<\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}} .
$$

Again, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}}, K\right)=0, \quad i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{3}}, K\right)=1
$$

Thus $i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{3}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}, K\right)=1$, and (1.1) has a positive $\omega$-periodic solution for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.
If $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=0$, it is easy to see from the above proof that $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point $u_{1}$ in $\Omega_{r_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{2}}$ and a fixed point $u_{2}$ in $\Omega_{r_{3}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}$ such that

$$
r_{2}<\left\|u_{1}\right\|<r_{1}<\left\|u_{2}\right\|<r_{3} .
$$

Consequently, (1.1) has two positive $\omega$-periodic solutions for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ if $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=0$.
(b) Choose a number $r_{1}=1$. By Lemma 2.7 we infer that there exists a $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{M\left(r_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{\sigma} b(s) d s}$, such that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|<\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}, \quad 0<\lambda<\lambda_{0} .
$$

If $f_{0}=\infty$, there is a positive number $r_{2}<r_{1}$ such that $f(u) \geqslant \eta u$ for $0 \leqslant u \leqslant r_{2}$, where $\eta>0$ is chosen so that

$$
\lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta>1
$$

Then

$$
f(u(t)) \geqslant \eta u(t) \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}, \quad t \in[0, \omega] .
$$

Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta\|u\|>\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}} .
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}}, K\right)=1, \quad i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{2}}, K\right)=0
$$

Thus $i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{2}}, K\right)=1$ and $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point in $\Omega_{r_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{2}}$ for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, which is a positive $\omega$-periodic solution of (1.1).

If $f_{\infty}=\infty$, there is an $\hat{H}>0$ such that $f(u) \geqslant \eta u$ for $u \geqslant \hat{H}$, where $\eta>0$ is chosen so that

$$
\lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta>1
$$

Let $r_{3}=\max \left\{2 r_{1}, \frac{\hat{H}}{\frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}}\right\}$. If $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}}$, then

$$
\min _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant \omega} u(t) \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\| \geqslant \hat{H}
$$

and hence,

$$
f(u(t)) \geqslant \eta u(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \omega] .
$$

Again, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} \eta\|u\|>\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{3}} .
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}}, K\right)=1, \quad i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{3}}, K\right)=0
$$

and hence, $i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{3}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}, K\right)=-1$. Thus, $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point in $\Omega_{r_{3}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}$ for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, which is a positive $\omega$-periodic solution of (1.1).

If $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=\infty$, it is easy to see from the above proof that $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point $u_{1}$ in $\Omega_{r_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{2}}$ and a fixed point $u_{2}$ in $\Omega_{r_{3}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}$ such that

$$
r_{2}<\left\|u_{1}\right\|<r_{1}<\left\|u_{2}\right\|<r_{3} .
$$

Consequently, (1.1) has two positive $\omega$-periodic solutions for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$ if $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=\infty$.
(c) If $i_{0}=0$, then $f_{0}>0$ and $f_{\infty}>0$. It follows that there exist positive numbers $\eta_{1}$, $\eta_{2}, r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, such that $r_{1}<r_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(u) \geqslant \eta_{1} u & \text { for } u \in\left[0, r_{1}\right], \\
f(u) \geqslant \eta_{2} u & \text { for } u \in\left[r_{2}, \infty\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $c_{1}=\min \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \min _{r_{1} \leqslant u \leqslant r_{2}}\left\{\frac{f(u)}{u}\right\}\right\}>0$. Thus, we have

$$
f(u) \geqslant c_{1} u \quad \text { for } u \in[0, \infty) .
$$

Assume $v(t)$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic solution of (1.1). We will show that this leads to a contradiction for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$, where $\lambda_{0}=\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s c_{1}}$. Since $T_{\lambda} v(t)=v(t)$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that, for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\| & =\left\|T_{\lambda} v\right\| \\
& \geqslant \lambda \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}} c_{1}\|v\| \\
& >\|v\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
If $i_{\infty}=0$, then $f_{0}<\infty$ and $f_{\infty}<\infty$. It follows that there exist positive numbers $\varepsilon_{1}$, $\varepsilon_{2}, r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that $r_{1}<r_{2}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(u) \leqslant \varepsilon_{1} u & \text { for } u \in\left[0, r_{1}\right], \\
f(u) \leqslant \varepsilon_{2} u & \text { for } u \in\left[r_{2}, \infty\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $c_{2}=\max \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \max _{r_{1} \leqslant u \leqslant r_{2}}\left\{\frac{f(u)}{u}\right\}\right\}>0$. Thus, we have

$$
f(u) \leqslant c_{2} u \quad \text { for } u \in[0, \infty) .
$$

Assume $v(t)$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic solution of (1.1). We will show that this leads to a contradiction for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, where $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{c_{2} \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}$. Since $T_{\lambda} v(t)=v(t)$ for $t \in[0, \omega]$,
it follows from Lemma 2.5 that, for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\| & =\left\|T_{\lambda} v\right\| \\
& \leqslant \lambda \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}} c_{2}\|v\| \\
& <\|v\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. If $f_{\infty}>f_{0}$, then $\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega \omega} b(s) d s f_{\infty}}<\lambda<\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s f_{0}}$. It is easy to see that there exists an $0<\varepsilon<f_{\infty}$ such that

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right)}<\lambda<\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right)}
$$

Now, turning to $f_{0}$ and $f_{\infty}$, there is an $r_{1}>0$, such that $f(u) \leqslant\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) u$ for $0 \leqslant u \leqslant r_{1}$. Thus $f(u(t)) \leqslant\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) u(t)$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. We have by Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \leqslant \lambda\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}\|u\|<\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}
$$

On the other hand, there is an $\hat{H}>r_{1}$ such that $f(u) \geqslant\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) u$ for $u \geqslant \hat{H}$. Let $r_{2}=\max \left\{2 r_{1}, \frac{\hat{H}}{\frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}}\right\}$ and it follows that $u(t) \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\| \geqslant \hat{H}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. Thus $f(u(t)) \geqslant\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) u(t)$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. In view of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}\|u\|>\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}}, K\right)=1, \quad i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{2}}, K\right)=0
$$

Thus $i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}, K\right)=-1$. Hence, $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point in $\Omega_{r_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}$. Consequently, (1.1) has a positive $\omega$-periodic solution.

If $f_{\infty}<f_{0}$, then $\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s f_{0}}<\lambda<\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s f_{\infty}}$. It is easy to see that there exists an $0<\varepsilon<f_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right)}<\lambda<\frac{1-\sigma^{l}}{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)}
$$

Now, turning to $f_{0}$ and $f_{\infty}$, there is an $r_{1}>0$ such that $f(u) \geqslant\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) u$ for $0 \leqslant u \leqslant r_{1}$. Thus $f(u(t)) \geqslant\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) u(t)$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. We have by Lemma 2.4 that

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \geqslant \lambda\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\sigma^{2 L}\left(1-\sigma^{l}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{\left(1-\sigma^{L}\right)^{2}}\|u\|>\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{1}}
$$

On the other hand, there is an $\hat{H}>r_{1}$ such that $f(u) \leqslant\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) u$ for $u \geqslant \hat{H}$. Let $r_{2}=\max \left\{2 r_{1}, \frac{\hat{H}}{\frac{\sigma}{L^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}} 1-\sigma^{L}\right\}$ and it follows that $u(t) \geqslant \frac{\sigma^{L}\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)}{1-\sigma^{L}}\|u\| \geqslant \hat{H}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. Thus $f(u(t)) \leqslant\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) u(t)$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}$ and $t \in[0, \omega]$. In view of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| \leqslant \lambda\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) \frac{\int_{0}^{\omega} b(s) d s}{1-\sigma^{l}}\|u\|<\|u\| \quad \text { for } u \in \partial \Omega_{r_{2}}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{1}}, K\right)=0, \quad i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{2}}, K\right)=1
$$

Thus $i\left(T_{\lambda}, \Omega_{r_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}, K\right)=1$. Hence, $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point in $\Omega_{r_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{r_{1}}$. Consequently, (1.1) has a positive $\omega$-periodic solution.
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