

# Fast chemical equilibration via Hagedorn states in heavy ion collisions

#### Igor Shovkovy

**Department of Applied Sciences & Mathematics** 

**Arizona State University** 



Symposium on Contemporary Subatomic Physics (JoeFest) Montreal, Canada, June 12-14, 2012

### Phase diagram (1)

#### as theoreticians imagine it...



### Phase diagram (2)

#### as RHIC physicists get to know it...





## Big puzzle

- What is the mechanism of chemical equilibration in heavy ion collisions?
- Rates of hadronic processes are too slow...
- The problem is further amplified for strangeness
- Some suggestions
  - "born into equilibrium" (?)
  - unknown "collective effects" (?)
  - multi-particle interactions (?)
  - or a new incarnation of an old idea...

#### Hadron gas?

• Two-body reactions (e.g.,  $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow B\overline{B}$ ) are not sufficient

[Koch, Muller, Rafelski, Phys. Rev. 142, 167 (1986)]

• Multi-particle reactions (e.g.,  $\pi\pi\pi K\overline{K} \leftrightarrow B\overline{B}$ ) become important

[Rapp and Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2980 (2001)] [Greiner and Leupold, J. Phys. G 27, L95 (2001)]

yielding equilibration times  $\sim$  1-3 fm/c at SPS

$$\sigma \approx 50 \text{ mb}, \quad n_B^{eq} \approx \rho_0 \implies \tau \approx 1 - 3 \text{ fm/c}$$

#### How about RHIC?

• Two-particle annihilation rate

$$\Gamma \approx \langle \sigma v \rangle n_{\overline{B}}$$

Naively, one has

$$\sigma \approx 30 \text{ mb}, \ n_B^{eq} = n_{\overline{B}}^{eq} \approx 0.04 \text{ fm}^{-3} \implies \tau_{\Omega} \ge 10 \text{ fm/c}$$

which is about 10 fm/c at RIHC

#### How about multi-particle processes?

### Equilibration times with Joe

• Baryon production rates, e.g., [Kapusta & Shovkovy, PRC 68, 014901 (2003)]

$$\begin{aligned} r(n\overline{p}) &= 2r_{+}(m_{N},m_{N}) + 2r_{-}(m_{N},m_{N}) \\ r(p\overline{p}) &= 2r_{+}(m_{N},m_{N}) + \frac{82}{81}r_{-}(m_{N},m_{N}) \\ r(\Lambda\overline{p}) &= 3r_{+}(m_{\Lambda},m_{N}) + \frac{25}{27}r_{-}(m_{\Lambda},m_{N}) \\ r(\Xi\overline{\Lambda}) &= 3r_{+}(m_{\Lambda},m\Xi) + \frac{1}{27}r_{-}(m_{\Lambda},m_{\Xi}) \\ r_{\pm}(m_{1},m_{2}) &= \frac{2}{(4\pi)^{7}} \frac{F_{\text{ANN}}^{2}(s)}{e^{\beta(E_{1}+E_{2})}-1} \frac{2s^{2}-(m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2})s-(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2})^{2}\pm 6m_{1}m_{2}s}{f_{\pi}^{4}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

#### Results

• Using fluctuation-dissipation theorem, obtain rates of baryon production



### Multi-particle collisions

185 190 T(MeV) 165 180 Intuition based argument: 10<sup>2</sup>  $\tau_{_{\Omega}}$  (fm) [Braun-Munzinger, Stachel, Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B 596, 61 (2004)] 10 Large energy density near T<sub>c</sub> Overpopulation of pions/kaons Multi-particle rates overwhelm 10 Equilibration is reached -2 10 0.05 0.2 0.3 0.35 0.1 0.25 0.15 0.4n<sub></sub> (fm<sup>-3</sup>)

#### ..., but there is a one LITTLE problem: one is left with an overpopulation of (anti-)baryons

#### What if ...

there were Hagedorn states in the spectrum?

[Greiner et al., J. Phys. G 31, S725 (2005)]

[Noronha-Hostler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 252301 (2008)]

[Noronha-Hostler et al., Phys. Rev. C 81, 054909 (2010)]



11

#### Rough estimate...

$$M_{HS} = 3 - 6 \text{ GeV}$$

$$n_{HS} = 0.05 - 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$$

$$\Gamma_{HS}^{tot} \approx 0.5 - 1 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\Gamma_{BBX} \approx 100 - 300 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma_{BB}^{prod} \approx n_{HS} \Gamma_{BBX} \approx 0.05 \text{ fm}^{-4}$$

#### Detailed model

• Hagedorn mass spectrum:



June 12-14, 2012 Symposium on Contemporary Subatomic Physics (JoeFest), Montreal, Canada 13

#### Master equations

• Processes  $n\pi \leftrightarrow HS \leftrightarrow n'\pi + XX$ 

• Schematically 
$$\frac{dN}{dt} = -"loss" + "gain"$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_{R(i)}}{dt} &= -\Gamma_i N_{R(i)} + \sum_n \Gamma_{i,\pi} N_{R(i)}^{eq} \left(\frac{N_{\pi}}{N_{\pi}^{eq}}\right)^n B_{i \to n\pi} + \Gamma_{i,B\overline{B}} \frac{N_{R(i)}^{eq}}{\left(N_{B\overline{B}}^{eq}\right)} \left(\frac{N_{\pi}}{N_{\pi}^{eq}}\right)^{\langle n \rangle} \left(N_{B\overline{B}}\right)^2 \\ \frac{dN_{\pi}}{dt} &= \sum_i \sum_n \Gamma_{i,\pi} n B_{i \to n\pi} \left(N_{R(i)} - N_{R(i)}^{eq} \left(\frac{N_{\pi}}{N_{\pi}^{eq}}\right)^n\right) + \sum_i \Gamma_{i,B\overline{B}} \langle n \rangle \left(N_{R(i)} - \frac{N_{R(i)}^{eq}}{\left(N_{B\overline{B}}^{eq}\right)^2} \left(\frac{N_{\pi}}{N_{\pi}^{eq}}\right)^{\langle n \rangle} \left(N_{B\overline{B}}\right)^2\right) \\ \frac{dN_{B\overline{B}}}{dt} &= \sum_i \Gamma_{i,B\overline{B}} \langle n \rangle \left(\frac{N_{R(i)}^{eq}}{\left(N_{R(i)}^{eq}\right)^2} \left(\frac{N_{\pi}}{N_{\pi}^{eq}}\right)^{\langle n \rangle} \left(N_{B\overline{B}}\right)^2 - N_{R(i)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

#### Microcanonical decays of HS



Calculation of Fuming Liu [Greiner et al., J. Phys. G 31, S725 (2005)]

### Model parameters (1)

- Mass range of Hagedorn states: 2 12 GeV
- Branching ratios
  - Gaussian distribution:

$$\sigma_i = 0.5 \frac{m_i}{m_p} \approx 0.9 - 22,$$

$$B_{i \to n\pi} \approx \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(n - \langle n \rangle)}{(2\sigma)^2}}$$

$$HS \Leftrightarrow n\pi: \qquad \left\langle n_i \right\rangle = 0.9 + 1.2 \frac{m_i}{m_p} \approx 3 - 34$$
$$HS \Leftrightarrow n\pi + X\overline{X}: \ \left\langle n_{i,x} \right\rangle = \frac{2.7}{1.9} \left( 0.9 + 0.37 \frac{m_i}{m_p} \right) \approx 2 - 7$$

• Decay widths

$$\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{i\pi} + \Gamma_{i,X\overline{X}} = 0.15 \, m_i - 27 \, \mathrm{MeV} \approx 250 - 1800 \, \mathrm{MeV}$$

### Microcanonical decays of HS



Calculation of Fuming Liu [Greiner et al., J. Phys. G 31, S725 (2005)]

#### Model parameters (2)

• Protons  $\Gamma_{i,p\overline{p}} = 3 - 1000 \text{ MeV}$ 

• Kaons  $\Gamma_{i,K\overline{K}} = 50 - 1700 \text{ MeV}$ 

• Lambdas  $\Gamma_{i,\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}} = 3 - 250 \text{ MeV}$ 

• Omegas  $\Gamma_{i,\Omega\overline{\Omega}} = 0.01 - 4 \text{ MeV}$ 

#### Chemical equilibration time

•  $T_{\rm H}$ =176 MeV

• T<sub>H</sub>=196 MeV



June 12-14, 2012Symposium on Contemporary Subatomic Physics (JoeFest), Montreal, Canada19

#### Extra bonus

• Hagedorn states also affect viscosity



#### [Noronha-Hostler, Noronha, Greiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 172302 (2009)]

### Summary

- Collective behavior near critical temperature is important
- Chemical equilibration via Hagedorn states is a feasible mechanism
- Perhaps, there is no need for artificial "born in equilibrium" scenario
- Hagedorn states can drive viscosity low too
- Other implications (?)