

Radiative corrections to chiral separation effect

Igor Shovkovy*

Arizona State University

*E. Gorbar, V. Miransky, I. Shovkovy, and Xinyang Wang, arXiv:1304.4606





POLYTECHNIC CAMPUS



Helicity/Chirality

• Helicities of (ultra-relativistic) massless particles are (approximately) conserved



Right-handed

Left-handed

- Conservation of chiral charge is a property of massless Dirac theory (classically)
- The symmetry is anomalous at quantum level



Chiral magnetic effect

• Chiral charge is produced by topological QCD configurations

$$\frac{d(N_{R} - N_{L})}{dt} = -\frac{g^{2}N_{f}}{16\pi^{2}}\int d^{3}x F_{a}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{a}$$

 Random fluctuations with nonzero chirality in each event

$$N_R - N_L \neq 0 \implies \mu_5 \neq 0$$

• Driving electric current

$$\left\langle \vec{j} \right\rangle = \frac{e^2 \vec{B}}{2 \pi^2} \mu_5$$



Heavy ion collisions

• Dipole pattern of electric currents (charge correlations) in heavy ion collisions

L or B

[Kharzeev, Zhitnitsky, Nucl. Phys. A 797, 67 (2007)]
[Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa, Nucl. Phys. A 803, 227 (2008)]
[Fukushima, Kharzeev, Warringa, Phys. Rev. D 78, 074033 (2008)]



Experimental evidence



[B. I. Abelev et al. [The STAR Collaboration], arXiv:0909.1739][B. I. Abelev et al. [STAR Collaboration], arXiv:0909.1717]



• Electric current induced by axial chemical potential

$$\langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{free}} = -\frac{eB}{2\pi^2}\mu$$
 (free theory!)

[Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 3067] [Metlitski & Zhitnitsky, Phys. Rev. D 72, 045011 (2005)] [Newman & Son, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 045006]

- Exact result (is it?), which follows from chiral anomaly relation
- No radiative correction expected...



Seed chemical potential (μ) induces axial current

$$\left\langle j_5^3 \right\rangle_{\text{free}} = -\frac{eB}{2\pi^2}\mu$$

- Leading to separation of chiral charges: $\mu_5 > 0$ (one side) & $\mu_5 < 0$ (another side)
- In turn, chiral charges induce back-to-back electric currents through

$$\left\langle j^3 \right\rangle_{\text{free}} = \frac{e^2 B}{2 \pi^2} \mu_5$$



Quadrupole CME

Start from a small baryon density and B≠0





• Produce back-to-back electric currents

[Gorbar, Miransky, Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D 83, 085003 (2011)] [Burnier, Kharzeev, Liao, Yee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 052303]



Motivation

• Any additional consequences of the CSE relation?

$$\langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{free}} = -\frac{eB}{2\pi^2}\mu$$
 (free theory!)

[Metlitski & Zhitnitsky, Phys. Rev. D 72, 045011 (2005)]

• Any dynamical parameter Δ ("chiral shift") associated with this condensate?

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \Delta \overline{\psi} \gamma^3 \gamma^5 \psi$$

• Note: $\Delta = 0$ is not protected by any symmetry

Chiral shift in NJL model

• NJL model (local interaction)



• "Gap" equations: $\mu = \mu_0 - \frac{1}{2} G_{int} \langle j^0 \rangle$ $m = m_0 - G_{int} \langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle$ $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2} G_{int} \langle j_5^3 \rangle$

("effective" chemical potential)

(dynamical mass)

(chiral shift parameter)



Solutions

• Magnetic catalysis solution (vacuum state):

$$m^2 \simeq rac{|eB|}{\pi} \exp\left(-rac{4\pi^2}{G_{
m int}|eB|}
ight) \left(rac{1}{2} + rac{1}{$$

State with a chiral shift (nonzero density):

$$m = 0 \quad \& \quad \mu \simeq rac{\mu_0}{1+g/(\Lambda l)^2}$$

Chiral shift @ Fermi surface

• Chirality is \approx well defined at Fermi surface $(|k^3| \gg m)$

1.0

0.5

0.0

 k_3/μ_0

• L-handed Fermi surface:

$$m = 0: \quad k^{3} = +\sqrt{(\mu - s_{\perp}\Delta)^{2} - m^{2}}$$

$$m > 0: \quad k^{3} = +\sqrt{(\sqrt{\mu^{2} - 2n|eB|} - s_{\perp}\Delta)^{2} - m^{2}}$$

$$k^{3} = -\sqrt{(\sqrt{\mu^{2} - 2n|eB|} + s_{\perp}\Delta)^{2} - m^{2}}$$

• R-handed Fermi surface:

$$n = 0: \quad k^{3} = -\sqrt{(\mu - s_{\perp}\Delta)^{2} - m^{2}}$$

$$n > 0: \quad k^{3} = -\sqrt{(\sqrt{\mu^{2} - 2n|eB|} - s_{\perp}\Delta)^{2} - m^{2}}$$

$$k^{3} = +\sqrt{(\sqrt{\mu^{2} - 2n|eB|} + s_{\perp}\Delta)^{2} - m^{2}}$$

Continuous Advances in QCD, Minneapolis, MN

n

□ L & R-handed □ L-handed only □ R-handed only

ASU Chiral shift vs. axial anomaly

- Does the chiral shift modify the axial anomaly relation?
- Using point splitting method, one derives

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \partial_\mu j_5^\mu(u)
angle &= -rac{e^2\epsilon^{eta\mu\lambda\sigma}F_{lpha\mu}F_{\lambda\sigma}\epsilon^lpha\epsilon_eta}{8\pi^2\epsilon^2}\left(e^{-is_\perp\Delta\epsilon^3}\!+\!e^{is_\perp\Delta\epsilon^3}
ight)\ &
ightarrow &-rac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\epsilon^{eta\mu\lambda\sigma}F_{eta\mu}F_{\lambda\sigma} & ext{for} \quad\epsilon
ightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

[Gorbar, Miransky, I.A.S., Phys. Lett. B 695 (2011) 354]

• Therefore, the chiral shift does **not** affect the conventional axial anomaly relation



- Does the chiral shift give any contribution to the axial current?
- In the point splitting method, one has

$$\left\langle j_{5}^{\mu}\right\rangle_{\text{singular}} = -\frac{\Delta}{2\pi^{2}\varepsilon^{2}}\delta_{\mu}^{3} \cong \frac{\Lambda^{2}\Delta}{2\pi^{2}}\delta_{\mu}^{3}$$

[Gorbar, Miransky, I.A.S., Phys. Lett. B 695 (2011) 354]

- This is consistent with the NJL calculations
- Since $\Delta \sim g\mu eB/\Lambda^2$, the correction to the axial current should be finite



Axial current in QED

• Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \overline{\psi} \left(i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} + \mu \gamma^{0} - m \right) \psi + (\text{counterterms})$$

Axial current

$$\langle j_5^3 \rangle = -Z_2 \operatorname{tr} \left[\gamma^3 \gamma^5 G(x,x) \right]$$

• To leading order in coupling $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi)$

$$G(x,y) = S(x,y) + i \int d^4 u \, d^4 v \, S(x,u) \Sigma(u,v) \, S(v,y)$$

Expansion in external field

- Use expansion of S(x,y) in powers of A^{ext}
- To leading order in coupling,



• The radiative correction is



μ

Alternative form of expansion

• Expand $S(x,y) = e^{i\Phi(x,y)}\overline{S}(x-y)$ in field

$$S(x,y) = \overline{S}^{(0)}(x-y) + \overline{S}^{(1)}(x-y) + i\Phi(x,y)S^{(0)}(x-y)$$

Translation invariant part Schwinger phase

• The Schwinger phase (in Landau gauge)

$$\Phi(x,y) = -\frac{eB}{2}(x_1 + y_1)(x_2 - y_2)$$

• Note: the phase is not translation invariant



• Fourier transforms

$$\overline{S}^{(0)}(k) = i \frac{(k_0 + \mu)\gamma^0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \gamma + m}{\left(k_0 + \mu + i\varepsilon\operatorname{sign}(k_0)\right)^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2}$$

$$\overline{S}^{(1)}(k) = -\gamma^1 \gamma^2 eB \frac{(k_0 + \mu)\gamma^0 - k_3 \gamma^3 + m}{\left[\left(k_0 + \mu + i\varepsilon \operatorname{sign}(k_0)\right)^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2\right]^2}$$

• Note the singularity near the Fermi surface...



Fermi surface singularity

• "Vacuum" + "matter" parts $\left[\left(k_0 + \mu + i\varepsilon\operatorname{sign}(k_0)\right)^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2\right]^n = \operatorname{Vac.}'' + \operatorname{Mat.}''$ where "Vac." = $\frac{1}{\left[\left(k_0 + \mu\right)^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon\right]^n}$ "Mat." = $\frac{2\pi i (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \theta (|\mu| - |k_0|) \theta (-k_0 \mu) \delta^{(n-1)} [(k_0 + \mu)^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2]$



Axial current (0th order)

• From definition

$$\left\langle j_{5}^{3}\right\rangle_{0} = -\int \frac{d^{4}k}{\left(2\pi\right)^{4}} \operatorname{tr}\left[\gamma^{3}\gamma^{5}\overline{S}^{(1)}(k)\right]$$

• After integrating over energy

$$\langle j_5^3 \rangle_0 = -\frac{eB \operatorname{sign}(\mu)}{4\pi^3} \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \, \delta(\mu^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)$$

and finally Matter part

$$\left\langle j_5^3 \right\rangle_0 = -\frac{eB \operatorname{sign}(\mu)}{2\pi^2} \sqrt{\mu^2 - m^2}$$

• Note the role of the Fermi surface (!)



Conventional wisdom

• Only the lowest (n=0) Landau level contributes

$$\langle j_5^3 \rangle_0 = \frac{eB}{4\pi^2} \int dk_3 \left[\theta \left(-\mu - \sqrt{k_3^2 + m^2} \right) - \theta \left(\mu - \sqrt{k_3^2 + m^2} \right) \right]$$

giving same answer

$$\langle j_5^3 \rangle_0 = -\frac{eB \operatorname{sign}(\mu)}{2\pi^2} \sqrt{\mu^2 - m^2}$$

- There are no contributions from higher Landau levels (n≥1)
- There is a connection with the index theorem



Two facets

• Two ways to look at the same result





Original two-loop expression

$$\begin{split} \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\alpha} &= 32\pi \alpha eB \int \frac{d^4p \, d^4k}{(2\pi)^8} \frac{1}{(P-K)_{\Lambda}^2} \left[\frac{(k_0 + \mu)[3(p_0 + \mu)^2 + \mathbf{p}^2 + m^2] - 4(p_0 + \mu)(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + 2m^2)}{(P^2 - m^2)^3(K^2 - m^2)} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{(k_0 + \mu)[3(p_0 + \mu)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 + 3m^2] - 2(p_0 + \mu)(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k})}{3(P^2 - m^2)^2(K^2 - m^2)^2} \right] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}}. \end{split}$$

• After integration by parts

$$\begin{split} \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\alpha} &= 64i\pi^2 \alpha eB \int \frac{d^4 p d^4 k}{(2\pi)^8} \Bigg[\frac{(k_0 + \mu)(p_0 + \mu) - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} - 2m^2}{(P - K)_{\Lambda}^2 (K^2 - m^2)} \delta' \left[\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 \right] \delta(p_0) \\ &+ \frac{3(p_0 + \mu)^2 - 3(k_0 + \mu)(p_0 + \mu) + \mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + 3m^2}{3(P - K)_{\Lambda}^2 (P^2 - m^2)^2} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg] + \langle j_5^3 \rangle_{\text{ct}} \delta \left(\mu^2 - m^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 \right) \delta(k_0) \Bigg]$$



Result (m<< μ)

Loop contribution

$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = \frac{\alpha eB\mu}{2\pi^3} \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda}{2\mu} + \frac{11}{12} \right) + \frac{\alpha eBm^2}{2\pi^3\mu} \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda}{2^{3/2}\mu} + \frac{1}{6} \right)$$

• Counterterm

$$\left\langle j_{5}^{3}\right\rangle_{\rm ct} = -\frac{\alpha e B \mu}{2\pi^{3}} \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda}{m} + \ln \frac{m_{\gamma}^{2}}{m^{2}} + \frac{9}{4} \right) - \frac{\alpha e B m^{2}}{2\pi^{3} \mu} \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\gamma}} - \frac{3}{4} \right)$$

• Final result

$$\left\langle j_{5}^{3} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha e B \mu}{2\pi^{3}} \left(\ln \frac{2\mu}{m} + \ln \frac{m_{\gamma}^{2}}{m^{2}} + \frac{4}{3} \right) - \frac{\alpha e B m^{2}}{2\pi^{3} \mu} \left(\ln \frac{2^{3/2} \mu}{m_{\gamma}} - \frac{11}{12} \right)$$

ASJ Sign of nonperturbative physics

• Unphysical dependence on photon mass

$$\left\langle j_{5}^{3} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha e B \mu}{2\pi^{3}} \left(\ln \frac{2\mu}{m} + \ln \frac{m_{\gamma}^{2}}{m^{2}} + \frac{4}{3} \right) - \frac{\alpha e B m^{2}}{2\pi^{3} \mu} \left(\ln \frac{2^{3/2} \mu}{m_{\gamma}} - \frac{11}{12} \right)$$

• Infrared physics with

$$m_{\gamma} \leq |k_0|, |k_3| \leq \sqrt{|eB|}$$

not captured properly

• Note: similar problem exists in calculation of Lamb shift

Nonperturbative effects (?)

• Perpendicular momenta cannot be defined with accuracy better than

$$\Delta \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \Big|_{\min} \sim \sqrt{|eB|}$$

(In contrast to the tacit assumption in using expansion in powers of *B*-field)

• Screening effects provide a natural infrared regulator

$$m_{\gamma} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha \mu}$$

(Formally, this goes beyond the leading order in coupling)

Continuous Advances in QCD, Minneapolis, MN

27

Nonperturbative result (?)

- Conjectured nonpertubative modification
- (1) If non-conservation of momentum dominates

$$\left\langle j_{5}^{3}\right\rangle_{\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha e B \mu}{2\pi^{3}} \left(\ln \frac{\mu |eB|}{m^{3}} + O(1) \right) - \frac{\alpha e B m^{2}}{2\pi^{3} \mu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{|eB|}} + O(1) \right)$$

(2) If photon screening is more important

$$\left\langle j_5^3 \right\rangle_{\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha e B \mu}{2\pi^3} \left(\ln \frac{\alpha \mu^3}{m^3} + O(1) \right) - \frac{\alpha e B m^2}{2\pi^3 \mu} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + O(1) \right)$$





- Weak *B*-field limit: **new interpretation** of the topological contribution to CSE relation
- Radiative corrections are nonzero
- Radiative corrections vanish without "matter" part with singularity on Fermi surface
- Nonperturbative physics complicates the infrared contribution
- With **logarithmic accuracy**, the result can be conjectured



Self-energy at $B \neq 0$

• Self-energy

$$\Sigma(x,y) = -4i\pi\gamma^{\mu} S(x,y)\gamma^{\nu} D_{\mu\nu}(x-y)$$

• General structure

$$\Sigma(x,y) = \exp(i\Phi(x,y))\overline{\Sigma}(x-y)$$

• Translation invariant part:

$$\overline{\Sigma}(p) = -4i\pi \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \gamma^{\mu} \overline{S}(k) \gamma^{\nu} D_{\mu\nu}(k-p)$$



Contribution linear in B

$$\overline{\Sigma}^{(1)}(p) = -4i\pi \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \gamma^{\mu} \,\overline{S}^{(1)}(k) \,\gamma^{\nu} \, D_{\mu\nu}(k-p)$$

• The result has the form

$$\overline{\Sigma}^{(1)}(p) = \gamma^3 \gamma^5 \Delta + \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \mu_5(p)$$

where

$$\Delta \approx \frac{\alpha e B \mu}{\pi m^2} \left(\ln \frac{m^2}{2 \mu (|\mathbf{p}| - p_F)} - 1 \right)$$

$$\mu_5(p) \approx -\frac{\alpha e B \mu}{\pi m^2} \frac{p_3}{p_F} \left(\ln \frac{m^2}{2 \mu (|\mathbf{p}| - p_F)} - 1 \right)$$



Dispersion relations

• Let us use the condition

$$\operatorname{Det}\left[i\,\overline{S}^{-1}(p) + \overline{\Sigma}^{(1)}(p)\right] = 0$$





L/R-Fermi surface shift





- Chiral shift is generated in magnetized matter (evidence from renormalizable model now)
- The magnitude of chiral shift scales as

 $\Delta \propto \frac{\alpha e B \mu}{m^2} \ln \alpha$

- Chiral shift induces a chiral asymmetry at the Fermi surface
- Chiral shift contributes to the axial current