

Solution to Homework 4

October 23, 2007

1 Problem 1

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We assume $\text{rank}(A) = k$. Let $A = U_1 \Sigma V_1^T$ be the thin SVD, where $U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, and $V_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$.

Let $Bx = \lambda x$ be the eigen-decomposition, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times (m+n)}$ is the eigenvector and λ is the corresponding eigenvalue. We further assume $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. It follows that :

$$Bx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_1 \Sigma U_1^T \\ U_1 \Sigma V_1^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \Sigma U_1^T x_2 \\ U_1 \Sigma V_1^T x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda x_1 \\ \lambda x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By solving $\lambda x_1 = V_1 \Sigma U_1^T x_2$ and $\lambda x_2 = U_1 \Sigma V_1^T x_1$, we can verify that the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of B can be expressed respectively as

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} \pm V_1 \\ U_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \pm \text{diag}(\Sigma); \quad \text{or} \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} \pm V_2 \\ U_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = 0,$$

where U_2 and V_2 are the null space of U_1 and V_1 , respectively.

2 Problem 2

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\text{rank}(A) = r$. Let $A = U \Sigma V^T$ be its SVD, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Note that Σ takes the form of $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r, 0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (A^T A + \frac{1}{i} I_n)^{-1} &= \left(V \Sigma U^T U \Sigma V^T + \frac{1}{i} I_n \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(V \Sigma^2 V^T + \frac{1}{i} I_n \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(V \tilde{\Sigma}^2 V^T \right)^{-1} \\ &= V \tilde{\Sigma}^{-2} V^T, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}$ takes the form of $\tilde{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2 + 1/i, \dots, \sigma_r^2 + 1/i, 1/i, \dots, 1/i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A^T A + \frac{1}{i} I_n)^{-1} A^T &= V \tilde{\Sigma}^{-2} V^T V \Sigma U^T \\ &= V \tilde{\Sigma}^{-2} \Sigma U^T \\ &= V \hat{\Sigma} U^T, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}$ takes the form of $\hat{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1^2 + 1/i}, \dots, \frac{\sigma_r}{\sigma_r^2 + 1/i}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Let $A = U_1 \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) V_1^T$ be the thin SVD, where $U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ and $V_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$. Thus we have $A^+ = V_1 \text{diag}(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_r) U_1^T$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_i - A^+ &= V \hat{\Sigma} U^T - V_1 \text{diag}(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_r) U_1^T \\ &= V_1 \left(\text{diag}\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1^2 + 1/i}, \dots, \frac{\sigma_r}{\sigma_r^2 + 1/i}\right) - \text{diag}(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_r) \right) U_1^T \\ &= (-V_1) \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1(i\sigma_1^2 + 1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_r(i\sigma_r^2 + 1)}\right) U_1^T. \end{aligned}$$

It can then be verified $\max_j \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j(i\sigma_j^2 + 1)}\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma_r(i\sigma_r^2 + 1)}$, which implies that

$$\|B_i - A^+\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_r(A)(i\sigma_r(A)^2 + 1)}.$$

Recall that B_i takes the form :

$$(A^T A + \frac{1}{i} I_n)^{-1} A^T = V \hat{\Sigma} U^T,$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}$ takes the form of $\hat{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1^2 + 1/i}, \dots, \frac{\sigma_r}{\sigma_r^2 + 1/i}, 0, \dots, 0)$. An obvious result is : as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sigma_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_r}, 0, \dots, 0)$, and $B_i = V \hat{\Sigma} U^T \rightarrow A^+ = V_1 \Sigma^{-1} U_1^T$. This completes the proof.

3 Problem 3

Given a symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, let $A = U \Sigma U^T$ be its SVD, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(G^T A G) &= \text{trace}(G^T U \Sigma U^T G) \\ &= \text{trace}((U^T G)^T \Sigma (U^T G)) \\ &= \text{trace}(M^T \Sigma M), \end{aligned}$$

where $M = U^T G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Note that M is column-orthogonal. Let $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-m)}$ be the null space of M . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}([M, N]^T [M, N]) &= \text{trace}(I_n) \\ &= \text{trace}([M, N][M, N]^T) \\ &= \text{trace}(M M^T) + \text{trace}(N N^T). \end{aligned}$$

Denote the diagonal entries of MM^T and NN^T as M_{ii} and N_{ii} , where $MM^T, NN^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. It can be easily verified that $M_{ii} + N_{ii} = 1$, and $M_{ii}, N_{ii} \geq 0$. Thus we have $0 \leq M_{ii} \leq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Furthermore, using the result $\text{trace}(MM^T) = \text{trace}(M^T M) = m$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n M_{ii} = m$.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(G^T AG) &= \text{trace}(M^T \Sigma M) \\ &= \text{trace}(\Sigma MM^T) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i M_{ii}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that when $G = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m]$, we have $\text{trace}(G^T AG) = \text{trace}(U_1^T U \Sigma U^T U_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i$. This completes the proof.