Erratum

Ramirez, Mark D. and Nathan Erickson. 2014. “Partisan bias and information discounting in economic judgments.” Political Psychology: 401-415.

The following errors have been identified:

1. Figure 1: The “got better” category for Republican’s in the control condition mis-reports the frequency of responses in that category (n=5) rather than the percentage (25%). The corrected Figure 1 is shown below.

2. Figure 2: The labels for the Partisan Cue Group and Cue and Information Group are switched. The corrected Figure 2 is shown below.

3. Table 1: The t-statistic comparing unemployment judgments among Republicans in the control condition to Republicans receiving both treatments is reported as t=2.99. The correct value is t=2.94. This value is also mis-reported on page 408 in the text of the article.

4. Table 1: The t-statistic comparing certainty judgments among Democrats in the control condition to Democrats receiving both treatments is reported as t=2.84. The correct value is t=2.99. This value is also mis-reported on page 408 in the text of the article.

5. The p-value reporting differences in attitude certainty among Republicans in the control condition to Republicans in the information treatment condition is incorrectly reported as \( p < .015 \) instead of \( p < .15 \). The statistical insignificance of this relationship is referred to in the accompanying footnote 9.

![Figure 1: Distribution of opinions on unemployment across control and treatment groups.](image-url)
Figure 2: Distribution of certainty perceptions across control and treatment groups.