

ASSET PRICING MODELS

[1] CAPM

(1) Some notation:

- R_{it} = (gross) return on asset i at time t .
- R_{mt} = (gross) return on the market portfolio at time t .
- R_{ft} = return on risk-free asset at time t .
- $X_{it} = R_{it} - R_{ft}$ = excess return on asset i .
- $X_{mt} = R_{mt} - R_{ft}$ = excess return on the market portfolio.

$$\bullet \quad R_t = \begin{pmatrix} R_{1t} \\ R_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ R_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1} ; X_t = \begin{pmatrix} X_{1t} \\ X_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ X_{Nt} \end{pmatrix} ; e_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1}$$

- For simplicity, we assume that (R_t', R_{ft}, R_{mt}) is iid over time.

(2) Sharpe-Lintner version of CAPM

- Lintner, 1965, Review of Economics and Statistics
- Sharpe, 1964, Journal of Finance
- Campbell, Lo and Mackinlay (CLM), 1997, book, chapter 5.

1) Basic idea:

- $\text{var}(R_{mt}) = \text{risk from the market portfolio of risky asset.}$
- risk price = p .
 - cost of bearing the market risk = $p \text{ var}(R_{mt})$.
 - At equilibrium, cost of risk = expected gain from risk.
 - $p \text{ var}(R_{mt}) = E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}$
 - $p = \frac{E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}}{\text{var}(R_{mt})}$.
- Systematic risk of an individual asset i :

The risk of asset i due to correlation between returns on asset i and the whole risky-asset market

 - $\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{mt})$.
- Let β_i be the systematic risk of an individual asset i relative to the market risk: $\beta_i = \frac{\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{mt})}{\text{var}(R_{mt})}$.
 - This β_i can be estimated by the time-series OLS on:
$$R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{it}.$$
- Cost of bearing the (systematic) risk of asset i :
$$\beta_i \text{ var}(R_{mt}) p = \beta_i [E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}].$$

- Equilibrium condition:

$$E(R_{it}) - \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}] = R_{ft}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\rightarrow E(R_{it}) = R_{ft} + \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}] \text{ or } E(X_{it}) = \beta_i E(X_{mt}).$$

[Capital Asset Pricing Model]

2) Empirical Model

- Model:

$$X_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{1t};$$

$$X_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{2t};$$

⋮

$$X_{Nt} = \alpha_N + \beta_N X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{Nt}.$$

$$\rightarrow X_t = \alpha + \beta X_{mt} + \varepsilon_t,$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)', \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)' \text{ and } \varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, \dots, \varepsilon_{Nt})'.$$

- Assume that the ε_t are iid over time with $Cov(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma = [\sigma_{ii}]_{N \times N}$.

- Comments.

- No heteroskedasticity over time.
- Reasonable if ε_t is normal.
- If ε_t is t-distributed, heteroskedasticity should exist.

[MacKinlay and Richardson (1991, JF).]

- Equilibrium condition:

$$E(R_{it}) - \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}] = R_{ft}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\rightarrow E(R_{it}) = R_{ft} + \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}] \text{ or } E(X_{it}) = \beta_i E(X_{mt}).$$

[Capital Asset Pricing Model]

2) Empirical Model

- Model:

$$X_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{1t};$$

$$X_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{2t};$$

⋮

$$X_{Nt} = \alpha_N + \beta_N X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{Nt}.$$

$$\rightarrow X_t = \alpha + \beta X_{mt} + \varepsilon_t,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)'$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)'$ and $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, \dots, \varepsilon_{Nt})'$.

- Assume that the ε_t are iid over time with $Cov(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma = [\sigma_{ii}]_{N \times N}$.

- Comments.

- No heteroskedasticity over time.
- Reasonable if ε_t is normal.
- If ε_t is t-distributed, heteroskedasticity should exist.

[MacKinlay and Richardson (1991, JF).]

- Estimation:
 - SUR model with the same regressor: GLS = OLS.
 - Use MLE or OLS.
 - CAPM implies $H_0: \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_N = 0$.
 - Can test these restrictions by Wald or LR.
 - [See Ch. 4-5 of CLM.]
 - If N is too large, the test result would be unreliable.
 - [See Ahn and Gadarowski, 2004]
- Two-Pass Regression Method (Fama- MacBeth, 1973, JPE)
 - Suppose that β_i 's are known. Then, we can consider the following cross-sectional regression model for each t :

$$(*) \quad X_t = e_N \gamma_{1t} + \beta \gamma_{2t} + error,$$
 where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)'$.
 - If the CAPM is correct, it should be the case that $E(\gamma_{1t}) = 0$ and $E(\gamma_{2t}) \neq 0$.

- Estimation procedure:

- STEP 1: For each i , do time-series OLS to estimate β_i ($\hat{\beta}_i$).
- STEP 2: For each t , do cross-section OLS to estimate γ_{1t} and γ_{2t} ($\hat{\gamma}_{1t}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2t}$).
- STEP 3: Compute:

$$\hat{\gamma}_j = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\gamma}_{jt}; \text{var}(\hat{\gamma}_j) = \frac{1}{T(T-1)} \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\gamma}_{jt} - \hat{\gamma}_j)^2.$$

- STEP 4: Do t-tests to check whether $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$.
- Equivalent Procedure [Shanken, 1992, RFS]
- STEP 1: For each i , do time-series OLS to estimate β_i ($\hat{\beta}_i$).

$$\text{Let } \hat{B} = (e_N, \hat{\beta}), \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)' \text{ and } \bar{X} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_t$$

- STEP 2: Do OLS on $\bar{X} = \hat{B}\gamma + \text{error}$:

$$\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{B}'\hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}'\bar{X};$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\gamma}) = (\hat{B}'\hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}' \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (X_t - \bar{X})(X_t - \bar{X})' \hat{B} (\hat{B}'\hat{B})^{-1}$$

- The above covariance matrix is valid only if true β_i 's are used.
 → Correct form of the covariance matrix will be discussed below.

(3) Black-version of CAPM:

1) Basic Model

- Model when there is no risk-free asset.
- R_{omt} = return on the zero-beta portfolio associated with m .
[portfolio that has the minimum variance of all portfolios uncorrelated with m]

→ Let $\gamma = E(R_{omt})$.

- Black-Version of CAPM:

$$E(R_{it}) = \gamma + \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - \gamma] \rightarrow E(R_{it}) = \gamma(1 - \beta_i) + \beta_i E(R_{mt}).$$

2) Empirical estimation and testing.

- Empirical Model:

$$R_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{1t};$$

$$R_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{2t};$$

:

$$R_{nt} = \alpha_n + \beta_n R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{nt}.$$

→ $R_t = \alpha + \beta R_{mt} + \varepsilon_t$,

- Black-version of CAPM implies $H_0: (e_N - \beta)\gamma = \alpha$.

→ See CLM for how to test this hypothesis.

(3) When returns are heteroskedastic or autocorrelated over time.

- Estimate the parameters by GMM.
- Moment conditions:

$$E\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ X_{mt} \end{pmatrix} (X_{it} - \alpha_i - \beta_i X_{mt})\right) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\rightarrow E\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ X_{mt} \end{pmatrix} \otimes (X_t - \alpha - \beta X_{mt})\right) = 0.$$

[2] Multifactor Pricing Model

(1) Arbitrage Pricing Model [Ross, JET, 1976]

- Assumption 1:

- $R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_{i1}f_{1t} + \beta_{i2}f_{2t} + \dots + \beta_{ik}f_{kt} + \varepsilon_{it}$,

- where f_{1t}, \dots, f_{kt} are macroeconomic or portfolio factors.

- $R_t = \alpha + \beta_1 f_{1t} + \dots + \beta_k f_{kt} + \varepsilon_t = \alpha + Bf_t + \varepsilon_t$,

- where $B = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ and $f_t = (f_{1t}, \dots, f_{kt})'$.

- $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma_{N \times N}$ (ε_{it} are cross-sectionally correlated).

- If there is no missing factor, Σ should be diagonal.

- R_t and f_t are covariance-stationary and ergodic.

- The factors in f_t are strictly exogenous:

- $E(f_s \varepsilon_{it}) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, and all t and s .

- $E(f_s \otimes \varepsilon_t) = 0$.

- The beta matrix B is of full column.

- How could we test for $\text{rank}(B)$?

- What happens if B is not full column? [See below.]

- Assumption 2 (No Autocorrelation): Assumption 1 plus

- $E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s' | f_1, \dots, f_T) = 0_{N \times N}$.

- Assumption 3 (No Heteroskedasticity): Assumption 2 plus
 - $Cov(\varepsilon_t | f_1, \dots, f_T) = \Sigma$, for all t.

- Assumption 4 (Autocorrelation in f_t):

- Let $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{f}}$ be the Newey-West estimator of

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Var \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T (f_t - E(f_t))(f_t - E(f_t))' \right)$$

- Assumption 5 (No Autocorrelation in f_t):

$$\hat{\Sigma}_F = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (f_t - \bar{f})(f_t - \bar{f})'$$

•

$$\rightarrow_p \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Var \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T (f_t - E(f_t))(f_t - E(f_t))' \right)$$

- Ross (1976) shows that the absence of arbitrage implies:

- $H_o : E(R_t) = e_N \gamma_0 + B \gamma_1 = (e_N, B) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv B_c \gamma$. Or equivalently,

- $H_o^\alpha : \alpha = e_N \lambda_o + B \lambda_1 = B_c \lambda$, where $\lambda_1 = \gamma_1 - E(f_t)$.

(2) Estimation and Testing [Ahn and Gadarowski, 2004]

- Let $Z_t = (1, f_t)'$.
- Let $\Xi = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t \otimes \varepsilon_t \right)$.
- Let $\hat{\Xi}_1$ be the Newey-West estimator of Ξ using OLS residuals instead of ε_t . Then, it is consistent under Assumption 1.
- Under Assumption 2,

$$\hat{\Xi}_2 \equiv \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(Z_t Z_t' \otimes \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t' \right) \rightarrow_p \Xi.$$

- Under Assumption 3,

$$\hat{\Xi}_3 \equiv \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t Z_t' \right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t' \right) \equiv \hat{\Delta}_{ZZ} \otimes \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow_p \Xi.$$

- Two-Pass Estimation of lambdas:
 - Let $\hat{\Lambda} = (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{B})$ be the OLS estimator of α and B.
 - Let A be any $N \times N$ positive definite matrix.
 - Then, a two-pass estimator of γ is given:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{TP} = \left(\hat{B}'_c A \hat{B}_c \right)^{-1} \hat{B}'_c A \hat{\alpha}.$$

→ If $A = I_N$, the TP estimator is the Fama-MacBeth estimator.

$$\rightarrow Cov(\hat{\gamma}_{TP}) = \left(\hat{B}'_c A \hat{B}_c \right)^{-1} \hat{B}'_c A \hat{\Omega} A \hat{B}_c \left(\hat{B}'_c A \hat{B}_c \right)^{-1},$$

where $\hat{\Omega} = (\hat{\lambda}_* \hat{\Delta}_{ZZ}^{-1} \otimes I_N) \hat{\Xi} (\hat{\Delta}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{\lambda}_* \otimes I_N)$, $\hat{\lambda}_* = (1, -\hat{\lambda}'_1)'$, and $\hat{\lambda}_1$ is any consistent estimator of λ_1 .

- Asymptotically optimal choice of $A = \left(\hat{\Omega} \right)^{-1}$.

→ Let $\hat{\lambda}_{OMD}$ be the optimal TP estimator using $\left(\hat{\Omega} \right)^{-1}$.

- Estimation of gammas:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{TP} = \left(\hat{B}'_c A \hat{B}_c \right)^{-1} \hat{B}'_c A \bar{R} = \hat{\lambda}_{TP} + J \bar{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{0,TP} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{1,TP} + \bar{f} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{where } J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1 \times k} \\ I_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Under Assumption 4,

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\gamma}_{TP}) = \text{Cov}(\hat{\lambda}_{TP}) + \frac{1}{T} J \hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{F}} J'.$$

- Under Assumption 5,

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\gamma}_{TP}) = \text{Cov}(\hat{\lambda}_{TP}) + \frac{1}{T} J \hat{\Sigma}_F J'.$$

- Model Specification test:

$$Q_{OMD} \equiv \frac{T - N + 1}{N - 1 - k} (\hat{\alpha} - \hat{B}_c \hat{\lambda}_{OMD})' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} (\hat{\alpha} - \hat{B}_c \hat{\lambda}_{OMD}) \rightarrow \frac{\chi^2(N - 1 - k)}{N - 1 - k}.$$

- Alternative test:

- Assume:

$$\alpha = e_N \lambda_0 + B \lambda_1 + S \lambda_2,$$

where S contains firm specific variables such as firm sizes or book values.

- H_o and H_o^α imply $\lambda_2 = 0$.
- For detailed test procedures, see Ahn and Gadarowski.

- Empirical Suggestions from Ahn and Gadarowski
 - Need to check persistency of factors.
 - When factors follow unit root or near-unit-root processes, the TP estimators are unreliable.
 - Do not use too many assets (N). 25 or fewer would be appropriate.
 - Testing autocorrelation in time-series OLS residuals and factors are important. Heteroskedasticity-robust Q tests are more reliable than autocorrelation-robust Q tests.
 - The Q tests generally have low power.
 - The t-tests based on nonoptimal TP estimators are more reliable than those based on the optimal TP estimators.

(3) What happens if the beta matrix B is not of full column?

- When?
 - Some factors are in fact not the determinants of returns.
 - Kan and Zhang (1999, JF) call such factors “useless factors”.
 - The columns of B corresponding to useless factors are zero vectors.
 - $\text{rank}(B) < k$.

- Consequences?
 - The TP estimator is severely biased.
 - The price of a useless factor would appear to be significant.

- How to find out correct factors?
 - Conner and Korajczyk (1993, JF)

- What happens if some unimportant factors are used?
 - The TP estimators are severely biased.
[Kan and Zhang, 1999, JF]
 - Important to test how many factors to be used.

- How many factors to be used?
 - When candidate factors are all observed:
 - Connor and Korajczyk (1993, JF).
 - Jagannathan and Wang (1996, JF).

[3] Estimating the Number of Factors

- Question:
 - Suppose we do not observe factors. Wish to estimate factors.
 - How many factors?
- Methods:
 - MLE assuming factors are iid standard normal over time:
Large T and Small N. [See Campbell, Ch. 6.4].
 - Jones (2001, JFE): Large N and small T.
 - Bai (2003, ECON), and Bai and Ng: Both N and T are large.
 - Chi, Nardari and Shephard (2002, JEC): N small and T large.

[4] Stochastic Discount Factor Model

- There are many asset pricing models (CAPM, APT, consumption-based CAPM, intertemporal equilibrium model):

→ See Ch. 8 of CLM.

- A particular asset pricing model typically implies:

$$E[R_t m_t(f_t|\delta)] = e_N,$$

where $m(f_t|\delta)$ is a scalar function of factors and a parameter vector δ , e_N is the normalized price vector, and $m(f_t|\delta)$ is called “stochastic discount factor” (SDF).

→ For linear factor models, $m(f_t|\delta) = \delta_1 + f_t' \delta_2$, where $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2)'$.

- The parameter vector δ and model specification can be tested by GMM.

(1) Jagannathan and Wang (1996, JF):

- Let $w_t(\delta) = R_t m_t(\delta) - e_N$; then, $E[w_t(\delta)]$ is the pricing error.
- If no pricing error, then, $E[w_t(\delta)] = 0_{N \times 1}$.

- HJ-distance (Hansen and Jagannathan, 1997):

- $HJ(\delta) = \sqrt{E[w_t(\delta)]' G^{-1} E(w_t(\delta))}$, where $G = E(R_t R_t')$.

- Correct model has $HJ(\delta) = 0$.

- For a given δ , this measure equals the maximum pricing error generated by a given asset pricing model.

- Standard GMM test for no pricing error:

- Let S_T be a consistent estimator of $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T w_t(\delta) \right)$.

[by White (1980) or Newey and West (1987).]

- Let $\hat{\delta}_{GMM}$ be the optimal GMM estimator.
- Then, under the hypothesis of no pricing error,

$$J_T = T w_T(\hat{\delta}_{GMM})' S_T^{-1} w_T(\hat{\delta}_{GMM}) \rightarrow_d \chi^2(N - p),$$

where $w_T(\delta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T w_t(\delta)$, and p is the # of parameters in δ .

- Jagannathan-Wang test:

- $HJ_T(\delta) = \sqrt{w_T(\delta)' G_T^{-1} w_T(\delta)}$.

- Let $\hat{\delta}_{HJ}$ is the minimizer of $HJ_T(\delta)$.

- Under the hypothesis of no pricing error,

$$SHJ_T = T \times [HJ_T(\hat{\delta}_{HJ})]^2 \rightarrow \text{weighted } \chi^2.$$

- JW (1996) provides a simulation method to compute p-value for this statistic.
- JW conjecture that this HJ test would have better finite sample properties, because non-optimal GMM often has better finite sample properties than optimal GMM.

- Ahn and Gadarowski (2004, Journal of Empirical Finance)
 - For linear factor models, the JW method has extremely poor finite sample properties, poorer than optimal GMM, especially when N is large.
 - Better to use optimal GMM!