ASSET PRICING MODELS

[1] CAPM

(1) Some notation:

- R_{it} = (gross) return on asset i at time t.
- R_{mt} = (gross) return on the market portfolio at time t.
- R_{ft} = return on risk-free asset at time t.
- $X_{it} = R_{it} - R_{ft}$ = excess return on asset i.
- $X_{mt} = R_{mt} - R_{ft}$ = excess return on the market portfolio.
- $R_t = \begin{pmatrix} R_{1t} \\ R_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ R_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}_{N\times 1}; X_t = \begin{pmatrix} X_{1t} \\ X_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ X_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}; e_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{N\times 1}$
- For simplicity, we assume that (R_t', R_{ft}, R_{mt}) is iid over time.

(2) Sharpe-Lintner version of CAPM

- Lintner, 1965, Review of Economics and Statistics
- Sharpe, 1964, Journal of Finance
- Campbell, Lo and Mackinlay (CLM), 1997, book, chapter 5.

1) Basic idea:

- $\text{var}(R_{mt})$ = risk from the market portfolio of risky asset.
- risk price = p.

  → cost of bearing the market risk = $p\,\text{var}(R_{mt})$.

  → At equilibrium, cost of risk = expected gain from risk.

  → $p\,\text{var}(R_{mt}) = E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}$

  → $p = \dfrac{E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}}{\text{var}(R_{mt})}$.

- Systematic risk of an individual asset i:

  The risk of asset i due to correlation between returns on asset i and the whole risky-asset market

  → $\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{mt})$.

- Let β_i be the systematic risk of an individual asset i relative to the market risk: $\beta_i = \dfrac{\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{mt})}{\text{var}(R_{mt})}$.

  → This β_i can be estimated by the time-series OLS on:

  $R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{it}$.

- Cost of bearing the (systematic) risk of asset i:

  $\beta_i\,\text{var}(R_{mt})\,p = \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}]$.

- Equilibrium condition:

  $E(R_{it}) - \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}] = R_{ft}$, for all i = 1, ... , N

  $\rightarrow \quad E(R_{it}) = R_{ft} + \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}]$ or $E(X_{it}) = \beta_i E(X_{mt})$.

  [Capital Asset Pricing Model]

2) Empirical Model

- Model:

  $X_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{1t}$;

  $X_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{2t}$;

  :

  $X_{Nt} = \alpha_N + \beta_N X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{3t}$.

  $\rightarrow \quad X_t = \alpha + \beta X_{mt} + \varepsilon_t$,

  where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)'$, $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_N)'$ and $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, ..., \varepsilon_{Nt})'$.

- Assume that the ε_t are iid over time with $Cov(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma = [\sigma_{ii}]_{N \times N}$.
  - Comments.
    - No heteroskedasticity over time.
    - Reasonable if ε_t is normal.
    - If ε_t is t-distributed, heteroskedasticity should exist.

      [MacKinlay and Richardson (1991, JF).]

- Equilibrium condition:

  $E(R_{it}) - \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}] = R_{ft}$, for all i = 1, ... , N

  $\rightarrow \quad E(R_{it}) = R_{ft} + \beta_i[E(R_{mt}) - R_{ft}]$ or $E(X_{it}) = \beta_i E(X_{mt})$.

  [Capital Asset Pricing Model]

2) Empirical Model

- Model:

  $X_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{1t}$;

  $X_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{2t}$;

  :

  $X_{Nt} = \alpha_N + \beta_N X_{mt} + \varepsilon_{3t}$.

  $\rightarrow \quad X_t = \alpha + \beta X_{mt} + \varepsilon_t$,

  where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)'$, $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_N)'$ and $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, ..., \varepsilon_{Nt})'$.

- Assume that the ε_t are iid over time with $Cov(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma = [\sigma_{ii}]_{N \times N}$.
  - Comments.
    - No heteroskedasticity over time.
    - Reasonable if ε_t is normal.
    - If ε_t is t-distributed, heteroskedasticity should exist.

      [MacKinlay and Richardson (1991, JF).]

- Estimation:
  - SUR model with the same regressor: GLS = OLS.

    → Use MLE or OLS.
  - CAPM implies H_o: $\alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_N = 0$.

    → Can test these restrictions by Wald or LR.

    [See Ch. 4-5 of CLM.]

    → If N is too large, the test result would be unreliable.

    [See Ahn and Gadarowski, 2004]

- Two-Pass Regression Method (Fama- MacBeth, 1973, JPE)
  - Suppose that β_i's are known. Then, we can consider the following cross-sectional regression model for each t:

    (*) $X_t = e_N \gamma_{1t} + \beta \gamma_{2t} + error$,

    where $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_N)'$.
  - If the CAPM is correct, it should be the case that $E(\gamma_{1t}) = 0$ and $E(\gamma_{2t}) \neq 0$.

- Estimation procedure:
  - STEP 1: For each i, do time-series OLS to estimate β_i ($\hat{\beta}_i$).
  - STEP 2: For each t, do cross-section OLS to estimate γ_{1t} and γ_{2t} ($\hat{\gamma}_{1t}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2t}$).
  - STEP 3: Compute:

$$\hat{\gamma}_j = \frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}\hat{\gamma}_{jt}; \text{var}(\hat{\gamma}_j) = \frac{1}{T(T-1)}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{jt} - \hat{\gamma}_j\right)^2.$$

  - STEP 4: Do t-tests to check whether $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$.

- Equivalent Procedure [Shanken, 1992, RFS]
  - STEP 1: For each i, do time-series OLS to estimate β_i ($\hat{\beta}_i$).

    Let $\hat{B} = (e_N, \hat{\beta})$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)'$ and $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T} X_t$
  - STEP 2: Do OLS on $\bar{X} = \hat{B}\gamma + error$:

$$\hat{\gamma} = \left(\hat{B}'\hat{B}\right)^{-1}\hat{B}'\bar{X};$$

$$Cov\left(\hat{\gamma}\right) = \left(\hat{B}'\hat{B}\right)^{-1}\hat{B}'\frac{1}{T^2}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}(X_t - \bar{X})(X_t - \bar{X})'\hat{B}\left(\hat{B}'\hat{B}\right)^{-1}$$

- The above covariance matrix is valid only if true β_i's are used.

  → Correct form of the covariance matrix will be discussed below.

(3) Black-version of CAPM:

1) Basic Model

- Model when there is no risk-free asset.
- R_{omt} = return on the zero-beta portfolio associated with m.

  [portfolio that has the minimum variance of all portfolios uncorrelated with m]

  → Let $\gamma = E(R_{omt})$.
- Black-Version of CAPM:

  $E(R_{it}) = \gamma + \beta_i[E(R_{mt})-\gamma] \rightarrow E(R_{it}) = \gamma(1-\beta_i) + \beta_i E(R_{mt})$.

2) Empirical estimation and testing.

- Empirical Model:

  $R_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{1t}$;

  $R_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{2t}$;

  :

  $R_{mt} = \alpha_n + \beta_n R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{3t}$.

  → $R_t = \alpha + \beta R_{mt} + \varepsilon_t$,
- Black-version of CAPM implies H_o: $(e_N-\beta)\gamma = \alpha$.

  → See CLM for how to test this hypothesis.

(3) When returns are heteroskedastic or autocorrelated over time.

- Estimate the parameters by GMM.
- Moment conditions:

$$E\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ X_{mt} \end{pmatrix}\left(X_{it} - \alpha_i - \beta_i X_{mt}\right)\right) = 0, \text{ i = 1, ..., N}$$

$$\rightarrow \quad E\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ X_{mt} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \left(X_t - \alpha - \beta X_{mt}\right)\right) = 0.$$

[2] Multifactor Pricing Model

(1) Arbitrage Pricing Model [Ross, JET, 1976]

- Assumption 1:
  - $R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_{i1}f_{1t} + \beta_{i2}f_{2t} + \ldots + \beta_{ik}f_{kt} + \varepsilon_{it}$,

    where $f_{1t}, \ldots f_{kt}$ are macroeconomic or portfolio factors.
  - $R_t = \alpha + \beta_1 f_{1t} + \ldots + \beta_k f_{kt} + \varepsilon_t = \alpha + Bf_t + \varepsilon_t$,

    where $B = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)$ and $f_t = (f_{1t}, \ldots, f_{kt})'$.
  - $\mathrm{Cov}(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma_{N\times N}$ (ε_{it} are cross-sectionally correlated).

    $\rightarrow$ If there is no missing factor, Σ should be diagonal.
  - R_t and f_t are covariance-stationary and ergodic.
  - The factors in f_t are strictly exogeous:

    $E(f_s\varepsilon_{it}) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and all t and s.

    $\rightarrow E(f_s \otimes \varepsilon_t) = 0$.
  - The beta matrix B is of full column.

    $\rightarrow$ How could we test for rank(B)?

    $\rightarrow$ What happens if B is not full column? [See below.]

- Assumption 2 (No Autocorrelation): Assumption 1 plus
  - $E\left(\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_s' \mid f_1, \ldots, f_T\right) = 0_{N\times N}$.

- Assumption 3 (No Heteroskedasticity): Assumption 2 plus
  - $Cov(\varepsilon_t \mid f_1,..., f_T) = \Sigma$, for all t.

- Assumption 4 (Autocorrelation in f_t):
  - Let $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{F}}$ be the Newey-West estimator of

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} Var\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}(f_t - E(f_t))(f_t - E(f_t))'\right)$$

- Assumption 5 (No Autocorrelation in f_t):
  - $$\hat{\Sigma}_F = \frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}(f_t - \bar{f})(f_t - \bar{f})'$$
    $$\to_p \lim_{T\to\infty} Var\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}(f_t - E(f_t))(f_t - E(f_t))'\right)$$

- Ross (1976) shows that the absence of arbitrage implies:
  - $H_o : E(R_t) = e_N\gamma_0 + B\gamma_1 = (e_N, B)\begin{pmatrix}\gamma_0\\ \gamma_1\end{pmatrix} \equiv B_c\gamma$. Or equivalently,
  - $H_o^{\alpha} : \alpha = e_N\lambda_o + B\lambda_1 = B_c\lambda$, where $\lambda_1 = \gamma_1 - E(f_t)$.

(2) Estimation and Testing [Ahn and Gadarowski, 2004]

- Let $Z_t = (1, f_t')'$.
- Let $\Xi = \lim_{T\to\infty} Var\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T} Z_t \otimes \varepsilon_t\right)$.
- Let $\hat{\Xi}_1$ be the Newey-West estimator of Ξ using OLS residuals instead of ε_t. Then, it is consistent under Assumption 1.
- Under Assumption 2,

$$\hat{\Xi}_2 \equiv \frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T}\left(Z_t Z_t' \otimes \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t'\right) \to_p \Xi .$$

- Under Assumption 3,

$$\hat{\Xi}_3 \equiv \left(\frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T} Z_t Z_t'\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t'\right) \equiv \hat{\Delta}_{ZZ} \otimes \hat{\Sigma} \to_p \Xi .$$

- Two-Pass Estimation of lambdas:
  - Let $\hat{\Lambda} = (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{B})$ be the OLS estimator of α and B.
  - Let A be any N×N positive definite matrix.
  - Then, a two-pass estimator of γ is given:

    $$\hat{\gamma}_{TP} = \left(\hat{B}_c{}' A \hat{B}_c\right)^{-1} \hat{B}_c{}' A \hat{\alpha}.$$

    → If A = I_N, the TP estimator is the Fama-MacBeth estimator.

    $$\rightarrow Cov(\hat{\gamma}_{TP}) = \left(\hat{B}_c{}' A \hat{B}_c\right)^{-1} \hat{B}_c{}' A \hat{\Omega} A \hat{B}_c \left(\hat{B}_c{}' A \hat{B}_c\right)^{-1},$$

    where $\hat{\Omega} = (\hat{\lambda}_* \hat{\Delta}_{ZZ}^{-1} \otimes I_N)\hat{\Xi}(\hat{\Delta}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{\lambda}_* \otimes I_N)$, $\hat{\lambda}_* = (1, -\hat{\lambda}_1{}')'$, and $\hat{\lambda}_1$ is any consistent estimator of λ_1.
  - Asymptotically optimal choice of A = $\left(\hat{\Omega}\right)^{-1}$.

    → Let $\hat{\lambda}_{OMD}$ be the optimal TP estimator using $\left(\hat{\Omega}\right)^{-1}$.

- Estimation of gammas:
  - $$\hat{\gamma}_{TP} = (\hat{B}_c{}' A B_c)^{-1} \hat{B}_c{}' A \bar{R} = \hat{\lambda}_{TP} + J\bar{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{0,TP} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{1,TP} + \bar{f} \end{pmatrix},$$

    where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{1\times k} \\ I_k \end{pmatrix}$.

- Under Assumption 4,

$$Cov(\hat{\gamma}_{TP}) = Cov(\hat{\lambda}_{TP}) + \frac{1}{T} J \hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{F}} J'.$$

- Under Assumption 5,

$$Cov(\hat{\gamma}_{TP}) = Cov(\hat{\lambda}_{TP}) + \frac{1}{T} J \hat{\Sigma}_{F} J'.$$

- Model Specification test:
  - $Q_{OMD} \equiv \frac{T-N+1}{N-1-k}\left(\hat{\alpha} - \hat{B}_c \hat{\lambda}_{OMD}\right)' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} (\hat{\alpha} - \hat{B}_c \hat{\lambda}_{OMD}) \to \frac{\chi^2(N-1-k)}{N-1-k}.$

- Alternative test:
  - Assume:

    $$\alpha = e_N \lambda_0 + B\lambda_1 + S\lambda_2,$$

    where S contains firsm specific variables such as firm sizes or book values.
  - H_o and H_o^{α} imply $\lambda_2 = 0$.
  - For detailed test procedures, see Ahn and Gadarowski.

- Empirical Suggestions from Ahn and Gadarowski
  - Need to check persistency of factors.
    → When factors follow unit root or near-unit-root processes, the TP estimators are unreliable.
  - Do not use too many assets (N). 25 or fewer would be appropriate.
  - Testing autocorrelation in time-series OLS residuals and factors are important. Heteroskedasticity-robust Q tests are more reliable than autocorrelation-robust Q tests.
  - The Q tests generally have low power.
  - The t-tests based on nonoptimal TP estimators are more reliable than those based on the optimal TP estimators.

(3) What happens if the beta matrix B is not of full column?

- When?
  - Some factors are in fact not the determinants of returns.
    → Kan and Zhang (1999, JF) call such factors "useless factors".
    → The columns of B corresponding to useless factors are zero vectors.
    → rank(B) < k.

- Consequences?
  - The TP estimator is severely biased.
  - The price of a useless factor would appear to be significant.

- How to find out correct factors?
  - Conner and Korajczyk (1993, JF)

- What happens if some unimportant factors are used?

  → The TP estimators are severely biased.

  [Kan and Zhang, 1999, JF]

  → Important to test how many factors to be used.

- How many factors to be used?
  - When candidate factors are all observed:
    - Connor and Korajczyk (1993, JF).
    - Jagannathan and Wang (1996, JF).

[3] Estimating the Number of Factors

- Question:
  - Suppose we do not observe factors. Wish to estimate factors.
  - How may factors?

- Methods:
  - MLE assuming factors are iid standard normal over time:

    Large T and Small N. [See Campbell, Ch. 6.4].
  - Jones (2001, JFE): Large N and small T.
  - Bai (2003, ECON), and Bai and Ng: Both N and T are large.
  - Chi, Nardari and Shephard (2002, JEC): N small and T large.

[4] Stochastic Discount Factor Model

- There are many asset pricing models (CAPM, APT, consumption-based CAPM, intertemporal equilibrium model):
  → See Ch. 8 of CLM.
- A particular asset pricing model typically implies:
  $E[R_t m_t(f_t|\delta)] = e_N$,
  where $m(f_t|\delta)$ is a scalar function of factors and a parameter vector δ, e_N is the normalized price vector, and $m(f_t|\delta)$ is called "stochastic discount factor" (SDF).
  → For linear factor models, $m(f_t|\delta) = \delta_1 + f_t'\delta_2$, where $\delta = (\delta_1,\delta_2')'$.
- The parameter vector δ and model specification can be tested by GMM.

(1) Jagannathan and Wang (1996, JF):

- Let $w_t(\delta) = R_t m_t(\delta) - e_N$; then, $E[w_t(\delta)]$ is the pricing error.
- If no pricing error, then, $E[w_t(\delta)] = 0_{N\times 1}$.

- HJ-distance (Hansen and Jagannathan, 1997):
  - $HJ(\delta) = \sqrt{E[w_t(\delta)]'G^{-1}E(w_t(\delta))}$, where $G = E(R_t R_t')$.
  - Correct model has $HJ(\delta) = 0$.
  - For a given δ, this measure equals the maximum pricing error generated by a given asset pricing model.

- Standard GMM test for no pricing error:
  - Let S_T be a consistent estimator of $\lim_{T\to\infty} Cov\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T} w_t(\delta)\right)$.

    [by White (1980) or Newey and West (1987).]
  - Let $\hat{\delta}_{GMM}$ be the optimal GMM estimator.
  - Then, under the hypothesis of no pricing error,

    $$J_T = Tw_T(\hat{\delta}_{GMM})' S_T^{-1} w_T(\hat{\delta}_{GMM}) \to_d \chi^2(N-p),$$

    where $w_T(\delta) = \frac{1}{T}\Sigma_{t=1}^{T} w_t(\delta)$, and p is the # of parameters in δ.

- Jagannathan-Wang test:
  - $HJ_T(\delta) = \sqrt{w_T(\delta)' G_T^{-1} w_T(\delta)}$.
  - Let $\hat{\delta}_{HJ}$ is the minimizer of $HJ_T(\delta)$.
  - Under the hypothesis of no pricing error,

    $$SHJ_T = T \times [HJ_T(\hat{\delta}_{HJ})]^2 \to \text{weighted } \chi^2.$$
  - JW (1996) provides a simulation method to compute p-value for this statistic.
  - JW conjecture that this HJ test would have better finite sample properties, because non-optimal GMM often has better finite sample properties than optimal GMM.

- Ahn and Gadarowski (2004, Journal of Empirical Finance)
  - For linear factor models, the JW method has extremely poor finite sample properties, poorer than optimal GMM, especially when N is large.
  - Better to use optimal GMM!