HW 3 (Due June 13, Friday)

1. (20 pts.) Consider the following SUR model:

$$\begin{split} y_{1t} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x_{1t} + \alpha_3 x_{2t} + \epsilon_{1t}; \\ y_{2t} &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 x_{3t} + \gamma_3 x_{4t} + \epsilon_{2t}. \end{split}$$

To estimate this model, use the data set named "sur.db". The data set contains 30 observations on 6 variables (y1, y2, x1, x2, x3 and x4). Using this data set, construct a GAUSS program that can do the followings:

- (1) Estimate the model by two-step feasible GLS. Report the variable names, the estimated coefficients, standard errors, and t statistics. (10 pts.)
- (2) Do a  $\chi^2$  test for the hypothesis:  $\alpha_2 = \gamma_2$ . (5 pts.)
- (3) Do the LM test for the hypothesis:  $\Sigma$  is diagonal. (5 pts.)

Report both your program and output files.

Hint 1: For a  $p \times p$  identity matrix, use "eye(p)". Hint 2: For the Kronecker product of two matrices A and B, use "A .\*".

2. (10 pts.) Consider the following two-equation system:

 $\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \beta_1 e_T + \beta_2 x_1 + \beta_3 x_2 + \epsilon_1; \\ y_2 &= \beta_1 e_T + \beta_2 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon_2, \end{aligned}$ 

where  $e_T$  is a T×1 vector of ones, all of  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$ ,  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are T×1 vectors. Using the data set "sur.db", construct a GAUSS program that can estimate  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$  and  $\beta_4$  by two-step GLS. Report both your program and output files. Report the variables name, estimated coefficients, standard errors, and t statistics.

3. (10 pts.) Consider the two-equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon_1 \\ y_2 &= \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \epsilon_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are T×1 nonrandom exogenous variables and  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are T×1 vectors of errors. Assume that  $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{t1}, \varepsilon_{t2})'$  are iid  $N(0_{2\times 1}, \Sigma_{2\times 2})$ , where  $\Sigma = [\sigma_{ij}]$  is known. Suppose that the analyst of this model applies GLS but erroneously omits  $x_3$  from the second equation. Is the GLS estimator of  $\beta_1$  unbiased? Justify your answer.