

**Research Assignment Assessment****Authors:** \_\_\_\_\_**Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>PAPER</b>			
<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>EXPECTED FEATURES</b>	
		The paper is structured with a title, list of authors, abstract, introduction, body, summary/conclusion, bibliography and appendix?	
		Does the paper conform to the length, margin and font requirements?	
		Are all figures numbered, captioned, introduced and discussed?	
		Are there adequate references that are appropriately cited?	
		Does the bibliography clearly provide <i>all</i> information for every reference?	
Comment on any NO:			
<b>+</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>Δ</b>	<b>RATED FEATURES</b>
			Do the title and abstract state the key ideas adequately?
			Does the introduction clearly state the background and motivation in terms understandable to a student in the class?
			Is the English readable (grammar and spelling)?
			Is the presentation clear and well-organized?
			Is the paper technically correct?
			Do the authors illustrate that the concepts are understood?
Comment on ratings:			

<b>HANDS-ON PROJECT</b>			
<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>EXPECTED FEATURES</b>	
		Does the appendix clearly describe the individual accountability for the project implementation?	
		Does the appendix conform to the length requirement of 5 pages?	
Comment on any NO:			
<b>+</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>Δ</b>	<b>RATED REQUIREMENTS</b>
			To what extent does the hands-on project support the learning objectives of the paper?
			Does the appendix of the paper provide a good overview of the project?
Comment on ratings:			
<b>WEB PRESENTATION</b>			
(Since myASU accepts HTML as the content of a discussion thread, I expect I will have each group post their web presentation as a discussion thread. Therefore, do not waste time on any “bells and whistles” for your web presentation. You should focus on presentation content that has an appropriate appearance.)			
<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>EXPECTED FEATURES</b>	
		The presentation is structured with a title, list of authors, abbreviated overview, relevant bibliography and annotated related links?	
		All links are annotated to indicate content and are not “broken”.	
Comment on any NO:			
<b>+</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>Δ</b>	<b>RATED REQUIREMENTS</b>
			The web presentation provides an accessible overview of the topic.
Comment on ratings:			

<b>Result of Assessment</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>+</b> <b>(Exceeds Expectations)</b>	all YES's for the Expected Features plus at least several +'s on Rated Features and no Δ's
<b>√</b> <b>(Meets Expectations)</b>	all YES's for the Expected Features plus at most a few Δ's
<b>Δ</b> <b>(Needs Improvement)</b>	if there are any NO's for the Expected Features or there are too many Δ's