Spin, Vortices, Anomaly and Hydrodynamics

- Theoretical Physics Colloquium Arizona State University
 - March 10, 2021
 - Ho-Ung Yee (UIC)

• Most quantum object in Nature: Spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ has two basis $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$



Stern-Gelach Experiment with Ag atoms (1922)

By Tatoute - Own work, CC BY-SA 4.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/ index.php?curid=34095239

Spin

Relativistic particles: Helicity \overrightarrow{s} \overrightarrow{p}

• Relativistic massless particles: $\vec{S} = h \frac{\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$, where *h* is called helicity

- Under the parity $\vec{x} \to -\vec{x}$ transformation (P), $\vec{S} \to \vec{S}$ and $\vec{p} \to -\vec{p}$, and helicity flips sign under P
- Any observable that correlates \overrightarrow{S} and \overrightarrow{p} breaks Parity symmetry !

Parity breaking in Electro-Weak Theory

Lee-Yang's proposal (1956) and the Wu's experiment (1956)



$\Lambda \textbf{-polarization in RHIC}$



 $\frac{\Lambda \text{ (uds)-baryon self-analyzes its spin direction (1950)}}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha \cos \theta), \quad \alpha = 0.642$

Life-time $\tau \sim 10^{-10}$ s with weak decay to $p + \pi^-$ STAR measurement of global Λ

N.B. $\alpha = -0.642$ for $\overline{\Lambda}$ due to CP-conservation



STAR measurement of global Λ
polarization. Figure from Nature 548,
62-65(2017) (STAR)

Proton Spin Puzzle



Topological Fluctuations flipping Quark Helicity

(Tarasov-Venugopalan)



Q: Where does the angular momentum go?







Axial charge=(Blue)-(Red)

Chiral Anomaly

Axial charge n_A is the net helicity density $n_A = N(q_I) + N(\bar{q}_I) - (N(q_R) + N(\bar{q}_R))$ It is P-odd and CP-odd (C-even) Chiral Anomaly : $\frac{dn_A}{dt} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}}$ (P-,CP-odd) Triangle Diagram



Chiral Magnetic Effect Ψ_R $\mu_A > 0$



Spin alignment in magnetic field leads to momentum alignment to induce a net charge current

(Fukushima-Kharzeev-Warringa, Vilenkin, Son-Zhitnitsky)



Power $P = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{J}} = \frac{dn_A}{dt} \mu_A = -\frac{dn_A}{dt}$

(Nielsen-Ninomiya)







Iso-baric Ru-Zr at RHIC



Taken from Kharzeev-Li Volume 3, 55–63 (2021)

 $\Delta \langle \varepsilon_2 \rangle$



Solid: Perturbative QCD, Dashed: AdS/CFT Blue: Real part, Red: Imaginary part From PRD 95, 051901 (2017) by Kharzeev-Stephanov-Yee

But, $\sigma(\omega > 0)$ is from more general effects from P-odd helicity

Time-dependent CME

$$\vec{\mathbf{J}}(\omega) = \sigma(\omega)\vec{\mathbf{B}}(\omega), \quad \sigma_0 = \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2}\mu_A$$

$$\sigma(\omega) \sim \sigma_0 - i\xi_5 \omega$$

$$\xi_5 = -\frac{0.5}{\alpha_s^2 \log(1/\alpha_s)} \frac{\sigma_0}{T} \text{ in pQCD}$$
 (Jimenez Alba

 $\sigma(0) = \sigma_0$ is fixed by chiral anomaly





Chiral Magnetic Wave

(Kharzeev-Yee, Burnier-Kharzeev-Liao-Yee)

Anomalous Transport

$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = \sigma_R \vec{\mathbf{B}} + \sigma_V \vec{\omega}$

Chiral Vortical Effect

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} = \sigma_B^{\epsilon} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} + \sigma_V^{\epsilon} \overrightarrow{\omega}$$

(Son-Surowka, Landsteiner, ...)

$$\sigma_B = \frac{\mu}{4\pi^2}, \quad \sigma_V = \frac{\mu^2}{8\pi^2}$$

Time Reversal (T) relates $\sigma_V(k, \omega) = \sigma_B^{\epsilon}(k, \omega)$ (Shiyong Li-Yee)

eB Landau Levels with 2D density of states Band-Crossing Chiral Zero Mode 2π

Spectral Flow for Anomaly

N=4 Chiral Zero Modes

Topology of Generalized Spinors A generalized spinor $H = P_1(\vec{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_x + P_2(\vec{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_v + P_3(\vec{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_z$ (Piljin Yi-Yee)

- with arbitrary functions $P_1(\vec{\mathbf{k}}), P_2(\vec{\mathbf{k}}), P_3(\vec{\mathbf{k}})$ in momentum $\vec{\mathbf{k}}$
- computation, it was proven that

Chiral Anomaly :
$$\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} = N_{P} \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}}$$

where $N_{p} = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int d^{3} \vec{\mathbf{k}} \det \left(\frac{\partial P(k)}{\partial k}\right) e^{-P^{2}(\vec{\mathbf{k}})}$

In both Heat-Kernel method (Fujikawa's method) and Diagrammatic

: Winding Number of the Map $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{P}(\vec{k})$

Topology of Generalized Spinors Winding Number N_p = Berry's Monopole at $|\vec{\mathbf{k}}| \rightarrow \infty$ Large \mathbf{k} where Chiral Kinetic Theory is valid K_{Z} (Son-Yamamoto, Stephanov-Yin, Chen-Pu-Wang-Wang) The spinor at $\vec{\mathbf{k}}$ is $|\psi(\vec{\mathbf{P}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}))\rangle$ where $(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\sigma}) | \psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}) \rangle = | \overrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} | | \psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}) \rangle$ $k_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\text{The Berry's monopole of } | \psi(\vec{\mathbf{P}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}})) \rangle$ in $\vec{\mathbf{k}}$ -space is N_p times of unit monopole in $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}$ -space $A_{k^{i}} = \left(\frac{\partial P^{j}}{\partial k^{i}}\right) A_{P^{j}}$ nens

UV-IR Connection Index Theorem : Index $(D \cdot \sigma) = \frac{N_P}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \ F \wedge F$ Local Topological Density: Defined Locally in Space Chiral Anomaly : $\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} = N_p \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}}$ s Monopole Answers the question defined in $\mathbf{k} = \infty$ by Fujikawa and (UV) Mueller-Venugopalan

Anomaly : Defined in Infrared (IR)

Spin of Magnetic Vortices (Fukushima-Hidaka-Yee)

Superfluid Vortices

 $L_z = \hbar N$, N = Particle Number

Magnetic Vortices

 $\Pi_{\varphi} = p_{\varphi} - qA_{\varphi}$: Gauge Invariant

$L_z = r \times \Pi_{\varphi} : \text{Not a multiple of } \hbar$

Special feature of Representation of 2D Rotation Group SO(2)=U(1)

Feynman's Angular Momentum Paradox Gauss' Law $\vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{radial}} \neq \mathbf{0}$ $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \neq 0$ $\vec{\mathbf{B}} \neq 0$

 $L_z^{\text{matter}} = r \times \Pi_{\varphi} = r \times (P_{\varphi} - qA_{\varphi}) = -q(r \times A_{\varphi}) : \text{Not a multiple of } \hbar$

Relativistic Magnetic Vortices

Neutral Nielsen-Olesen Vortex

Antiparticle Antivortex

Angular momentum from particle vortex and anti-particle anti-vortex cancel

Vortex

 $|D\Phi|^2 + V(|\Phi|^2) + \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2$ Magnetic Vortex

- Angular momentum is zero for a neutral vortex, where $D_0 \Phi = 0$, $\overrightarrow{P} \propto i((D_0 \Phi)^* (\overrightarrow{D} \Phi) - h.c.) = 0$ Particle Neutral vortex is a composite of particle vortex and anti-particle anti-vortex $\Phi \sim e^{i\varphi} \sim a + b^{\dagger}$

Particle-Vortex Duality in (2+1)D

 $\leftrightarrow \quad (\partial \phi)^2 + W(|\phi|^2)$

Scalar Particle

Example : Non-Abelian CFL Vortex (Balachandran-Digal-Matsuura, Eto-Hirono-Nitta-Yasui, Alford et al)

For a charged vortex, there is a non-trivial cancellation $L_7^{\text{matter}} + L_7^{\text{EM}}$

Non-Abelian Vortex in Color-Flavor-Locking (CFL) phase of dense 3-flavor quark matter $\langle q^i_{\alpha} q^j_{\beta} \rangle_{L,R} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^{ij\gamma} \Delta_{L,R}$

Local Symmetry breaking for massive ρ -Mesons and massless Pions

$$=e^{i\frac{\nu}{3}\varphi}\begin{pmatrix}e^{i\frac{2i}{3}}\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

r color fields matter ₁

 Φ

 $U(1)_R \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_C \rightarrow SU(3)_V$: CFL is similar to Hidden A composite of Baryon Superfluid Vortex + Color Magnetic Vortex $\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{2\nu}{3}\varphi & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\nu}{3}\varphi} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\frac{\nu}{3}\varphi} \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cccc} f(r) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & b(r) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & b(r) \end{array} \right)$

> It matches to Hadronic Phase

Vortices in Topological Insulator Surface (Nogueira-Nussinov-Brink)

Spin Hydrodynamics and Pseudo-Gauge Transformations (Shiyong Li-Stephanov-Yee) $dS = \beta dE - \alpha dN - \beta \Omega \cdot d \mathbf{J}$ $\Delta E_1 = -\Delta E_2$ $\Delta N_1 = -\Delta N_2$ total Spin **Potential** $\Delta \mathbf{J}_1 = -\Delta \mathbf{J}_2$ $\vec{J} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p} + \vec{S}$: Angular Momentum $dS = \beta(dE - \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{p}}) - \alpha dN - \beta \vec{\Omega} \cdot d\vec{S}$ Frictionless Wall $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\mathbf{x}}$, $\vec{\Omega} = Fluid Vorticity$ **Spin correction to 1st Law of**

Global Equilibrium with Angular Momentum Conservation

Thermodynamics

(Hattori-Hongo-Huang-Matsuo-Taya)

Canonical EM Tensor and Spin Total Angular Momentum Tensor $J^{\mu\nu\alpha} = x^{\nu}T^{\mu\alpha} -$

Conservation of Angular Momentum $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0$ gives

$T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu} = -\partial_{\alpha} S^{\alpha\mu\nu}$

It describes angular momentum exchange between spin and orbital angular momenta

$$x^{\alpha}T^{\mu\nu} + S^{\mu\nu\alpha}$$

Canonical Energy-Momentum Tensor

Spin Hydrodynamics

Canonical EM $T_{C}^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}q^{\nu} + u^{\nu}q^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\mu\nu}$ Anti-Symmetric Part Tensor $j^{\mu} = n u^{\mu}$, $s^{\mu} = s u^{\mu} + \Delta s^{\mu}$

The 2nd Law of Thermodynamics

Thermal Hall Effect : $q^{\mu} = \frac{I}{2} S^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \beta$

Ideal Limit of Spin Hydrodynamics

N.B. A more complete list of terms can be found in Gallegos-Gursoy-Yarom '21

(Becattini-Tinti, Florkowski-Friman-Jaiswal-Speranza, Hattori-Hongo-Huang-Matsuo-Taya, Fukushima-Pu, Li-Stephanov-Yee, Gallegos-Gursoy-Yarom)

 $\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}(\Delta s^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\beta_{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\mu\nu} - \beta q^{\mu}) + (-\beta a_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\beta)(q^{\mu} - \frac{T}{2}S^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}\beta) = 0$

We get to the conventional relativistic hydrodynamics with symmetric EM tensor with no spin degrees of freedom

Pseudo-Gauge Transformations

(Becattini-Tinti, Florkowski-Kumar-Ryblewski, Speranza-Weickgenannt)

Conservation Laws are not modified by

$$\left(\omega^{\mu\nu} - \Sigma^{\nu\alpha\mu}\right), \quad \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu\alpha} = S^{\mu\nu\alpha} - \Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha}$$

 $\left(\omega^{\mu\nu}\right), \quad \tilde{s}^{\mu} = s^{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}\left(\frac{b}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu}\right)$

A special choice $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha} = S^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ makes $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$ symmetric Belinfante

Physics of Pseudo-Gauge Transformations $g_{\mu\nu}$ $\overrightarrow{J}_m = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{M}$

Magnetization Current

Spinetization" Momentum

Non-Dissipative Second Order Transports

As a result of pseudo-gauge transformation to get to symmetric Belinfante EM tensor, we obtain several Non-Dissipative Second

Order Transport coefficients related to Fluid Vorticity: $\partial_{\mu}\tilde{s}^{\mu} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\chi}{2} (\sigma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha} + \omega^{\mu}_{\ \alpha}) \omega^{\alpha\nu} + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) + 2a_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu} \omega_{\nu} \omega^{\nu} \\ \tau^{\mu} &= -\frac{Tn}{2w} \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} (\beta \chi \omega^{\lambda\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} (a \omega^{\lambda\nu}) \\ \Delta s^{\mu} &= -\frac{Ts}{2w} \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} (\beta \chi \omega^{\lambda\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} (b \omega^{\lambda\nu}) + \frac{n\chi}{2w} \omega^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \alpha \end{aligned}$$

It is shown that the thermodynamics can also be made conventional $\tilde{S}(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{n}, \omega^{\mu}) = s(\tilde{\varepsilon} + \Delta \varepsilon)$

$$d\tilde{s} = \tilde{\beta}d\tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\alpha}d\tilde{n} \,,$$

$$\Delta \varepsilon, \tilde{n} + \Delta n, \omega_{\mu}) - \Delta s$$

$$\tilde{s} = \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{p}) - \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{n}$$

Why do we have these equivalent descriptions?

$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}_{\text{total}} = J^{\nu}_{\text{hydro}} - \partial_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{2}\omega^{\nu\alpha}\right)$$

- In fact, there are infinitely many equivalent descriptions by performing partial pseudo gauge transformations with
- $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha} = tS^{\mu\nu\alpha}$, 0 < t < 1. The t = 1 is the special point where the energy-momentum tensor becomes the symmetric Belinfante form

- Hydrodynamics, a priori, does not distinguish between $J^{\mu}_{
 m total}$ and $j^{\mu}_{
 m hv}$
- $G^{\mu\nu} = 16\pi G_N T_B^{\mu\nu} = 16\pi G_N (T_{\text{hydro}}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha} (S^{\alpha\mu\nu} S^{\mu\alpha\nu} S^{\nu\alpha\mu}))$

Equivalent Hydrodynamics

Given a microscopic theory with several constituents carrying spins, the macroscopic hydrodynamics may choose the canonical EM tensor for some degrees of freedom and the Belinfante EM tensor for other degrees of freedom.

All these discrete choices are equivalent hydrodynamic descriptions of the same microscopic theory

Universality of Hydrodynamics comes with Generosity

The origin of pseudo gauge transformation is the microscopic equivalence between spin and "spinetization" momentum, that hydrodynamics can not resolve macroscopically. To accommodate such microscopic equivalences for any system, that hydrodynamics can not probe in macroscopic scales, what hydrodynamics can do is to allow much more generous equivalences with continuous parameters of pseudo gauge transformation, to be able to accommodate any system

