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## Pervasive Sensing for Human MovementsS\&T



IoT, GPS, wireless sensors, mobile
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## Human Mobility Data

$\square$ Human mobility data are people's movement trajectories which can be the traces of
$\square$ devices: phones, WIFIs, network stations, RFID
$\square$ vehicles: bikes, taxicabs, buses, subways, light-rails
$\square$ location based services: geo-tweets (Facebook, Twitter), geotagged photos (Flickr), check-ins (Foursquare, Yelp)


Taxicab GPS Traces


Bus Traces


Phone Traces


Mobile Check-ins

Represent the spatial, temporal, social, and semantic contexts of dynamic human behaviors within and across regions

## Important Applications of Human Mobility




## Unprecedented and Unique Complexity

$\square$ Spatio-temporal-textual
$\square$ Networked
$\square$ Collectively-related
$\square$ Heterogeneous
$\square$ Multi-source
$\square$ Multi-domain
$\square$ Multi-format
$\square$ Semantically-rich

$\square$ Trip purposes
$\square$ User profiles
$\square$ Outlier events/incidents
$\square$ Spatial configuration and urban functions of regions

## The Overview of The Talk

## Collective and Semantic Exploration

Collective representation learning of urban regions with multi-source data
$\square$ Background and Motivation

- Modeling Spatiotemporal Dynamics
$\square$ Collective Representation Learning
$\square$ Applications
- Conclusion and Future Work


## Spatiotempral Dynamics Modeling



Learn the patterns of spatiotemporal arrival matrix, and forecast 3W (where, when, what) of future arrivals

## Human Mobility Synchronization

Taxi arrival distributions of JFK Airport and Newark Airport



# If two regions are similar in urban functions, they show similar arrival patterns 

## Linking Arrivals, Regions and Purposes

## 2 Trip purposes



1 Urban functions of regions

## Linking Arrivals, Regions and Purposes

(2) Trip purpose
$1 \rightarrow 2$ : The urban functions of origin and destination regions show trip purposes


1 Urban functions of regions

## Linking Arrivals, Regions and Purposes



## 1 Urban functions of regions

## Linking Arrivals, Regions and Purposes

## 2 Trip purpose



## Framework

Modeling the arrivals of a single region for single trip purpose

Modeling the arrivals of a single region for multiple trip purposes

Modeling the arrivals of multiple regions for multiple trip purposes

Incorporating human mobility synchronization effects

## Convert Trajectories To Arrival Events



$$
T=<\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{2}, t_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{n}, t_{n}\right)>
$$

Arrivals of a region

Arrivals of a map

| R1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| R5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| R7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $t_{1}$ | $t_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $t_{k}$ |

Each trajectory is a five-element arrival event is : $E_{n}=$ $\left\{g_{n}, z_{n}, t_{n}, w_{n}^{d}, w_{n}^{o}\right\}$

- $\quad g_{n}$ : the trip purpose of the n-th arrival
- $t_{n}$ : the timestamp of the n-th arrival
- $w_{n}^{d}$ : POIs of destination region
- $w_{n}^{o}$ : POIs of origin region
- ${ }^{\wedge}$ For each region, we organize trajectories as a sequence of arrivals: $E=\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{N}\right\}$
- Benefits: support multi-source mobility data, e.g., trajectories, check-ins


## Modeling Arrivals of Single Region for A Single Trip Purpose

## $\square$ Modeling mobility arrivals as a stochastic point

process
$\square$ Hawkes Process: $\lambda(t) \stackrel{\text { ' }}{=} \mu+\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) d N(s)$
Current-past temporal dependency
$\square$ Self-exciting for multi-peak gradually-excited human activities

- The to-work arrivals at 9am are self-excited by the increasingly intensive to-work arrivals at 8am



Mobility arrivals in the i-th region :

$$
\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i, e a t}(t)+\lambda_{i, \text { work }}(t)+\lambda_{i, \text { relax }}(t)+\cdots
$$

## Modeling Arrivals of Single Region for Multiple Trip Purposes (2)

$\square$ Mixture Hawkes processes with respect to different trip purposes
$\square \lambda_{i, m}(t)=\mu_{i, m}+\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) d N(s)=\mu_{i_{\lambda}} * \gamma_{m}+$
$\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) d N(s)$

- i: the i-th region

Decouplé the base rates of location and trip purpose to reduce the number of parameters

- m: the m-th trip purpose
- $\mu_{i, m}$ : the base rate that region i get visited with trip purpose m
- $\mu_{i}$ : the base visit rate of region i
- $\gamma_{m}$ : the base visit rate of trip purpose $m$
- $g(t-s)$ : memory decay function


## Synchronization Effect Across Regions

## $\square$ Region synchronization graph

$\square$ Road networks as graph
$\square$ Regions as nodes in the graph
$\square$ Synchronization rate between two regions as the edge weight between two nodes

Region i

Synchronization rate (i, j)

Region j


If Region(i) and Region(j) are both office areas, and many to-work arrivals are observed in Region(j), then it is likely to observe many to-work arrivals in Region(i)

## Modeling Synchronization Effect Across Regions in Mixture Hawkes Processes

$\square$ Integrating the synchronization effects across regions into mixture Hawkes processes
$\square \lambda_{i, m}(t)=\mu_{i} * \gamma_{m}+\sum_{j=1}^{I} \alpha_{j i}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) d N(s)$
Base arrival rate

> Sync effect when $j!=i \quad$ Self-exciting effect when $j==i$ (region-region peer dependency) $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { (past-current temporal } \\ \text { dependency) }\end{array}$
$\square$ Synchronization (Mutual-exciting)

- The arrivals are not just self-excited by previous arrivals within a region, but also excited by the arrivals of peer regions
■ Example: The to-work arrivals of the i-th region at 9am are excited by the to-work arrivals of the j-th similar region at 9am


# Enhance Trip Purpose Labeling via Modeling Origin and Destination Regions 

Origin (residential)


The urban functions of origin and destination regions can jointly show trip purposes

## Incorporating the Joint Modeling of Origin and Destination Regions

Analogies between region modeling and textual mining

## Region-Building

Region
Building category
Urban function

## Document-Word

Document
Word
Topic

## Topic Modeling of Origin and Destination

$\square$ Probabilistic generative model of buildings in origin and destination regions
$\square$ Draw a trip purpose for each trip
$\square$ Draw buildings of origin region from the trip purpose
$\square$ Draw buildings of destination region from the trip purpose

- Generate a purpose $m \sim \operatorname{Multi}(\pi)$
- Generate the POI Topic for the origin $z_{o} \sim \operatorname{Multi}\left(\Phi_{m z}\right)$
- For each POI $w^{0}$ in the origin neighborhood
- Generate the POI $w^{o} \sim \operatorname{Multi}\left(\beta_{z w}\right)$
- Generate the POI Topic for the origin $z_{d} \sim \operatorname{Multi}\left(\Phi_{m z}\right)$
- For each POI $w^{d}$ in the origin neighborhood
- Generate the POI $w^{d} \sim \operatorname{Multi}\left(\beta_{z w}\right)$


## Solving the Co-optimization (1)



## Solving the Co-optimization (2)

## Trip Urban function Time

Origin and
purposes topics , stamp_ _ destination regions

$$
(G, z, t, W)=\left\{\left(G_{n}, z_{n}, t_{n}, W_{n}^{*}\right)\right\} \text { with } t_{0}=0 \text { and } t_{N}=T
$$

Data

1. Training
2. Likelihood Function
3. A Lower Bound
4. Parameter

I Update Rules

## Study of Forecasting Next Arrivals





Predicted time intervals of every two arrival events

## Study of Trip Purpose Clustering

$\square$ Experiments on synthetic data: validate the identified trip purposes
$\square$ Synthetic data generation: Ogata's modified thinning algorithm for sampling arrival sequences

- Task: Clustering the trajectories based on the inferred trip purposes
$\square$ Baseline methods: MHP, LDA, K-means
- Metrics: purity, F1-Measure, Rand Statistics





## Study of Trip Purpose Interpretation

## - Data

- Taxi trips of NYC: 7-day taxi trips, millions of GPS trajectories, 152 valid regions
- Point of Interests data of NYC


## Identified trip purposes

| nightlife |  | dining |  |  | work |  |  | shopping |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOPIC 1 | prob. | TOPIC 2 | prob. | TOPIC 3 | prob. | TOPIC 4 | prob. | TOPIC 5 | prob. |
| Bar | 0.1884 | Chinese Rest. | 0.1286 | Bar | 0.0933 | Office | 0.3331 | Clothing Store | 0.0995 |
| Home | 0.0953 | Italian Rest. | 0.0913 | Italian Rest. | 0.0565 | General Entertain | 0.1035 | Cafe | 0.0693 |
| Nightclub | 0.0571 | Asian Rest. | 0.0541 | American Rest. | 0.0442 | Hotel | 0.1023 | Office | 0.0574 |
| Event Space | 0.0495 | Tea Room | 0.0481 | Wine Bar | 0.0373 | Building | 0.0869 | Coffee Shop | 0.0535 |
| Cocktail Bar | 0.0495 | Bar | 0.0472 | Sushi Rest. | 0.0319 | Event Space | 0.0593 | Cosmetics Shop | 0.0419 |
| Lounge | 0.0495 | Spa or Massage Parlor | 0.0416 | Mexican Rest. | 0.0306 | Sandwich Place | 0.0376 | General Entertain | 0.0408 |
| Speakeasy | 0.0471 | Salon or Barbershop | 0.0403 | Lounge | 0.0297 | Hotel Bar | 0.0342 | French Rest. | 0.0406 |
| Breakfast Spot | 0.0382 | Vietnamese Rest. | 0.039 | Pizza Place | 0.0278 | Lounge | 0.0342 | High Tech Outlet | 0.0388 |
| French Rest. | 0.0334 | Art Gallery | 0.0342 | Coffee Shop | 0.0256 | Other Outdoors | 0.0298 | Salon or Barbershop | 0.0368 |
| Boat or Ferry | 0.0316 | Cocktail Bar | 0.0316 | Salon or Barbershop | 0.0256 | Performing Arts Venue | 0.0289 | Miscellaneous Shop | 0.0331 |
| TOPIC 6 | prob. | TOPIC 7 | prob. | TOPIC 8 | prob. | TOPIC 9 | prob. | TOPIC 10 | prob. |
| College Acad. | 0.0808 | Park | 0.1343 | Art Gallery | 0.2773 | American Rest. | 0.1023 | Home | 0.2005 |
| Food Truck | 0.0756 | Other Outdoors | 0.1 | Park | 0.1021 | Deli or Bodega | 0.0619 | Building | 0.0591 |
| University | 0.0653 | Scenic Lookout | 0.0767 | Other Outdoors | 0.0892 | Office | 0.0569 | Deli or Bodega | 0.0471 |
| College Library | 0.0639 | General Travel | 0.0753 | Cafe | 0.0555 | Pizza Place | 0.0464 | Pizza Place | 0.0442 |
| General College/University | 0.0573 | Building | 0.074 | Playground | 0.049 | Bar | 0.0448 | Laundromat or Dry Cleaner | 0.0342 |
| College Dorm | 0.0565 | Airport | 0.074 | Automotive Shop | 0.0386 | Food Truck | 0.0434 | Coffee Shop | 0.0317 |
| Cafe | 0.0499 | Harbor or Marina | 0.0616 | Event Space | 0.033 | Sandwich Place | 0.0392 | Drugstore or Pharmacy | 0.0291 |
| Plaza | 0.0485 | Taxi | 0.0534 | Strip Club | 0.0265 | Coffee Shop | 0.0346 | Chinese Rest. | 0.0256 |
| Park | 0.0382 | Government Building | 0.048 | Sculpture Garden | 0.0241 | Burger Joint | 0.0326 | Mexican Rest. | 0.0236 |
| College Classroom | 0.0374 | Seafood Rest. | 0.0343 | Plaza | 0.0233 | Cafe | 0.0307 | Apartment Building | 0.0206 |

## Study of Synchronization Effect



## Study of Synchronization Effect




POIs distributions of region $B$

${ }_{2} \times 10^{5} \quad$ Arrival distribution of region B


POls distributions of region C


Arrival distribution of region $C$


The POI and arrival distributions of $A, B, C$ are consistent with the pairwise sync rates of $A, B, C$

## Summary

$\square$ Task
$\square$ Modeling spatial diffusion and temporal dynamics of human mobility data
$\square$ Property (provide in-depth understanding)
$\square$ Identify the synchronization property of human mobility
$\square$ Modeling (make it predictable and traceable)
$\square$ Model human mobility as stochastic point processes
$\square$ Develop a synchronization-aware mixture Hawkes model to jointly capture synchronization effects, mobility arrivals, urban regions, and trip purposes
$\square$ Unify mobility arrival forecasting and trajectory semantic annotation
$\square$ Background and Motivation
$\square$ Modeling Spatiotemporal Dynamics

- Collective Representation Learning
$\square$ Applications
$\square$ Conclusion and Future Work


## Spatial Representation Learning

Spatial Objects
(e.g., Regions)


Single-source Human Mobility


## Vector

Representations

- Given: urban regions, single-source human mobility data
- Objective: learn the vector representations of regions in a latent feature space
- Constraints: similar regions share similar representations


## Collective Representation Learning with Multi-source Mobility Data



Multi-Source Human
Mobility Data


- Given: urban regions, multi-source human mobility data
- Objective: learn the vector representations of regions in a
- Given: urban regions,
- Objective: learn the
latent feature space
- Constraints
- Similar regions share similar representations
- Integrate the mutual validation of multi-source human mobility patterns


## Vector

Representations


## Why Collective Representation Learning?

$\square$ Automated representation learning from widely-available data without domain experts
$\square$ Non-automated: Find domain experts, design variables, and extract vector representations
$\square$ Automated fusion of multi-source unbalanced data
$\square$ Non-automated: Design features, select features, weigh features, weighted combination of features
$\square$ Enable the availability of existing algorithms
$\square$ Enable classification, ranking, clustering, outlier detection for spatial contexts

## The Patterns of Three Mobility Events

- Checkin mobility pattern
- <day, hour, location category> of a checkin event
- Taxi mobility pattern
- <day, hour, leaving or arriving> of a taxi pickup or delivery event
- Bus mobility pattern
- <day, hour, leaving or arriving> of a bus pickup or delivery event


## Learning Representation with Robustness Guarantee (1)



If the representations of two regions are similar,

## Learning Representation with Robustness Guarantee (2)



If the representations of two regions are similar, the mobility patterns in different time slots are similar

## Learning Representation with Robustness Guarantee（3）

## Urban Regions

Region Representation

## Representation robustness check over different time slots and multi－ source data

## A Probabilistic Hierarchical Model for Collective Representation Learning



## A Probabilistic Hierarchical Model for Collective Representation Learning

Generative Structure


## A Probabilistic Hierarchical Model for Collective Representation Learning



## A Probabilistic Hierarchical Model for Collective Representation Learning

Region Representation


## A Probabilistic Hierarchical Model for Collective Representation Learning

( M regions for N time periods on K hidden status with $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{B}$ mobility)


## Solving the Optimization Problem

## Collapsed Gibbs Sampling to Solve Probabilistic Hierarchical Model

For the $i$-th taxi pattern $t_{m, n, i} \in \boldsymbol{t}_{m, n}$, the conditional - For the $i$-th vus pattlern $b_{m, n, i} \in \boldsymbol{b}_{m, n}$, the conditional posterior for its latent taxi topic is computed by

For the $i$-th checkin pattern $c_{m, n, i} \in \boldsymbol{c}_{m, n}$, the conditional posterior for its latent checkin topic is computed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(z_{m, n, t}=q \mid D, \Upsilon-z_{m, n, t}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathbb{C}_{q, c_{m, n, t}^{-}+\kappa_{c}}^{-(m, n, t}+\kappa_{m}}{\sum_{c=1}^{\left|P_{c}\right|} \mathbb{C}_{q, c}^{-(m, n, t)}} \text { After all the latent assignments }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the $n$-th mobility segment in estate $m$, the conditional $u$ posterior probability for its latent function assignment $f$ is computed by

$$
\text { hidden status, check }{ }^{\epsilon_{f, z}}=\frac{Z_{f, z}+\mu_{z}}{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} Z_{f, q}+\mu_{q}}, \chi_{f, u}=\frac{U_{f, u}+\nu_{u}}{\sum_{r=1}^{R} U_{f, r}+\nu_{r}}, \tau_{f, v}=\frac{v_{f, v}}{\sum_{w=1}^{w} v_{f, w}+\zeta_{w}}, \quad \text { chierarchical model }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(u_{m, n, i}=r \mid D, \Upsilon-u_{m, n, i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

## Study of Restaurant Popularity Prediction

Accuracy comparison of human-defined explicit features and machinelearned latent representations over different predictive models


## Annotating Regions of Urban Functions

MISSOURI

Apply K-Means to cluster regions into 4 categories using the learned representations

## Office mixed with

 scenic spots
## Transportation

## Annotating Regions of Urban Functions

MISSOURI

Apply K-Means to cluster regions into 5 categories using the learned representations

## Office mixed scenic spots

## Transportation



## Annotating Regions of Urban Functions

MISSOURI

Apply K-Means to cluster regions into 8 categories using the learned representations

## Office mixed with

 scenic spots
## Transportation

$\square$ Background and Motivation
$\square$ Modeling Spatiotemporal Dynamics
$\square$ Collective Representation Learning

- Applications
$\square$ Conclusion and Future Work


## SmartTransfer: Modeling the Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Passenger Transfers for Crowdedness-aware Route Recommendations

## Route and Transfer Recommendations in Public Transportation Systems



Spatial distribution of transfer passenger flow


Cumulative distribution of transfer passenger flow for top 256 subway stations

Root Cause: Spatial-temporal unbalance of traffic demand and transportation capacity supply

## Crowdedness-aware Route Recommendations

Feature extraction of subway stations Predict the transfer demands of subway stations with spatialtemporal multi-task learning Given origin and destination, generate candidate routes from subway networks and bus networks

- Recommend routes based on potential time cost and crowdedness



# You Are How You Drive: Peer and TemporalAware Representation Learning for Driving Behavior Analysis 

## Beyond Accidents: Vehicles as Weapons

Charlottesville, Virginia


Number of casualties: A 32-year-old woman was kil
French citizens in mourning over Nice attack 02:19
Date of attack: July 14, 2016
Number of casualties: Eighty-four people were killed and more than 200 wounded.

## Toward Machine-Learning Based Driving Behavior Analysis



Turn Right Accelerate


## Driving Performance Scoring and Risky Area Detection



PTARL

1. Learn driving behavior profiles from driving state transition graphs with spatiotemporal representation learning
2. Exploit driving behavior profiles to automatically score driving performances and detect risky areas

## More Applications



Gas Refilling Event Detection and Gas Station Site Selection (DASFAA16)


Residential Community Analysis for Affordable Housing (KDD14, KDD15, TKDD)


Mitigate Traffic

## Congestion

Bike Station Site Selection and Rebalancing (ICDM15)


Point-Of-Interests
Recommender Systems (KDD13, SDM14, ICDM16)
$\square$ Background and Motivation
$\square$ Modeling Spatiotemporal Dynamics
$\square$ Collective Representation Learning
$\square$ Applications

- Conclusion and Future Work


## Conclusion Remarks

$\square$ Data Environments
$\square$ Human mobility data
$\square$ Data Science Foundations
$\square$ Modeling spatial diffusion and temporal dynamics as mixture stochastic point processes integrated with human knowledge

- Generalized for ecommerce click rate data, online hospital comment data, network intrusion data, malware/disease infection data, paypal epayment data
- Spatiotemporal forecasting of 3W(when, where, what)
$\square$ Collective representation learning with multi-source data
- Generalized for automated heterogeneous data fusion and automated representation learning
- Spatiotemporal embedding + semantic labeling
$\square$ Data Science Applications
$\square$ Smart transfer systems
$\square$ Driving behavior analysis

